arXiv:hep-th/9512070v1 11 Dec 1995

The N- and 1-time Classical Descriptions of N-body Relativistic Kinematics and the Electromagnetic Interaction

Luca Lusanna

Sezione INFN di Firenze

L.go E.Fermi 2 (Arcetri)

50125 Firenze, Italy

e-mail LUSANNA@FI.INFN.IT

Abstract

Given N relativistic scalar free particles described by N mass-shell first class constraints in their 8N-dimensional phase space, their N-time description is obtained by means of a series of canonical transformations to a quasi-Shanmugadhasan basis adapted to the constraints. Then the same system is reformulated on spacelike hypersurfaces: the restriction to the family of hyperplanes orthogonal to the total timelike momentum gives rise to a covariant intrinsic 1-time formulation called the “rest-frame instant form” of dynamics. The relation between the N- and 1-time descriptions, the mass spectrum of the system and the way how to introduce mutual interactions among the particles are studied. Then the 1-time description of the isolated system of N charged scalar particles plus the electromagnetic field is obtained. The use of Grassmann variables to describe the charges together with the determination of the field and particle Dirac observables leads to a formulation without infinite self-energies and with mutual Coulomb interactions extracted from classical electromagnetic field theory. A comparison with the Feshbach-Villars Hamiltonian formulation of the Klein-Gordon equation is made. Finally a 1-time covariant formulation of relativistic statistical mechanics is found.

November 1995

This work has been partially supported by the network "Constrained Dynamical Systems" of the E.U. Programme "Human Capital and Mobility".

Typeset using REVTEX

I. INTRODUCTION

Relevant physical models are described by singular Lagrangians, so that their Hamiltonian formulation is based on the Dirac theory of constraints [1]. The qualitative aspects of this theory are now understood [2], in particular the role of the Shanmugadhasan canonical transformation [3] in the determination of a canonical basis of Dirac's observables allowing the elimination of gauge degrees of freedom from the classical description of physical systems [4]. This programme was initiated by Dirac [5] for the electromagnetic field with charged fermions. More recently, Dirac's observables for Yang-Mills theory with fermions (whose typical application is QCD) have been found [6] in suitable function spaces in which the Gribov ambiguity is absent. Also the ones for the Abelian Higgs model are known [7] and those for the $SU(2) \times U(1)$ electroweak theory with fermions can be found [8] with the same method that works for the Abelian case. The main task along these lines will now be the search of Dirac's observables for tetrad gravity in the case of asymptotically flat 3-manifolds.

The price for having only physical degrees of freedom is the nonlocal (and in general nonpolynomial) nature of the physical Hamiltonians and Lagrangians, as already known from Dirac's work on the electromagnetic field [5], for which the origin of the difficulties is the Coulomb self-interaction of the fermion fields. Two obstacles appear immediately: 1) the lack of manifest Lorentz covariance of the Hamiltonian formalism, which requires the choice of a 3+1 splitting of Minkowski spacetime; 2) the inapplicability of the standard methods of regularization and renormalization due to the nonlocality (and nonpolynomiality) of the interactions and the failure of the power counting rule.

In Ref. [9], I reviewed these problems and I pointed out that the Lorentz covariance problem can be solved by reformulating the theory on spacelike hypersurfaces [1] (see also Ref. [6]) and then reducing it to the hyperplanes orthogonal to the total momentum p^μ (for the configurations in which it is timelike, $p^2 > 0$; see Ref. [10] for a general study of the embeddings of spacelike hypersurfaces in a given Riemannian spacetime). In this way, the breaking of Lorentz covariance is reduced to a minimum: only the three degrees of freedom that describe the canonical centre of mass 3-position of the overall isolated system are not covariant. In Ref. [9], it is also stressed that only one tool has until now emerged for attacking the difficult task of quantizing a nonlocal (and generically nonpolynomial) theory. Namely, the problem of the center-of-mass extended relativistic systems in irreducible representations of the Poincaré group with $P^2 > 0$, $W^2 = -P^2 \vec{\bar{S}}^2 \neq 0$ (they are dense in the set of all allowed field configurations) identifies a finite worldtube of noncovariance of the canonical center of mass, whose radius $\rho = \sqrt{-W^2}/P^2 = | \vec{\bar{S}} | / \sqrt{P^2}$ represents a classical intrinsic unit of length that can be used as a ultraviolet cutoff at the quantum level in the spirit of Dirac and Yukawa. As noted in Ref.[6], the distances corresponding to the interior of the worldtube are connected with problems coming from both quantum theory and general relativity: 1) pair production occurs if an attempt is made to localize particles at these distances; 2) relativistic extended bodies with a material radius less than ρ cannot have the classical energy density positive definite everywhere in every reference frame, and the peripheral rotation velocity may be higher than the velocity of light. Therefore, the worldtube is the flat remnant of the energy conditions of general relativity; in this theory, the radius ρ is defined in terms of the asymptotic Poincaré group that exists in the case of asymptotically flat 3-manifolds.

In this way, one has perceives the possibility of formulating a “rest-frame field theory” on these special hyperplanes [9], in which the ultraviolet cutoff just identified could be used in a constructive way. The asymptotic states of this theory should be connected with the description of free particles on these hyperplanes. But this implies that the phase space description of the particles involves only 6 degrees of freedom per particle, that there is an 1-time description (“rest-frame instant form” of the dynamics in the language of Ref. [11], the time parameter being the rest frame time, which describes the foliation of Minkowski spacetime with these hyperplanes), and that there is no room left for relative times and energies, which are precisely the variables connected with the spurious solutions of the Bethe-Salpeter equation [12] for relativistic bound states in ordinary quantum field theory. Actually, the standard Fock space describes asymptotic states defined as tensor products of free one-particle states without any restriction on their mutual temporal ordering (an in-particle may be in the absolute future of another in-particle): the classical background is an N-time description of N free particles, each one of which has 8 degrees of freedom and an associated mass-shell first class constraint (connected with the inverse of the standard propagators).

Therefore, in Ref. [9] there was a review of the problems of relativistic particle mechanics, especially the two main ones: i) the No-Interaction Theorem [13,14] (see Ref. [15] for a review), which turns out to be also present in Galilean mechanics [16] and to be connected with the use of an N-time description; ii) the many definitions of relativistic center-of-mass position (see Ref. [17] for a review), since the Lorentz signature of Minkowski spacetime precludes the existence of an object with all the properties of the nonrelativistic center-of-mass.

Behind all these descriptions there is the theory of the irreducible representations of the canonical realizations of the Poincaré group [18] in given phase spaces.

In this paper, I will consider N free relativistic scalar particles, and I will study their description both in the N-time approach (following jointly Ref. [19] and the complete analysis of the N=2 case in Ref. [20]) and in the 1-time approach, which was developed in Ref. [21] for completely different reasons. While the N-time theory identifies a set of variables that makes it possible to disentangle the relative times and energies from the relevant variables, the 1-time theory allows the identification of the branches of the mass spectrum of the N-body isolated system. Then the two descriptions are compared, and it is found that the final physically relevant variables are the same in the two approaches and that they also seem to be the best relativistic kinematical variables (carrying the knowledge of the geometry of the timelike Poincaré orbits) for the study of interactions.

In Section II, there is a study of the canonical transformations needed to find a quasi-Shanmugadhasan canonical basis adapted to the N-1 combinations of the original first class constraints that define the vanishing of the relevant N-1 relative energies. Due to the nonlinearity of the final canonical transformation, it is not known how to find the mass spectrum and the inverse of the transformation.

In Section III, the system is reformulated on spacelike hypersurfaces, on which each particle is now identified only by three coordinates. After the identification of the first class constraints, the reduction to the special intrinsic family of spacelike hyperplanes orthogonal to the total four-momentum, when it is timelike, is studied. The 1-time covariant rest-frame instant form of dynamics is identified.

In Section IV, the N- and 1- time descriptions are compared, and the mass spectrum is found.

In Section V, there is a study of the mass spectrum in the N-time theory. Then action-at-a-distance interactions are introduced among the particles in the 1-time theory with a comment on their separability.

In Section VI, the isolated system of N charged scalar particles plus the electromagnetic field is formulated on spacelike hypersurfaces and then reduced to the hyperplanes orthogonal to the total timelike four-momentum. The charges of the particles are described in a pseudoclassical way by means of Grassmann variables. The Dirac observables of the particles and of the electromagnetic field with respect to electromagnetic gauge transformations are found. The final resulting four first class constraints contain the interparticle Coulomb potential (extracted covariantly from the classical electromagnetic field theory) but not the classical electromagnetic self-energy due to the vanishing of the square of the Grassmann charges: the underlying hypothesis of charge quantization generates a regularization of the pseudoclassical description.

In Section VII, the 1-time theory of one charged scalar particle plus the electromagnetic field is compared with the Feshbach-Villars Hamiltonian formulation of the Klein-Gordon equation in an external electromagnetic field.

InSection VIII, after a review of the main problems of covariant relativistic statistical mechanics, its reformulation in the 1-time theory is given.

The Conclusions IX contain some final remarks and the outline of future research.

In Appendix A, there is a review of the properties of the standard Wigner boost for timelike Poincaré orbits. In Appendix B, the formulas for the case N=2 are reviewed. In

Appendix C, some notation in the description with spacelike hypersurfaces is given.

II. THE N-TIME THEORY

A system of N free scalar relativistic particles is usually described in phase space by 8N variables x_i^μ, p_i^μ, i=1,..,N, $\{x_i^\mu, p_j^\nu\} = -\delta_{ij}\eta^{\mu\nu}$ $[\eta^{\mu\nu} = (1, -1, -1, -1)]$ restricted by N first class constraints [to start with, we assume arbitrary masses m_i for the particles]

$$\phi_i = p_i^2 - m_i^2 \approx 0, \qquad \{\phi_i, \phi_j\} = 0. \tag{1}$$

The evolution in a scalar parameter τ is described by the Dirac Hamiltonian

$$H_D = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i(\tau)\, \phi_i, \tag{2}$$

where $\lambda_i(\tau)$ are Dirac's multipliers. This description is obtained by starting from the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i \sqrt{\dot{x}_i^2(\tau)}, \tag{3}$$

whose associated canonical momenta are

$$p_i^\mu = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{x}_{i\mu}} = m_i \frac{\dot{x}_i^\mu}{\sqrt{\dot{x}_i^2}}. \tag{4}$$

Therefore, the configuration position variables, describing the worldlines of the particles, are $q_i^\mu(\tau) = x_i^\mu(\tau)$. An alternative N-time Hamiltonian description is the multi-time one [4], in which the N scalar time parameters τ_i are defined by $d\tau_i = \lambda_i(\tau) d\tau$. In this description one has $q_i^\mu(\tau_i) = x_i^\mu(\tau_i)$, $\{x_i^\mu(\tau_i), p_j^\nu(\tau_j)\} = -\delta_{ij}\eta^{\mu\nu}$, and the first class constraints $\phi_i \approx 0$ are the Hamiltonians for the evolution in the τ_i's $[\frac{\partial A(x_k, p_k)}{\partial \tau_i} \overset{\circ}{=} \{A, \phi_i\}$ are the many-time Hamilton equations and $\{\phi_i, \phi_j\} = 0$ are their integrability conditions; $\overset{\circ}{=}$ means evaluated on the solutions of the equations of motion]. The Lagrangian description derives from the action $S = \sum_{i=1}^N \int d\tau_i\, \mathcal{L}_i$ with $\mathcal{L}_i = -m_i \sqrt{\dot{x}_i^2(\tau_i)}$.

Let us remark that in presence of interactions, the No-Interaction-Theorem [13,14] implies $q_i^\mu(\tau_i) \neq x_i^\mu(\tau_1, .., \tau_N)$. As shown in Ref. [16] (see also Ref. [9]), this result is also present at the nonrelativistic level: it is not connected with the Lorentz signature but with the many-time description. If the particles are charged, the minimal coupling to an external electromagnetic field requires the canonical covariant coordinates x^μ_i's and not the configuration q^μ_i's, which describe the particle worldlines: therefore the electric charges cannot be localized on the worldlines in the interacting case.

In Ref. [19], a study of the kinematics of N relativistic free scalar particles was started with a double aim. Firstly, the spurious solutions of the Bethe-Salpeter equation [12] stimulated a search for nonlinear canonical transformation replacing N-1 linear combinations of the first class constraints $\phi_i \approx 0$ with suitable"relative energy variables". Secondly, it was investigated how to introduce action-at-a-distance interactions while preserving the first class nature of the constraints (only nonseparable interactions were found).

Subsequently, for the case N=2 with $p^2 > 0$ [$p^\mu = \sum_{i=1}^N p^\mu_i$ is the total momentum] suitable canonical variables adapted to the timelike orbits of the Poincaré group were found. Then, in Ref. [22], a study of the N=3 case was initiated, with the aim of understanding how to describe separable action-at-a-distance interactions.

Collecting all these results and simplifying the notation, we start with the canonical tranformation from the basis x^μ_i, p^μ_i, i=1,..,N, to a first set of linear center-of-mass and relative variables [a=1,..,N-1]

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline x^\mu_i \\ \\ p^\mu_i \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{|c|} \hline x^\mu \;\; p^\mu \\ \hline R^\mu_a \;\; Q^\mu_a \\ \hline \end{array} \tag{5}$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x^\mu &= \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\gamma}_i x^\mu_i, \qquad && \{x^\mu, p^\nu\} = -\eta^{\mu\nu} \\ p^\mu &= \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^N p^\mu_i, && \\ R^\mu_a &= \sqrt{N} \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\gamma}_{ai} x^\mu_i, && \{R^\mu_a, Q^\nu_b\} = -\delta_{ab}\eta^{\mu\nu} \\ Q^\mu_a &= \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\gamma}_{ai} p^\mu_i, && , \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $\hat{\vec{\gamma}}$, $\hat{\vec{\gamma}}_a$ is a basis of orthogonal unit vectors satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\gamma}_i = \sqrt{N}, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\gamma}_{ai} = 0,$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{N}\hat{\gamma}_i\hat{\gamma}_{ai}=0, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{N}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\hat{\gamma}_{bi}=\delta_{ab},\\
&\hat{\gamma}_i\hat{\gamma}_j+\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\hat{\gamma}_{aj}=\delta_{ij}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{7}
$$

From now on, we shall choose $\hat{\gamma}_i=1/\sqrt{N}$, i=1,..,N, so that we have

$$
x^\mu=\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}x_i^\mu, \qquad \sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\hat{\gamma}_{aj}=\delta_{ij}-\frac{1}{N}.
\tag{8}
$$

Here x^μ is a canonical covariant center-of-mass coordinate, which however does not have free motion, since $\dot{x}^\mu=\{x^\mu,H_D\}=-\frac{2}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\lambda_i(\tau)p_i^\mu/\sqrt{p_i^2}\neq\lambda(\tau)p^\mu/\eta\sqrt{p^2}=\lambda(\tau)u^\mu(p)$ $[\lambda(\tau)=\sqrt{\dot{x}^2};\ \eta=sign\, p^o]$; it moves with a "classical zitterbewegung" that depends on the choice of the arbitrary Dirac multipliers $\lambda_i(\tau)$ (it is a gauge effect).

The inverse canonical transformation is

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_i^\mu&=x^\mu+\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}R_a^\mu\\
p_i^\mu&=\frac{1}{N}p^\mu+\sqrt{N}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}Q_a^\mu.
\end{aligned}
\tag{9}
$$

The conserved Poincaré generators are

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^\mu&=\sum_{i=1}^{N}p_i^\mu\\
J^{\mu\nu}&=\sum_{i=1}^{N}(x_i^\mu p_i^\nu-x_i^\nu p_i^\mu)=L^{\mu\nu}+S^{\mu\nu}\\
\\
L^{\mu\nu}&=x^\mu p^\nu-x^\nu p^\mu\\
S^{\mu\nu}&=\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}(R_a^\mu Q_a^\nu-R_a^\nu Q_a^\mu).
\end{aligned}
\tag{10}
$$

The isolated system of N particles is assumed to belong to an irreducible timelike representation of the Poincaré group with Casimirs $p^2>0$ and $W^2=-p^2\vec{\bar{S}}^2$ $[W^\mu=\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}p_\nu S_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Pauli-Lubanski fourvector ($\epsilon_{0123}=1$, $\epsilon^{ijk}=\epsilon_{ijk}$); $\vec{\bar{S}}$ is the rest-frame Thomas spin (see later on)].

Note that $L^{\mu\nu}$ and $S^{\mu\nu}$ are not separately constants of the motion due to the "classical zitterbewegung of x^μ" (which is an analogue of the zitterbewegung associated with the Dirac position operator for the electron).

Extending the results of Ref. [20] for N=2 to arbitrary N (see Appendix A for the notation), one defines the following further canonical transformation

$$\begin{array}{|c|}\hline x^\mu \quad p^\mu \\ \hline R^\mu_a \quad Q^\mu_a \\ \hline\end{array} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\tilde{x}^\mu \quad p^\mu} \\ \hline & T_{Ra} \\ \vec{\rho}_a \quad \vec{\pi}_a & \\ & \epsilon_{Ra} \\ \hline\end{array} \tag{11}$$

$$\{\tilde{x}^\mu, p^\nu\} = -\eta^{\mu\nu}, \quad \{T_{Ra}, \epsilon_{Rb}\} = -\delta_{ab}, \quad \{\rho^i_a, \pi^j_b\} = \delta_{ab}\delta^{ij}, \tag{12}$$

with (one has $p_\mu \tilde{x}^\mu = p_\mu x^\mu$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{x}^\mu &= x^\mu + \frac{1}{2}\, \epsilon^A_\nu(u(p))\eta_{AB} \frac{\partial \epsilon^B_\rho(u(p))}{\partial p_\mu}\, S^{\nu\rho} = \\
&= x^\mu - \frac{1}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}(p^o + \eta\sqrt{p^2})}\, [p_\nu S^{\nu\mu} + \eta\sqrt{p^2}(S^{o\mu} - S^{o\nu}\frac{p_\nu p^\mu}{p^2})] \\
\\
p^\mu &= p^\mu \\
\\
T_{Ra} &= \epsilon^o_\mu(u(p))R^\mu_a = \frac{p \cdot R_a}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}} \\
\epsilon_{Ra} &= \epsilon^o_\mu(u(p))Q^\mu_a = \frac{p \cdot Q_a}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}} \\
\rho^r_a &= \epsilon^r_\mu(u(p))R^\mu_a = R^r_a - \frac{p^r}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}}(R^o_a - \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{R}_a}{p^o + \eta\sqrt{p^2}}) \\
\pi^r_a &= \epsilon^r_\mu(u(p))Q^\mu_a = Q^r_a - \frac{p^r}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}}(Q^o_a - \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{Q}_a}{p^o + \eta\sqrt{p^2}}),
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where Eqs.(A10) and (A11) have been used to evaluate $\tilde{x}^\mu$.

As shown in Ref. [20] and in Appendix A, the canonical transformation of Eqs.(13) is defined by boosting at rest the relative variables R^μ_a, Q^μ_a with the standard Wigner boost $L^A{}_\mu(\overset{\circ}{p}, p) = \epsilon^A_\mu(u(p))$ of Eqs.(A2), (A3) for timelike orbits $p^2 > 0$. In Eq.(11), $T_{Ra} = \epsilon^{\bar{o}}_\mu(u(p))R^\mu_a$ and $\epsilon_{Ra} = \epsilon^{\bar{o}}_\mu(u(p))Q^\mu_a$ are Poincaré-scalar relative times and energies, respectively; $\rho^r_a = \epsilon^r_\mu(u(p))R^\mu_a$ and $\pi^r_a = \epsilon^r_\mu(u(p))Q^\mu_a$ are, respectively, relative three-coordinates and three-momenta, which transform as Wigner spin-1 3-vectors. It turns out that the new canonical center-of-mass coordinate $\tilde{x}^\mu$ is not a fourvector under Lorentz boosts (it has only Euclidean covariance), so that it cannot define a geometrical center-of-mass worldline, but only a frame-dependent line (pseudo-worldline).

The Lorentz generators become

$$
\begin{aligned}
&J^{\mu\nu} = \tilde{L}^{\mu\nu} + \tilde{S}^{\mu\nu}\\[2em]
&\tilde{L}^{\mu\nu} = \tilde{x}^\mu p^\nu - \tilde{x}^\nu p^\mu\\
&\tilde{S}^{\mu\nu} = S^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^A_\rho(u(p))\eta_{AB}(\frac{\partial\epsilon^B_\sigma(u(p))}{\partial p_\mu}p^\nu - \frac{\partial\epsilon^B_\sigma(u(p))}{\partial p_\nu}p^\mu)S^{\rho\sigma} =\\
&= S^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}(p^o+\eta\sqrt{p^2})}[p_\beta(S^{\beta\mu}p^\nu - S^{\beta\nu}p^\mu) + \eta\sqrt{p^2}(S^{o\mu}p^\nu - S^{o\nu}p^\mu)]\\[2em]
&\tilde{S}^{oi} = -\frac{1}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}}[(p^o-\eta\sqrt{p^2})S^{oi} + \frac{p^k(S^{ko}p^i - S^{ki}p^o)}{p^o+\eta\sqrt{p^2}}]\\
&\tilde{S}^{ij} = S^{ij} + \frac{1}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}}(S^{oi}p^j - S^{oj}p^i) - \frac{p^k(S^{ki}p^j - S^{kj}p^i)}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}(p^o+\eta\sqrt{p^2})}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{14}
$$

If we introduce the rest-frame spin tensor (the last line gives the Thomas spin)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\bar{S}^{AB} = \epsilon^A_\mu(u(p))\epsilon^B_\nu(u(p))S^{\mu\nu}\\[2em]
&\bar{S}^{\bar{o}r} = \sum_{a=1}^{N-1}(T_{Ra}\pi^r_a - \rho^r_a\epsilon_{Ra})\\
&\bar{S}^{rs} = \sum_{a=1}^{N-1}(\rho^r_a\pi^s_a - \rho^s_a\pi^r_a)\\[2em]
&\bar{S}^r = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{rst}\bar{S}^{st} = \sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\bar{S}^r_a, \qquad \vec{\bar{S}}_a = \vec{\rho}_a \times \vec{\pi}_a
\end{aligned}
\tag{15}
$$

we find the following form of the Poincaré generators

$$
\begin{aligned}
&J^{ij} = \tilde{x}^i p^j - \tilde{x}^j p^i + \delta^{ir}\delta^{js}\bar{S}^{rs}\\
&J^{oi} = \tilde{x}^o p^i - \tilde{x}^i p^o - \frac{\delta^{ir}\bar{S}^{rs}p^s}{p^o+\eta\sqrt{p^2}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{16}
$$

and the following form of $\tilde{x}^\mu$

$$\tilde{x}^\mu = x^\mu - \frac{1}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}}[\eta^\mu_A(\bar{S}^{\bar{o}A} - \frac{\bar{S}^{Ar}p^r}{p^o+\eta\sqrt{p^2}}) + \frac{p^\mu + 2\eta\sqrt{p^2}\eta^{\mu o}}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}(p^o+\eta\sqrt{p^2})}\bar{S}^{\bar{o}r}p^r]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{x}^o &= x^o - \frac{1}{p^2}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}(T_{Ra}\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\pi}_a - \epsilon_{Ra}\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\rho}_a)\\
\tilde{x}^i &= x^i - \frac{1}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}[T_{Ra}\pi^i_a - \epsilon_{Ra}\rho^i_a -\\
&- \frac{\rho^i_a\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\pi}_a - \pi^i_a\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\rho}_a}{p^o+\eta\sqrt{p^2}} + \frac{p^i(T_{Ra}\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\pi}_a - \epsilon_{Ra}\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\rho}_a)}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}(p^o+\eta\sqrt{p^2})}].
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{S}^{ij} &= \delta^{ir}\delta^{js}\bar{S}^{rs}\\
\tilde{S}^{oi} &= -\frac{\delta^{ir}\bar{S}^{rs}p^s}{p^o+\eta\sqrt{p^2}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\bar{S}}^{AB} &= \epsilon^A_\mu(u(p))\epsilon^B_\nu(u(p))\tilde{S}^{\mu\nu} =\\
&= \bar{S}^{AB} - \frac{1}{2}\eta\sqrt{p^2}(\epsilon^A_\mu(u(p))\eta^B_o - \epsilon^B_\mu(u(p))\eta^A_o)\frac{\partial\epsilon^E_\sigma(u(p))}{\partial p_\mu}\epsilon^\sigma_D(u(p))\eta_{EC}\bar{S}^{CD} =\\
&= \bar{S}^{AB}.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

The inverse canonical transformation is

$$\begin{aligned}
x^o &= \tilde{x}^o + \frac{1}{p^2}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}(T_{Ra}\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\pi}_a - \epsilon_{Ra}\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\rho}_a)\\
\vec{x} &= \vec{\tilde{x}} + \frac{1}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}(T_{Ra}\vec{\pi}_a - \epsilon_{Ra}\vec{\rho}_a) +\\
&+ \frac{1}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}(p^o+\eta\sqrt{p^2})}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}[\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\rho}_a\vec{\pi}_a - \vec{p}\cdot\vec{\pi}_a\vec{\rho}_a + \frac{\vec{p}}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}}(T_{Ra}\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\pi}_a - \epsilon_{Ra}\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\rho}_a)]\\
R^o_a &= \frac{1}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}}(T_{Ra}p^o + \vec{p}\cdot\vec{\rho}_a)\\
\vec{R}_a &= \vec{\rho}_a + \frac{\vec{p}}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}}(T_{Ra} + \frac{\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\rho}_a}{p^o+\eta\sqrt{p^2}})\\
Q^o_a &= \frac{1}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}}(\epsilon_{Ra}p^o + \vec{p}\cdot\vec{\pi}_a)\\
\vec{Q}_a &= \vec{\pi}_a + \frac{\vec{p}}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}}(\epsilon_{Ra} + \frac{\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\pi}_a}{p^o+\eta\sqrt{p^2}})
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

and the original variables x_i^μ, p_i^μ have the following form in this new canonical basis:

$$\begin{aligned}
x_i^o &= \tilde{x}^o + \frac{1}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} [\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} (T_{Ra} p^o + \vec{p}\cdot\vec{\rho}_a) + \frac{1}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}} (T_{Ra}\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\pi}_a - \epsilon_{Ra}\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\rho}_a)] \\
\vec{x}_i &= \vec{\tilde{x}} + \frac{1}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} [T_{Ra}\vec{\pi}_a - \epsilon_{Ra}\vec{\rho}_a) + \frac{\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\rho}_a\vec{\pi}_a - \vec{p}\cdot\vec{\pi}_a\vec{\rho}_a}{p^o + \eta\sqrt{p^2}} + \\
&\quad + \frac{\vec{p}}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}} \frac{T_{Ra}\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\pi}_a - \epsilon_{Ra}\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\rho}_a}{p^o + \eta\sqrt{p^2}}] + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} [\vec{\rho}_a + \frac{\vec{p}}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}} (T_{Ra} + \frac{\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\rho}_a}{p^o + \eta\sqrt{p^2}})] \\
p_i^o &= \frac{p^o}{N} + \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} (p^o \epsilon_{Ra} + \vec{p}\cdot\vec{\pi}_a) \\
\vec{p}_i &= \frac{\vec{p}}{N} + \sqrt{N} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} [\vec{\pi}_a + \frac{\vec{p}}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}} (\epsilon_{Ra} + \frac{\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\pi}_a}{p^o + \eta\sqrt{p^2}})].
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

As shown in Ref. [20], it is then convenient to perform the following canonical transformation

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\tilde{x}^\mu \quad p^\mu} \\ \hline \vec{\rho}_a \ \ \vec{\pi}_a & \begin{array}{c} T_{Ra} \\ \\ \\ \epsilon_{Ra} \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \vec{z} \ \ \vec{k} & \begin{array}{c} \mathrm{T} \\ \\ \\ \epsilon \end{array} \\ \hline \vec{\rho}_a \ \ \vec{\pi}_a & \begin{array}{c} T_{Ra} \\ \\ \\ \epsilon_{Ra} \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \tag{21}$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon &= \eta\sqrt{p^2} \\
T &= \frac{p\cdot\tilde{x}}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}} = \frac{p\cdot x}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}} = u(p)\cdot x \\
\vec{k} &= \vec{u}(p) = \frac{\vec{p}}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}}, \quad k^o = u^o(p) = \sqrt{1+\vec{k}^2} \\
\vec{z} &= \eta\sqrt{p^2}(\vec{\tilde{x}} - \frac{\vec{p}}{p^o}\tilde{x}^o)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\{z^i, k^j\} = \delta^{ij}, \qquad \{T, \epsilon\} = -1. \tag{22}$$

Its inverse is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{x}^o &= \sqrt{1+\vec{k}^2}(T + \frac{\vec{k}\cdot\vec{z}}{\epsilon})\\
\tilde{\vec{x}} &= \frac{\vec{z}}{\epsilon} + (T + \frac{\vec{k}\cdot\vec{z}}{\epsilon})\,\vec{k}\\
p^o &= \epsilon\sqrt{1+\vec{k}^2}\\
\vec{p} &= \epsilon\vec{k},
\end{aligned}
\tag{23}
$$

and the form of the Poincaré generators is now

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^\mu &= \epsilon k^\mu\\
J^{ij} &= z^i k^j - z^j k^i + \delta^{ir}\delta^{js}\bar{S}^{rs}\\
J^{oi} &= -z^i k^o + \frac{\delta^{ir}\bar{S}^{rs}p^s}{\epsilon(1+\sqrt{1+\vec{k}^2})}, \qquad J^{io} = -J^{oi}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{24}
$$

Here T is the Lorentz-scalar rest-frame time and ϵ is the invariant mass of the isolated system of N particles. Actually, Eqs.(21) define as many canonical transformations as there are disjoint branches of the mass spectrum (half with $\eta = sign\, p^0 > 0$ and half with $\eta < 0$). All the noncovariance of $\tilde{x}^\mu$ has been shifted to the 3-vector $\vec{z}$ [it has the Euclidean covariance (see Appendix A) of the kinematical stability subgroup of the Poincaré group of timelike orbits]. The noncovariance of $\tilde{x}^\mu$ and $\vec{z}$ is a consequence of, also in the free case, the Lorentz signature of Minkowski spacetime and of the geometry of timelike Poincaré orbits, when one uses the associated instant form of dynamics according to Dirac [11]. In contrast to what is often said, it is not due to the relativistic version of the No-Interaction-Theorem: until it was recognized that this theorem is independent of the Lorentz signature [16], it was difficult to separate this phenomenon from the complications of relativistic kinematics. In the canonical subspace $\vec{z}, \vec{k}$ (treated independently from the canonical pair T, ϵ, whose presence is due to the covariant description with first class constraints) one has $\vec{z} = \eta\sqrt{p^2}\vec{\kappa}$, where the canonical noncovariant three-coordinate $\vec{\kappa} = -\vec{K}/p^o + \vec{\bar{S}} \times \vec{p}/p^o(p^o + \eta\sqrt{p^2})$ [from Eq.(24) with $K^i = J^{oi}$] can be shown to depend only on the Poincaré generators (see the theory of the canonical realizations of the Poincaré group [18] as a special case of the canonical realizations of Lie groups [23]), to be the classical basis of the Newton-Wigner three-position operator [24], and to have free motion without classical zitterbewegung [25] (the problem of classical zitterbewegung will be clarified in Ref. [26]). While these results were obtained in the standard instant form of dynamics $x^o = const.$, now they are recovered (in terms of $\vec{z}$) in a covariant version of the instant form, the "rest-frame instant form $T = const.$". The vector $\vec{z}$ describes the Jacobi data for the center-of-mass three-variable in this rest-frame instant form and $J^{\mu\nu}$ in Eqs.(24) is split in two terms that are separately constants of the motion; in this respect, $\tilde{x}^{\mu}$ has all the properties, except covariance, of the Foldy-Wouthuysen mean position for the electron [27] (see also Ref. [25], where a covariant canonical zitterbewegung-free $\breve{x}^{\mu}$ is shown to exist for certain pole-dipole systems, though no statement is made about extended systems). As shown in Ref. [25,6,9], there are other two notions of center of mass that can be build solely in terms of the Poincaré generators, coincide with $\vec{\kappa}$ in the rest frame and have the same three-velocity of $\vec{\kappa}$. In the instant form $x^o = const.$, they are the Fokker center of inertia [28] $\vec{Y}$ and the Moeller center of energy [29] $\vec{R}$; in the rest-frame instant form $T = const.$ they become $\eta\sqrt{p^2}\vec{Y}$ and $\eta\sqrt{p^2}\vec{R}$, respectively. The vector $\vec{Y}$ is covariant but not canonical ($\{Y^i, Y^j\} \neq 0$), while $\vec{R}$ is neither covariant nor canonical. Since in the rest frame $\vec{\kappa} = \vec{Y} = \vec{R}$, in every frame the Fokker center of inertia describes by construction the worldline obtained by applying to the rest-frame $\vec{\kappa}$ the Lorentz transformation appropriate for going from the rest frame to the given frame. In contrast, $\vec{R}$ is defined by replacing the masses m_i in the Newtonian center of mass by the energies p^o_i. Both $\vec{\kappa}$ and $\vec{R}$ define in every frame a pseudo-worldline; if we draw all these pseudo-worldlines in a given reference frame, we get [25,29] a worldtube around the worldline of the Fokker center of inertia, whose scalar intrinsic radius ρ [29] is determined by the Poincaré Casimirs for timelike orbits with spin

$$\rho = \frac{\sqrt{-W^2}}{p^2} = \frac{|\vec{\bar{S}}|}{\sqrt{p^2}}. \tag{25}$$

See Refs. [6,9] for the remarkable properties of the worldtube and for the proposal to use ρ as a ultraviolet cutoff.

It should be remarked that the definitions of $\vec{\kappa}, \vec{Y}, \vec{R}$ are naturally given in phase space.

Even in the free case ($q_i^\mu = x_i^\mu$), their definitions in configuration space in terms of the q_i^μ's are very involved, being velocity-dependent. This is the reason why the Newtonian definition $\vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \vec{q}_i / \sum_{i=1}^N m_i$ in configuration space does not extend trivially to the relativistic case.

However the canonical variables of Eqs.(21), (22) are not the final ones adapted to the first class constraints of Eq.(1). This can be seen by replacing those constraints with the following linear combinations

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi &= N \sum_{i=1}^N \phi_i = p^2 - N \sum_{i=1}^N [m_i^2 - N \sum_{b,c=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{bi} \hat{\gamma}_{ci} Q_c \cdot Q_c] = \\
&= p^2 - N(\sum_{i=1}^N m_i^2 - N \sum_{b=1}^{N-1} Q_b^2) = \epsilon^2 - N[\sum_{i=1}^N m_i^2 - N \sum_{b=1}^{N-1} (\epsilon_{Rb}^2 - \vec{\pi}_b^2)] \approx 0 \\
\chi_a &= \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \phi_i = p \cdot Q_a - \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\gamma}_{ai} [m_i^2 - N \sum_{b,c=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{bi} \hat{\gamma}_{ci} Q_c \cdot Q_c] = \\
&= p_\mu [Q_a^\mu - \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2} \frac{p^\mu}{p^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\gamma}_{ai} (m_i^2 - N \sum_{b,c=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{bi} \hat{\gamma}_{ci} Q_c \cdot Q_c)] = \\
&= \epsilon \epsilon_{Ra} - \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\gamma}_{ai} [m_i^2 - N \sum_{b,c=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{bi} \hat{\gamma}_{ci} (\epsilon_{Rb} \epsilon_{Rc} - \vec{\pi}_b \cdot \vec{\pi}_c)] = \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}_{Ra} \approx 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$\phi_i = \frac{1}{N^2} \chi + \frac{2}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \chi_a = \frac{1}{N^2} \chi + \frac{2\epsilon}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \hat{\epsilon}_{Ra} \approx 0, \tag{26}$$

where $\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra}$ will be explicitly defined in Eqs. (31) later on.

We see that the N-1 constraints $\chi_a \approx 0$ do not determine the ϵ_{Ra} directly, but they form a system of N-1 quadratic algebraic equations for them. With the constraint $\chi \approx 0$ we obtain a system of N equations for ϵ and the ϵ_{Ra}'s. By substitution we could arrive at a single (ϵ-dependent) equation for each ϵ_{Ra} of order 2^{N-1}; therefore, in general there will be 2^{N-1} sets of different solutions for the ϵ_{Ra}'s, a=1,..,N-1. Each one of these sets of solutions, substituted into $\chi \approx 0$, should give the equation for the spectrum of the invariant mass ϵ of the isolated system of N particles in terms of m_i^2 and $\vec{\pi}_b \cdot \vec{\pi}_c$. Since each free particle has two disjoint branches of its mass spectrum ($\eta_i \sqrt{p_i^2} \approx \pm m_i$), the whole system will have 2^N disjoint branches for ϵ (2^{N-1} for $p^2 = \epsilon^2$); 2^N is a topological number, namely, the dimension of the zeroth homoty group of the mass-spectrum hypersurface in phase space. Even in the free case, these branches are not known because of the difficulty of solving the nonlinear equations for the ϵ_{Ra}'s.

By defining $H_D = \lambda(\tau)\chi + \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \lambda_a(\tau)\chi_a$, one sees that the splittings $J^{\mu\nu} = L^{\mu\nu} + S^{\mu\nu} = \tilde{L}^{\mu\nu} + \tilde{S}^{\mu\nu}$ do not define separate constants of the motion $L^{\mu\nu}, S^{\mu\nu}$ or $\tilde{L}^{\mu\nu}, \tilde{S}^{\mu\nu}$; only Eqs.(24) split $J^{\mu\nu}$ into a pair of constants of the motion.

In any case, Eqs.(26) suggest the following nonlinear (point in the momenta) canonical transformation [19] of the canonical variables of Eqs.(5)

$$\begin{array}{|cc|}\hline x^\mu & p^\mu \\ \hline R^\mu_a & Q^\mu_a \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{|cc|}\hline \hat{x}^\mu & p^\mu \\ \hline \hat{R}^\mu_a & \hat{Q}^\mu_a \\ \hline \end{array} \tag{27}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{x}^\mu &= x^\mu + \frac{N}{2p^2}(\eta^{\mu\nu} - \frac{2p^\mu p^\nu}{p^2}) \sum_{i=1}^N (m_i^2 - N \sum_{u,v=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ui}\hat{\gamma}_{vi} Q_u \cdot Q_v) \times \\
&\times [\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} R_{a\nu} - \\
&- \sum_{b,c=1}^{N-1} p \cdot R_b Q_{c\nu} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{(N\delta_{ij} - 1)\hat{\gamma}_{cj}}{p^2 + N\sqrt{N}\sum_{d=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{dj} p \cdot Q_d} \times \\
&\times (\hat{\gamma}_{bj} + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\hat{\gamma}_{bk}\sum_{u=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{uk} p\cdot Q_u}{p^2 + N\sqrt{N}\sum_{v=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{vk} p\cdot Q_v}}{\sum_{h=1}^N \frac{\sum_{u=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{uh} p\cdot Q_u}{p^2 + N\sqrt{N}\sum_{v=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{vh} p\cdot Q_v}})] = \\
&= x^\mu + \frac{N}{2\epsilon^2} \sum_{i=1}^N [m_i^2 - N \sum_{u,v=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ui}\hat{\gamma}_{vi}(\epsilon_{Ru}\epsilon_{Rv} - \vec{\pi}_u \cdot \vec{\pi}_v)] \times \\
&\times [\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai}(\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p))\rho^r_a - u^\mu(p) T_{Ra}) - \\
&- \sum_{b,c=1}^{N-1} T_{Rb}(\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p))\pi^r_a - u^\mu(p)\epsilon_{Ra}) \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{(N\delta_{ij} - 1)\hat{\gamma}_{cj}}{\epsilon + N\sqrt{N}\sum_{d=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{dj}\epsilon_{Rd}} \times \\
&\times (\hat{\gamma}_{bj} + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\hat{\gamma}_{bk}\sum_{u=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{uk}\epsilon_{Ru}}{\epsilon + N\sqrt{N}\sum_{v=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{vk}\epsilon_{Rv}}}{\sum_{h=1}^N \frac{\sum_{u=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{uh}\epsilon_{Ru}}{\epsilon + N\sqrt{N}\sum_{v=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{vh}\epsilon_{Rv}}})] \\
p^\mu &= p^\mu \\
\hat{R}^\mu_a &= R^\mu_a - n\sqrt{N} \sum_{b,c=1}^{N-1} p \cdot R_b Q^\mu_c \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\hat{\gamma}_{ci}}{p^2 + N\sqrt{N}\sum_{d=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{di} p \cdot Q_d} \times
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times\,(\hat{\gamma}_{bi} + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\hat{\gamma}_{bk}\sum_{u=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{uk}p\cdot Q_u}{p^2+N\sqrt{N}\sum_{v=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{vk}p\cdot Q_v}}{\sum_{h=1}^{N} \frac{\sum_{u=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{uh}p\cdot Q_u}{p^2+N\sqrt{N}\sum_{v=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{vh}p\cdot Q_v}})] =$$

$$= R^\mu_a - n\sqrt{N}\sum_{b,c=1}^{N-1} T_{Rb}Q^\mu_c \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\hat{\gamma}_{ci}}{\epsilon + N\sqrt{N}\sum_{d=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{di}\epsilon_{Rd}} \times$$

$$\times\,(\hat{\gamma}_{bi} + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\hat{\gamma}_{bk}\sum_{u=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{uk}\epsilon_{Ru}}{\epsilon+N\sqrt{N}\sum_{v=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{vk}\epsilon_{Rv}}}{\sum_{h=1}^{N} \frac{\sum_{u=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{uh}\epsilon_{Ru}}{\epsilon+N\sqrt{N}\sum_{v=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{vh}\epsilon_{Rv}}})]$$

$$\hat{Q}^\mu_a = Q^\mu_a - \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2}\frac{p^\mu}{p^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}(m_i^2 - N\sum_{b,c=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{bi}\hat{\gamma}_{ci}Q_b\cdot Q_c) =$$

$$= Q^\mu_a - \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2}\frac{p^\mu}{p^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}[m_i^2 - N\sum_{b,c=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{bi}\hat{\gamma}_{ci}(\epsilon_{Rb}\epsilon_{Rc} - \vec{\pi}_b\cdot\vec{\pi}_c)]. \qquad (28)$$

We now have [it can be checked that eqs.(10) are reproduced by inserting $\hat{x}^\mu$, $\hat{R}^\mu_a$, $\hat{Q}^\mu_a$ from Eqs.(28)]

$$\begin{aligned} J^{\mu\nu} &= \hat{L}^{\mu\nu} + \hat{S}^{\mu\nu} \\ \hat{L}^{\mu\nu} &= \hat{x}^\mu p^\nu - \hat{x}^\nu p^\mu \\ \hat{S}^{\mu\nu} &= \sum_{a=1}^{N-1}(\hat{R}^\mu_a\hat{Q}^\nu_a - \hat{R}^\nu_a\hat{Q}^\mu_a). \end{aligned} \qquad (29)$$

The subsequent canonical transformation

$$\begin{array}{|cc|}\hline \hat{x}^\mu & p^\mu \\ \hline \hat{R}^\mu_a & \hat{Q}^\mu_a \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\tilde{\hat{x}}^\mu \qquad p^\mu} \\ \hline & \hat{T}_{Ra} \\ \hat{\vec{\rho}}_a \;\; \hat{\vec{\pi}}_a & \\ & \hat{\epsilon}_{Ra} \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad (30)$$

defines the following analogues of the variables of Eqs.(13)

$$\tilde{\hat{x}}^\mu = \hat{x}^\mu + \frac{1}{2}\,\epsilon^A_\nu(u(p))\eta_{AB}\frac{\partial\epsilon^B_\rho(u(p))}{\partial p_\mu}\,\hat{S}^{\nu\rho} =$$

$$= \hat{x}^\mu - \frac{1}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}(p^o + \eta\sqrt{p^2})}\,[p_\nu\hat{S}^{\nu\mu} + \eta\sqrt{p^2}(\hat{S}^{o\mu} - \hat{S}^{o\nu}\frac{p_\nu p^\mu}{p^2})]$$

$$p^\mu = p^\mu$$

$$\hat{T}_{Ra} = \frac{p\cdot\hat{R}_a}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}} = T_{Ra} - N\sqrt{N}\epsilon\sum_{b,c=1}^{N-1}T_{Rb}\epsilon_{Rc} \times$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\times \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\hat{\gamma}_{ci}}{\epsilon + N\sqrt{N}\sum_{d=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{di}\epsilon_{Rd}} (\hat{\gamma}_{bi} + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\hat{\gamma}_{bk}\sum_{u=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{uk}\epsilon_{Ru}}{\epsilon+N\sqrt{N}\sum_{v=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{vk}\epsilon_{Rv}}}{\sum_{h=1}^{N} \frac{\sum_{u=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{uh}\epsilon_{Ru}}{\epsilon+N\sqrt{N}\sum_{v=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{vh}\epsilon_{Rv}}})]\\
\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra} &= \frac{p\cdot\hat{Q}_a}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}} = \frac{1}{\epsilon}\chi_a =\\
&= \frac{1}{\epsilon}[\epsilon\epsilon_{Ra} - \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}(m_i^2 - N\sum_{b,c=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{bi}\hat{\gamma}_{ci}[\epsilon_{Rb}\epsilon_{Rc} - \vec{\pi}_b\cdot\vec{\pi}_c])] \approx 0\\
\hat{\vec{\rho}}_a &= \vec{\rho}_a - N\sqrt{N}\sum_{b,c=1}^{N-1} T_{Rb}\vec{\pi}_c \times\\
&\times \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\hat{\gamma}_{ci}}{\epsilon + N\sqrt{N}\sum_{d=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{di}\epsilon_{Rd}} (\hat{\gamma}_{bi} + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\hat{\gamma}_{bk}\sum_{u=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{uk}\epsilon_{Ru}}{\epsilon+N\sqrt{N}\sum_{v=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{vk}\epsilon_{Rv}}}{\sum_{h=1}^{N} \frac{\sum_{u=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{uh}\epsilon_{Ru}}{\epsilon+N\sqrt{N}\sum_{v=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{vh}\epsilon_{Rv}}})]\\
\hat{\vec{\pi}}_a &= \vec{\pi}_a. \qquad (31)
\end{aligned}
$$

We now have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{\mu\nu} = \hat{\tilde{L}}^{\mu\nu} + \hat{\tilde{S}}^{\mu\nu}&\\
\hat{\tilde{L}}^{\mu\nu} &= \hat{\tilde{x}}^{\mu} p^{\nu} - \hat{\tilde{x}}^{\nu} p^{\mu}\\
\hat{\tilde{S}}^{\mu\nu} &= \hat{S}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^A_\rho(u(p))\eta_{AB}(\frac{\partial\epsilon^B_\sigma(u(p))}{\partial p_\mu} p^\nu - \frac{\partial\epsilon^B_\sigma(u(p))}{\partial p_\nu} p^\mu)\hat{S}^{\rho\sigma} =\\
&= \hat{S}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}(p^o + \eta\sqrt{p^2})}[p_\beta(\hat{S}^{\beta\mu}p^\nu - \hat{S}^{\beta\nu}p^\mu) + \eta\sqrt{p^2}(\hat{S}^{o\mu}p^\nu - \hat{S}^{o\nu}p^\mu)]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\tilde{S}}^{oi} &= -\frac{1}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}}[(p^o - \eta\sqrt{p^2})\hat{S}^{oi} + \frac{p^k(\hat{S}^{ko}p^i - \hat{S}^{ki}p^o)}{p^o + \eta\sqrt{p^2}}]\\
\hat{\tilde{S}}^{ij} &= \hat{S}^{ij} + \frac{1}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}}(\hat{S}^{oi}p^j - \hat{S}^{oj}p^i) - \frac{p^k(\hat{S}^{ki}p^j - \hat{S}^{kj}p^i)}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}(p^o + \eta\sqrt{p^2})}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\hat{\tilde{S}}^{AB} = \epsilon^A_\mu(u(p))\epsilon^B_\nu(u(p))\hat{S}^{\mu\nu}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\tilde{S}}^{\bar{o}r} &= \sum_{a=1}^{N-1}(\hat{T}_{Ra}\pi^r_a - \hat{\rho}^r_a\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra})\\
\hat{\tilde{S}}^{rs} &= \sum_{a=1}^{N-1}(\hat{\rho}^r_a\pi^s_a - \hat{\rho}^s_a\pi^r_a)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\hat{\bar{S}}^r = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{rst}\hat{\bar{S}}^{st} = \sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\bar{S}}^r_a, \qquad \hat{\vec{\bar{S}}}_a = \hat{\vec{\rho}}_a \times \vec{\pi}_a$$

$$\hat{\tilde{x}}^\mu = \hat{x}^\mu - \frac{1}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}}[\eta^\mu_A(\hat{\bar{S}}^{\bar{o}A} - \frac{\hat{\bar{S}}^{Ar}p^r}{p^o+\eta\sqrt{p^2}}) + \frac{p^\mu + 2\eta\sqrt{p^2}\eta^{\mu o}}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}(p^o+\eta\sqrt{p^2})}\hat{\bar{S}}^{\bar{o}r}p^r]. \tag{32}$$

Note that if we put $T_{Ra} = 0$, a=1,..,N-1, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{T}_{Ra} = 0,\\
&\hat{\vec{\rho}}_a = \vec{\rho}_a,\\
&\hat{\bar{S}}^i = \bar{S}^i,\\
&\hat{\bar{S}}^{\bar{o}r} = -\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\rho^r_a\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra} \approx 0,\\
&\hat{\tilde{x}}^\mu \approx \hat{x}^\mu + \frac{\eta^\mu_s\hat{\bar{S}}^{sr}p^r}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}(p^o+\eta\sqrt{p^2})},\\
&p\cdot\hat{\tilde{x}} = p\cdot\hat{x} = p\cdot x.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

The final canonical transformation, the analogue of Eq.(21), is

$$\begin{array}{|cc|c|}\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\hat{\tilde{x}}^\mu \quad p^\mu}\\ \hline & & \hat{T}_{Ra}\\ \hat{\vec{\rho}}_a & \vec{\pi}_a & \\ & & \hat{\epsilon}_{Ra}\\ \hline \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{|cc|c|}\hline & & \hat{T}\\ \hat{\vec{z}} & \vec{k} & \\ & & \epsilon\\ \hline & & \hat{T}_{Ra}\\ \hat{\vec{\rho}}_a & \vec{\pi}_a & \\ & & \hat{\epsilon}_{Ra}\\ \hline \end{array} \tag{34}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{T} = \frac{p\cdot\hat{\tilde{x}}}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}} = \frac{p\cdot\hat{x}}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}}\\
&\epsilon = \eta\sqrt{p^2}\\
&\hat{\vec{z}} = \eta\sqrt{p^2}(\hat{\vec{\tilde{x}}} - \frac{\vec{p}}{p^o}\hat{\tilde{x}}^o)\\
&\vec{k} = \frac{\vec{p}}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

The canonical basis of Eqs.(34) is a quasi-Shanmugadhasan basis because the N-1 relative energy variables vanish if the constraints $\chi_a \approx 0$ are used; therefore, it seems suitable for the description of interactions, which yield relativistic bound states without relative energy excitations at the quantum level. Only the mass-spectrum constraint is not contained in the basis, because it describes 2^N disjoint branches

$$\epsilon - \lambda_A(m_i, \vec{\pi}_a \cdot \vec{\pi}_b) \approx 0, \qquad A = 1,..,2^N. \tag{36}$$

Therefore, in the free case one could define 2^N Shanmugadhasan canonical transformations containing also the variables $\epsilon - \lambda_A$; this is also possible for all Liouville integrable interactions. Moreover, Eqs.(34) are adapted to the Poincaré Casimir $p^2 = \epsilon^2$, single out a rest-frame time $\hat{T}$ as the natural time parameter, and show that the relative times $\hat{T}_{Ra}$ are gauge variables: namely, it is a freedom of the observer whether to describe the N particles with a 1-time theory (for instance by adding the gauge-fixings $\hat{T}_{Ra} = 0$) or with an N-time theory [or even with an F-time one with $1 < F < N$].

The only problem with the canonical transformation (31) is that to get its explicit inversion one has to solve the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{N\sqrt{N}}{2}\sum_{b,c=1}^{N-1}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\hat{\gamma}_{bi}\hat{\gamma}_{ci}\ \Big)\ \epsilon_{Rb}\epsilon_{Rc} + \epsilon\epsilon_{Ra} - \epsilon\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra} - \\ - \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}[m_i^2 + N\sum_{b,c=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{bi}\hat{\gamma}_{ci}\vec{\pi}_b \cdot \vec{\pi}_c] = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

in order to obtain the old variables ϵ_{Ra} in terms of the new $\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra}$ and the kinetic terms $\vec{\pi}_b \cdot \vec{\pi}_c$. For $\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra}$, this is the same set of equations discussed previously, whose solution is needed also to determine the branches of the mass spectrum. So far, the inversion is known only for N=2 [20,19], as can be checked in Appendix B. Actually, it turns out that one should solve Eqs.(37) together with the first of Eqs.(26),

$\chi = \epsilon^2 - N[\sum_{i=1}^N m_i^2 - N\sum_{b=1}^{N-1}(\epsilon_{Rb}^2 - \vec{\pi}_b^2)] \approx 0,$

which determines the mass specturm, as a system of N equations in the N variables ϵ, ϵ_{Ra}, a=1,..,N.

Equations (37) are still untractable even if we restrict ourselves to a rest-frame 1-time theory by adding the gauge-fixings $T_{Ra} \approx 0$, which imply $\hat{T}_{Ra} \approx 0$ and all the results of

Eqs.(33), so that one can eliminate the N-1 pairs of second class constraints $\hat{T}_{Ra} \approx 0$, $\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra} \approx 0$ by going to Dirac brackets.

We shall see in the next Section that there is an approach to the 1-time theory that allows us to find the 2^N branches of the mass spectrum and the 2^{N-1} solutions of Eqs.(37).

III. THE 1-TIME THEORY

Since in the case of a charged scalar particle interacting with the electromagnetic field it is not clear how, in the absence of a covariant notion of equal times, to evaluate the Poisson bracket of the particle mass-spectrum constraint $(p(\tau) - eA(x(\tau)))^2 - m^2 \approx 0$ and of the field primary constraint $\pi^o(\vec{z}, z^o) \approx 0$, this system was reformulated in Ref. [21] on spacelike hypersurfaces following Dirac's approach to parametrized field theory [1]. To describe the intersection of a particle worldline with $\Sigma(\tau)$, only three coordinates $\vec{\sigma} = \vec{\eta}(\tau)$ are needed and not four, since the time variable is the parameter τ labelling the hypersurfaces of the family. But this implies a covariant solution of the constraint $p^2 - m^2 \approx 0$ and a choice of the sign $\eta = sign\, p^o$. For N free particles, one obtains a 1-time description for every choice of $\eta_i = sign\, p^o_i$ with particle coordinates $\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)$ and with $x^\mu_i(\tau) = z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))$ describing the i-th particle worldline [so that $\dot{x}^\mu_i(\tau) = z^\mu_\tau(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + z^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\dot{\eta}^{\check{r}}_i(\tau)$]; moreover, $sign\, \dot{x}^o_i(\tau) = \eta_i$. See Appendix C for the notations.

As shown in Ref. [21], the system is described by the action

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \int d\tau d^3\sigma\, \mathcal{L}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = \int d\tau L(\tau)\\
&\mathcal{L}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) = -\sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\eta_i m_i \sqrt{g_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + 2g_{\tau\check{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\dot{\eta}^{\check{r}}_i(\tau) + g_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\dot{\eta}^{\check{r}}_i(\tau)\dot{\eta}^{\check{s}}_i(\tau)}\\
&L(\tau) = -\sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i m_i \sqrt{g_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + 2g_{\tau\check{r}}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\dot{\eta}^{\check{r}}_i(\tau) + g_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\dot{\eta}^{\check{r}}_i(\tau)\dot{\eta}^{\check{s}}_i(\tau)},
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

where the configuration variables are $z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ and $\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)$, i=1,..,N. The action is invariant under separate τ- and $\vec{\sigma}$-reparametrizations.

The canonical momenta are

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_\mu(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})}{\partial z^\mu_\tau(\tau, \vec{\sigma})} = \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\eta_i m_i\\
&\qquad \frac{z_{\tau\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + z_{\check{r}\mu}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\dot{\eta}^{\check{r}}_i(\tau)}{\sqrt{g_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + 2g_{\tau\check{r}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\dot{\eta}^{\check{r}}_i(\tau) + g_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})\dot{\eta}^{\check{r}}_i(\tau)\dot{\eta}^{\check{s}}_i(\tau)}} =\\
&= [(\rho_\nu l^\nu) l_\mu + (\rho_\nu z^\nu_{\check{r}})\gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}} z_{\check{s}\mu}](\tau, \vec{\sigma})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_{i\check{r}}(\tau) &= -\frac{\partial L(\tau)}{\partial \dot{\eta}_i^{\check{r}}(\tau)} = \\ &= \eta_i m_i \frac{g_{\tau\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + g_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\dot{\eta}_i^{\check{s}}(\tau)}{\sqrt{g_{\tau\tau}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + 2g_{\tau\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\dot{\eta}_i^{\check{r}}(\tau) + g_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\dot{\eta}_i^{\check{r}}(\tau)\dot{\eta}_i^{\check{s}}(\tau)}},\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

and the following Poisson brackets are assumed

$$\begin{aligned}&\{z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}), \rho_\nu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}^{'}\}= -\eta^\mu_\nu \delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\sigma}^{'})\\ &\{\eta_i^{\check{r}}(\tau), \kappa_j^{\check{s}}(\tau)\} = \delta_{ij}\delta^{\check{r}\check{s}}.\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

From Eqs.(39) one obtains the following four primary constraints by using Eqs.(C4), (C5)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= \rho_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - l_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\eta_i\sqrt{m_i^2 - \gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\kappa_{i\check{r}}(\tau)\kappa_{i\check{s}}(\tau)} - \\ &- z_{\check{r}\mu}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\kappa_{i\check{s}} \approx 0,\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

which satisfy

$$\{\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}), \mathcal{H}_\nu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}^{'})\} = 0. \tag{42}$$

Since the canonical Hamiltonian vanishes, one has the Dirac Hamiltonian [$\lambda^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ are Dirac's multipliers]

$$H_D = \int d^3\sigma \lambda^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}), \tag{43}$$

and one finds that $\{\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}), H_D\} = 0$. Therefore, there are only the four first class constraints of Eq.(41). The constraints $\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0$ describe the arbitrariness of the foliation: physical results do not depend on its choice.

The conserved Poincaré generators are (the suffix "s" denotes the hypersurface $\Sigma(\tau)$)

$$\begin{aligned}p^\mu_s &= \int d^3\sigma \rho^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\\ J^{\mu\nu}_s &= \int d^3\sigma [z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\rho^\nu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - z^\nu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\rho^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})],\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

and one has

$$\{z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}), p_s^\nu\} = -\eta^\mu_\nu \tag{45}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int d^3\sigma \mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = p_s^\mu - \sum_{i=1}^N l^\mu(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\eta_i\sqrt{m_i^2 - \gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\kappa_{i\check{r}}(\tau)\kappa_{i\check{s}}(\tau)} - \\ - \sum_{i=1}^N z^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\kappa_{i\check{s}}(\tau) \approx 0.\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Let us now restrict ourselves to spacelike hyperplanes $\Sigma_H(\tau)$ by imposing the gauge-fixings

$$\zeta^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - x^\mu_s(\tau) - b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau)\sigma^{\check{r}} \approx 0$$

$$\{\zeta^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}), \mathcal{H}_\nu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}^{'})\} = -\eta^\mu_\nu\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\sigma}^{'}), \tag{47}$$

where $b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau)$, $\check{r}=1,2,3$, are three orthonormal vectors such that the constant (future pointing) normal to the hyperplane is

$$l^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx l^\mu = b^\mu_\tau = \epsilon^\mu{}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} b^\alpha_{\check{1}}(\tau) b^\beta_{\check{2}}(\tau) b^\gamma_{\check{3}}(\tau). \tag{48}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&z^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau)\\ &z^\mu_\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx \dot{x}^\mu_s(\tau) + \dot{b}^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau)\sigma^{\check{r}}\\ &g_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx -\delta_{\check{r}\check{s}}, \qquad \gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx -\delta^{\check{r}\check{s}}, \qquad \gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 1.\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

By introducing the Dirac brackets for the resulting second class constraints

$$\{A,B\}^* = \{A,B\} - \int d^3\sigma[\{A,\zeta^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\}\{\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}),B\} - \{A,\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\}\{\zeta^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}),B\}], \tag{50}$$

one finds by using Eq.(45)

$$\{x^\mu_s(\tau), p^\nu_s(\tau)\}^* = -\eta^{\mu\nu}. \tag{51}$$

The ten degrees of freedom describing the hyperplane are $x^\mu_s(\tau)$ with conjugate momentum p^μ_s and six variables $\phi_\lambda(\tau)$, $\lambda=1,..,6$, which parametrize the orthonormal tetrad $b^\mu_{\check{A}}(\tau)$, with their conjugate momenta $T_\lambda(\tau)$.

The preservation in time of the gauge-fixings $\zeta^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0$ implies

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}\zeta^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \{\zeta^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}), H_D\} = -\lambda^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - \dot{x}^\mu_s(\tau) - \dot{b}^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau)\sigma^{\check{r}} \approx 0, \tag{52}$$

so that one has [by using $\dot{b}^\mu_\tau = 0$ and $\dot{b}^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau) b^\nu_{\check{r}}(\tau) = -b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau)\dot{b}^\nu_{\check{r}}(\tau)$]

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx \tilde{\lambda}^\mu(\tau) + \tilde{\lambda}^\mu{}_\nu(\tau) b^\nu_{\check{r}}(\tau)\sigma^{\check{r}}\\
&\qquad\qquad \tilde{\lambda}^\mu(\tau) = -\dot{x}^\mu_s(\tau)\\
&\qquad\qquad \tilde{\lambda}^{\mu\nu}(\tau) = -\tilde{\lambda}^{\nu\mu}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}[\dot{b}^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau) b^\nu_{\check{r}}(\tau) - b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau)\dot{b}^\nu_{\check{r}}(\tau)].
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Therefore the Dirac Hamiltonian becomes

$$H_D = \tilde{\lambda}^\mu(\tau)\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\mu(\tau) - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\lambda}^{\mu\nu}(\tau)\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu\nu}(\tau), \tag{54}$$

and only the following ten first class constraints are left

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^\mu(\tau) &= \int d^3\sigma \mathcal{H}^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \, p^\mu_s - l^\mu \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)} + b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau)\sum_{i=1}^N \kappa_{i\check{r}}(\tau) \approx 0,\\
\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\mu\nu}(\tau) &= b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau)\int d^3\sigma\sigma^{\check{r}}\,\mathcal{H}^\nu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - b^\nu_{\check{r}}(\tau)\int d^3\sigma\sigma^{\check{r}}\,\mathcal{H}^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) =\\
&\quad = S^{\mu\nu}_s(\tau) - [b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau)b^\nu_\tau - b^\nu_{\check{r}}(\tau)b^\mu_\tau]\sum_{i=1}^N \eta^{\check{r}}_i(\tau)\eta_i\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)} -\\
&\quad - [b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau)b^\nu_{\check{s}}(\tau) - b^\nu_{\check{r}}(\tau)b^\mu_{\check{s}}(\tau)]\sum_{i=1}^N \eta^{\check{r}}_i(\tau)\kappa^{\check{s}}_i(\tau) \approx 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Here $S^{\mu\nu}_s$ is the spin part of the Lorentz generators

$$\begin{aligned}
&J^{\mu\nu}_s = x^\mu_s p^\nu_s - x^\nu_s p^\mu_s + S^{\mu\nu}_s\\
&\qquad\quad S^{\mu\nu}_s = b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau)\int d^3\sigma\sigma^{\check{r}}\rho^\nu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - b^\nu_{\check{r}}(\tau)\int d^3\sigma\sigma^{\check{r}}\rho^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}).
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

As shown in Ref. [30] (see also the Appendix of Ref. [31]), instead of finding $\phi_\lambda(\tau), T_\lambda(\tau)$, one can use the redundant variables $b^\mu_{\check{A}}(\tau), S^{\mu\nu}_s(\tau)$, with the following Dirac brackets assuring the validity of the orthonormality condition $\eta^{\mu\nu} - b^\mu_{\check{A}}\eta^{\check{A}\check{B}}b^\nu_{\check{B}} = 0$ [$C^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}_{\gamma\delta} = \eta^\nu_\gamma\eta^\alpha_\delta\eta^{\mu\beta} + \eta^\mu_\gamma\eta^\beta_\delta\eta^{\nu\alpha} - \eta^\nu_\gamma\eta^\beta_\delta\eta^{\mu\alpha} - \eta^\mu_\gamma\eta^\alpha_\delta\eta^{\nu\beta}$ are the structure constants of the Lorentz group]

$$\begin{aligned}
\{S^{\mu\nu}_s, b^\rho_{\check{A}}\}^* &= \eta^{\rho\nu}b^\mu_{\check{A}} - \eta^{\rho\mu}b^\nu_{\check{A}}\\
\{S^{\mu\nu}_s, S^{\alpha\beta}_s\}^* &= C^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}_{\gamma\delta}S^{\gamma\delta}_s,
\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

so that while $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\mu}(\tau) \approx 0$ has zero Dirac bracket with itself and with $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\mu\nu}(\tau) \approx 0$ these last six constraints have the Dirac brackets

$$\{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\mu\nu}(\tau), \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\alpha\beta}(\tau)\}^{*} = C^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}_{\gamma\delta} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\gamma\delta}(\tau) \approx 0. \tag{58}$$

Let us now restrict ourselves to configurations with $p^2_s > 0$ and let us boost at rest with the Wigner boost $L^{\mu}{}_{\nu}(\overset{\circ}{p}_s, p_s)$ the variables $b^{\mu}_{\check{A}}$, $S^{\mu\nu}_s$ of the non-Darboux basis

$$x^{\mu}_s, p^{\mu}_s, b^{\mu}_{\check{A}}, S^{\mu\nu}_s, \eta^{\check{r}}_i, \kappa^{\check{r}}_i$$

of the Dirac brackets $\{.,.\}^{*}$ [in Refs. [6] this step is missing; the final results in those papers are not changed, but the reduction associated with the following Eqs.(62) is not sufficient to get them as erroneously stated in Refs. [6]]. The following new non-Darboux basis is obtained ($\tilde{x}^{\mu}_s$ is no more a fourvector)

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{x}^{\mu}_s &= x^{\mu}_s + \frac{1}{2}\, \epsilon^{A}_{\nu}(u(p_s))\eta_{AB} \frac{\partial \epsilon^{B}_{\rho}(u(p_s))}{\partial p_{s\mu}}\, S^{\nu\rho}_s = \\
&= x^{\mu}_s - \frac{1}{\eta\sqrt{p^2_s}(p^o_s + \eta\sqrt{p^2_s})}\, [p_{s\nu} S^{\nu\mu}_s + \eta\sqrt{p^2_s}(S^{o\mu}_s - S^{o\nu}_s \frac{p_{s\nu}p^{\mu}_s}{p^2_s})] = \\
&= x^{\mu}_s - \frac{1}{\eta_s\sqrt{p^2_s}} [\eta^{\mu}_A(\bar{S}^{\bar{o}A}_s - \frac{\bar{S}^{Ar}_s p^r_s}{p^o_s + \eta_s\sqrt{p^2_s}}) + \frac{p^{\mu}_s + 2\eta_s\sqrt{p^2_s}\eta^{\mu o}}{\eta_s\sqrt{p^2_s}(p^o_s + \eta_s\sqrt{p^2_s})} \bar{S}^{\bar{o}r}_s p^r_s]
\end{aligned}$$

$$p^{\mu}_s = p^{\mu}_s$$

$$\eta^{\check{r}}_i = \eta^{\check{r}}_i$$

$$\kappa^{\check{r}}_i = \kappa^{\check{r}}_i$$

$$\begin{aligned}
b^{A}_{\check{r}} &= \epsilon^{A}_{\mu}(u(p_s)) b^{\mu}_{\check{r}} \\
\tilde{S}^{\mu\nu}_s &= S^{\mu\nu}_s - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{A}_{\rho}(u(p_s))\eta_{AB}(\frac{\partial \epsilon^{B}_{\sigma}(u(p_s))}{\partial p_{s\mu}}\, p^{\nu}_s - \frac{\partial \epsilon^{B}_{\sigma}(u(p_s))}{\partial p_{s\nu}}\, p^{\mu}_s) S^{\rho\sigma}_s = \\
&= S^{\mu\nu}_s + \frac{1}{\eta\sqrt{p^2_s}(p^o_s + \eta\sqrt{p^2_s})} [p_{s\beta}(S^{\beta\mu}_s p^{\nu}_s - S^{\beta\nu}_s p^{\mu}_s) + \eta\sqrt{p^2_s}(S^{o\mu}_s p^{\nu}_s - S^{o\nu}_s p^{\mu}_s)]
\end{aligned}$$

$$J_s^{\mu\nu} = \tilde{x}_s^\mu p_s^\nu - \tilde{x}_s^\nu p_s^\mu + \tilde{S}_s^{\mu\nu}, \tag{59}$$

We have [cf. Eq.(A7)]

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\tilde{x}_s^\mu, p_s^\nu\}^* = 0\\
&\{\tilde{S}_s^{oi}, b^r_{\check{A}}\}^* = \frac{\delta^{is}(p_s^r b^s_{\check{A}} - p_s^s b^r_{\check{A}})}{p_s^o + \eta_s\sqrt{p_s^2}}\\
&\{\tilde{S}_s^{ij}, b^r_{\check{A}}\}^* = (\delta^{ir}\delta^{js} - \delta^{is}\delta^{jr}) b^s_{\check{A}}\\
&\{\tilde{S}_s^{\mu\nu}, \tilde{S}_s^{\alpha\beta}\}^* = C^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}_{\gamma\delta}\tilde{S}_s^{\gamma\delta},
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

and we can define

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{S}_s^{AB} &= \epsilon^A_\mu(u(p_s))\epsilon^B_\nu(u(p_s)) S_s^{\mu\nu} \approx [b^A_{\check{r}}(\tau) b^B_\tau - b^B_{\check{r}}(\tau) b^A_\tau] \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i^{\check{r}}(\tau)\eta_i\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)} +\\
&+ [b^A_{\check{r}}(\tau) b^B_{\check{s}}(\tau) - b^B_{\check{r}}(\tau) b^A_{\check{s}}(\tau)] \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i^{\check{r}}(\tau)\kappa_i^{\check{s}}(\tau).
\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

Let us now add six more gauge-fixings by selecting the special family of spacelike hyperplanes orthogonal to p_s^μ (this is possible for $p_s^2 > 0$), which can be called the 'Wigner foliation' of Minkowski spacetime. This can be done by requiring (only six conditions are independent)

$$\begin{aligned}
&T^\mu_{\check{A}}(\tau) = b^\mu_{\check{A}}(\tau) - \epsilon^\mu_{A=\check{A}}(u(p_s)) \approx 0\\
&\qquad \Rightarrow \quad b^A_{\check{A}}(\tau) = \epsilon^A_\mu(u(p_s)) b^\mu_{\check{A}}(\tau) \approx \eta^A_{\check{A}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

Now the tetrad $b^\mu_{\check{A}}$ has become $\epsilon^\mu_A(u(p_s))$ and the indices '$\check{r}$' are forced to coincide with the Wigner spin-1 indices 'r', while $\bar{o} = \tau$ is a Lorentz-scalar index. One has

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{S}_s^{AB} &\approx (\eta^A_{\check{r}}\eta^B_\tau - \eta^B_{\check{r}}\eta^A_\tau) \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i^{\check{r}}(\tau)\eta_i\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)} +\\
&+ (\eta^A_{\check{r}}\eta^B_{\check{s}} - \eta^B_{\check{r}}\eta^A_{\check{s}}) \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i^{\check{r}}(\tau)\kappa_i^{\check{s}}(\tau)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{S}_s^{rs} \approx \sum_{i=1}^N (\eta_i^r\kappa_i^s - \eta_i^s\kappa_i^r)$$

$$\bar{S}_s^{\bar{o}r} \approx -\bar{S}_s^{r\bar{o}} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_i^r \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_s^{ij} &\approx \tilde{x}_s^i p_s^j - \tilde{x}_s^j p_s^i + \delta^{ir}\delta^{js}\bar{S}_s^{rs} \\
J_s^{oi} &\approx \tilde{x}^o p_s^i - \tilde{x}_s^i p_s^o - \frac{\delta^{ir}\bar{S}_s^{rs} p_s^s}{p_s^o + \eta_s\sqrt{p_s^2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

The time constancy of $T^\mu_{\check{A}} \approx 0$ with respect to the Dirac Hamiltonian of Eq.(54) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d\tau}[b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau) - \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))] &= \{b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau) - \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s)), H_D\}^* = \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\lambda}^{\alpha\beta}(\tau)\{b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau), S_{s\alpha\beta}(\tau)\}^* = \tilde{\lambda}^{\mu\alpha}(\tau) b_{\check{r}\alpha}(\tau) \approx 0 \\
&\Rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}^{\mu\nu}(\tau) \approx 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

so that the independent gauge-fixings contained in Eqs.(62) and the constraints $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\mu\nu}(\tau) \approx 0$ form six pairs of second class constraints.

Besides Eqs.(49), now we have [remember that $\dot{x}^\mu_s(\tau) = -\tilde{\lambda}^\mu(\tau)$]

$$\begin{aligned}
&l^\mu = b^\mu_\tau = u^\mu(p_s) \\
&z^\mu_\tau(\tau) = \dot{x}^\mu_s(\tau) = \sqrt{g(\tau)}u^\mu(p_s) - \dot{x}_{s\nu}(\tau)\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\epsilon^\nu_r(u(p_s)) \\
&g(\tau) = [\dot{x}_{s\mu}(\tau)u^\mu(p_s))]^2 \\
&g_{\tau\tau} = \dot{x}_s^2, \qquad g^{\tau\tau} = \frac{1}{g}, \qquad g^{\tau\check{r}} = \frac{1}{g}\dot{x}_{s\mu}\delta^{\check{r}s}\epsilon^\mu_s(u(p_s)) \\
&g_{\tau\check{r}} = \dot{x}_{s\mu}\delta_{\check{r}s}\epsilon^\mu_s(u(p_s)), \qquad g^{\check{r}\check{s}} = -\delta^{\check{r}\check{s}} + \frac{\delta^{\check{r}u}\delta^{\check{s}v}}{g(\tau)}\dot{x}_{s\mu}\epsilon^\mu_u(u(p_s))\dot{x}_{s\nu}\epsilon^\nu_v(u(p_s)).
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

On the hyperplane $\Sigma_W(\tau)$ all the degrees of freedom $z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ are reduced to the four degrees of freedom $\tilde{x}^\mu_s(\tau)$, which replace x^μ_s. The Dirac Hamiltonian is now $H_D = \tilde{\lambda}^\mu(\tau)\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\mu(\tau)$ with

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^\mu(\tau) = p^\mu_s - u^\mu(p_s)\sum_{i=1}^{N}\eta_i\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)} - \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\sum_{i=1}^{N}\kappa^r_i(\tau) \approx 0. \tag{66}$$

To find the new Dirac brackets, one needs to evaluate the matrix of the old Dirac brackets of the second class constraints (without extracting the independent ones)

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} \{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\alpha\beta}, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\gamma\delta}\}^* \approx 0 & \{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\alpha\beta}, T^\sigma_{\check{B}}\}^* = \\ & = \delta_{\check{B}B}[\eta^{\sigma\beta}\epsilon^\alpha_B(u(p_s)) - \eta^{\sigma\alpha}\epsilon^\beta_B(u(p_s))] \\ \{T^\rho_{\check{A}}, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\gamma\delta}\}^* = & \{T^\rho_{\check{A}}, T^\sigma_{\check{B}}\}^* = 0 \\ = \delta_{\check{A}A}[\eta^{\rho\gamma}\epsilon^\delta_A(u(p_s)) - \eta^{\rho\delta}\epsilon^\gamma_A(u(p_s))] & . \end{pmatrix} \tag{67}$$

Since the constraints are redundant, this matrix has the following left and right null eigenvectors: $\begin{pmatrix} a_{\alpha\beta} = a_{\beta\alpha} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ [$a_{\alpha\beta}$ arbitrary], $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \epsilon^B_\sigma(u(p_s)) \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore, according to Ref. [33], one has to find a left and right quasi-inverse $\bar{C}$, $\bar{C}C = C\bar{C} = D$, such that $\bar{C}$ and D have the same left and right null eigenvectors. One finds

$$\bar{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{\gamma\delta\mu\nu} & \frac{1}{4}[\eta_{\gamma\tau}\epsilon^D_\delta(u(p_s)) - \eta_{\delta\tau}\epsilon^D_\gamma(u(p_s))] \\ \frac{1}{4}[\eta_{\sigma\nu}\epsilon^B_\mu(u(p_s)) - \eta_{\sigma\mu}\epsilon^B_\nu(u(p_s))] & 0^{BD}_{\sigma\tau} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\bar{C}C = C\bar{C} = D = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(\eta^\alpha_\mu\eta^\beta_\nu - \eta^\alpha_\nu\eta^\beta_\mu) & 0^{\alpha\beta D}_\tau \\ 0^\rho_{A\mu\nu} & \frac{1}{2}(\eta^\rho_\tau\eta^D_A - \epsilon^{D\rho}(u(p_s))\epsilon_{A\tau}(u(p_s)) \end{pmatrix} \tag{68}$$

and the new Dirac brackets are

$$\begin{aligned} \{A,B\}^{**} &= \{A,B\}^* - \frac{1}{4}[\{A,\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\gamma\delta}\}^*[\eta_{\gamma\tau}\epsilon^D_\delta(u(p_s)) - \eta_{\delta\tau}\epsilon^D_\gamma(u(p_s))]\{T^\tau_D, B\}^* + \\ &+ \{A, T^\sigma_B\}^*[\eta_{\sigma\nu}\epsilon^B_\mu(u(p_s)) - \eta_{\sigma\mu}\epsilon^B_\nu(u(p_s))]\{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\mu\nu}, B\}^*]. \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

While the check of $\{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\alpha\beta}, B\}^{**} = 0$ is immediate, we must use the relation $b_{\check{A}\mu}T^\mu_D\epsilon^{D\rho} = -T^\rho_{\check{A}}$ [at this level we have $T^\mu_{\check{A}} = T^\mu_A$] to check $\{T^\rho_A, B\}^{**} = 0$.

Then, we find the following brackets for the remaining variables $\tilde{x}^\mu_s, p^\mu_s, \eta^r_i, \kappa^r_i$

$$\begin{aligned} \{\tilde{x}^\mu_s, p^\nu_s\}^{**} &= -\eta^{\mu\nu} \\ \{\eta^r_i, \kappa^s_j\}^{**} &= \delta_{ij}\delta^{rs}, \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

and the following form of the Poincaré generators

$$\begin{aligned} & p^\mu_s \\ & J^{\mu\nu}_s = \tilde{x}^\mu_s p^\nu_s - \tilde{x}^\nu_s p^\mu_s + \tilde{S}^{\mu\nu}_s \\ & \qquad \tilde{S}^{oi}_s = -\frac{\delta^{ir}\bar{S}^{rs}_s p^s_s}{p^o_s + \eta_s\sqrt{p^2_s}} \\ & \qquad \tilde{S}^{ij}_s = \delta^{ir}\delta^{js}\bar{S}^{rs}_s. \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

Therefore, $\tilde{x}^\mu_s$ is not a fourvector and $\vec{\eta}_i, \vec{\kappa}i$ transform as Wigner spin-1 3-vectors.

Let us come back to the four first class constraints $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^\mu(\tau) \approx 0$, $\{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^\mu, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^\nu\}^{**} = 0$, of Eq.(66). They can be rewritten in the following form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{H}(\tau) = u^\mu(p_s)\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_\mu(\tau) = \eta_s\sqrt{p_s^2} - \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)} = \epsilon_s - \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i\sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2(\tau)} \approx 0\\
&\vec{\mathcal{H}}_p(\tau) = \vec{\kappa}_+ = \sum_{i=1}^N \vec{\kappa}_i(\tau) \approx 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

The first one gives the mass spectrum of the isolated system, while the other three say that the total 3-momentum of the N particles on the hyperplane $\Sigma_W(\tau)$ vanishes. The Dirac Hamiltonian is now $H_D = \lambda(\tau)\mathcal{H}(\tau) - \vec{\lambda}(\tau) \cdot \vec{\mathcal{H}}_p(\tau)$ and we have $\dot{\tilde{x}}^\mu_s = \{\tilde{x}^\mu_s, H_D\}^{**} = -\lambda(\tau)u^\mu(p_s)$. Therefore, while the old x^μ_s had a velocity $\dot{x}^\mu_s$ not parallel to the normal $l^\mu = u^\mu(p_s)$ to the hyperplane as shown by Eqs.(65), the new $\tilde{x}^\mu_s$ has $\dot{\tilde{x}}^\mu_s \| l^\mu$ and no classical zitterbewegung. Moreover, we have that $T_s = l \cdot \tilde{x}_s = l \cdot x_s$ is the Lorentz-invariant rest frame time.

Let us do the following canonical transformation [with the $\hat{\gamma}_{ai}$ of Eqs.(6), (7)]

$$\begin{array}{|c|}\hline \tilde{x}^\mu_s\ \ p^\mu_s \\ \hline \vec{\eta}_i\ \ \vec{\kappa}_i \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \vec{z}_s\ \ \vec{k}_s & \begin{array}{c} T_s \\ \\ \epsilon_s \end{array} \\ \hline \vec{\rho}^{\,\prime}_a\ \ \vec{\pi}^{\,\prime}_a & \\ \hline \vec{\eta}_+\ \ \vec{\kappa}_+ & \\ \hline \end{array} \tag{73}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T_s &= \frac{p_s \cdot \tilde{x}_s}{\eta_s\sqrt{p_s^2}} = \frac{p_s \cdot x_s}{\eta_s\sqrt{p_s^2}}\\
\epsilon_s &= \eta_s\sqrt{p_s^2}\\
\vec{z}_s &= \eta_s\sqrt{p_s^2}(\vec{\tilde{x}}_s - \frac{\vec{p}_s}{p^o_s}\tilde{x}^o_s)\\
\vec{k}_s &= \frac{\vec{p}_s}{\eta_s\sqrt{p_s^2}}\\
\vec{\eta}_+ &= \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N \vec{\eta}_i
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{\kappa}_+ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \vec{\kappa}_i \\
\vec{\rho}^{\,\prime}_a &= \sqrt{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \vec{\eta}_i \\
\vec{\pi}^{\,\prime}_a &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \vec{\kappa}_i,
\end{aligned} \tag{74}
$$

whose inverse is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{x}^o_s &= \sqrt{1+\vec{k}^2_s}\,(T_s + \frac{\vec{k}_s \cdot \vec{z}_s}{\epsilon_s}) \\
\vec{\tilde{x}}_s &= \frac{\vec{z}_s}{\epsilon_s} + (T_s + \frac{\vec{k}_s \cdot \vec{z}_s}{\epsilon_s})\vec{k}_s \\
p^o_s &= \epsilon_s \sqrt{1+\vec{k}^2_s} \\
\vec{p}_s &= \epsilon_s \vec{k}_s \\
\vec{\eta}_i &= \vec{\eta}_+ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \vec{\rho}^{\,\prime}_a \\
\vec{\kappa}_i &= \frac{1}{N}\vec{\kappa}_+ + \sqrt{N} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \vec{\pi}^{\,\prime}_a .
\end{aligned} \tag{75}
$$

By using the constraints $\vec{\kappa}_+ \approx 0$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\vec{\kappa}^2_i \approx N(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \vec{\pi}^{\,\prime}_a)^2 \\
&\bar{S}^{rs}_s \approx \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} (\rho^{\prime r}_a \pi^{\prime s}_a - \rho^{\prime s}_a \pi^{\prime r}_a) \\
&\bar{S}^{\bar{o}r}_s \approx -\eta^r_+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_i \sqrt{m^2_i + N(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \vec{\pi}^{\,\prime}_a)^2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \rho^{\prime r}_a \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \eta_i \sqrt{m^2_i + N(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \vec{\pi}^{\,\prime}_a)^2} .
\end{aligned} \tag{76}
$$

Note that we have N+1 3-vectors $\vec{z}_s, \vec{\eta}_+, \vec{\rho}^{\,\prime}_a$ for the N particles, but that the constraints $\vec{\kappa}_+ \approx 0$ imply that the $\vec{\eta}_+$'s are gauge variables. We can decouple these 3 canonical pairs from the others: the simplest way is to add the gauge-fixings $\vec{\eta}_+ \approx 0$ [let us remark that $z^\mu(\tau, \vec{0}) = x^\mu_s(\tau)$, i.e. this is the origin of the coordinate system on the hyperplane from the point of view of Minkowski spacetime]; so we remain with the correct number of N-1 relative variables $\vec{\rho}^{\,\prime}_a, \vec{\pi}^{\,\prime}_a$ plus the center-of-mass ones $\tilde{x}^\mu_s, p^\mu_s$ and with [compare with $\bar{S}^{\bar{o}r} = -\sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \rho^r_a \epsilon_{Ra}$ at $T_{Ra} = 0$, eq.(15)]

$$\bar{S}_s^{\bar{o}r} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\rho_a^{'r}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\eta_i\sqrt{m_i^2+N(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\pi}'_a)^2} = -\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\rho_a^{'r}\epsilon_{Ra}, \qquad (77)$$

where we anticipated a result of next Section.

In this way we obtain the rest-frame instant form $T_s = const.$ of the reduced problem for the N-body system with the center-of-mass motion separated out. This constant motion is taken into account by the observer which looks at the hyperplane $\Sigma_W(\tau)$. The evolution in T_s of the reduced system is governed by the remaining constraint

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}(\tau) = \epsilon_s - \sum_{i=1}^{N}\eta_i\sqrt{m_i^2+N(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\pi}'_a)^2} = \epsilon_s - H_R^{(T_s)} \approx 0. \qquad (78)$$

If we would add the gauge-fixing $T_s - \tau \approx 0$ and would go to Dirac brackets, we would obtain a Hamilton-Jacobi description in terms of Jacobi data. If we want to reintroduce an evolution for the relative variables, the natural time parameter is T_s and the associated Hamiltonian is $\epsilon_s \equiv H_R^{(T_s)}$; the Jacobi data would then become the Cauchy data for this evolution. In the nonrelativistic limit $H_R^{(T_s)}$ would go (modulo m_ic^2 terms) in the Hamiltonian H_R of the reduced [N-1 relative variables] problem: $H = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2\sum_{i=1}^N m_i} + H_R$ would be the total Newtonian Hamiltonian.

The vectors $\vec{z}_s, \vec{k}_s$ describe the frame-dependent position of the canonical center of mass; in the rest frame, $\overset{\circ}{p}{}_s^{\mu} = \epsilon_s(1;\vec{0}), \overset{\circ}{\vec{z}}_s$ defines a point in the hyperplane $\Sigma_W(\tau)$ orthogonal to $\overset{\circ}{p}{}_s^{\mu}$, which can be used to build the covariant noncanonical Fokker center of inertia. Therefore, the worldtube defined by the frame-dependent canonical center-of-mass positions $\vec{\zeta}_s = \vec{z}_s/\eta_s\sqrt{p_s^2}$ arises naturally also in this 1-time descritpion (the rest-frame instant form $T_s = const.$). Let us note that, while $\epsilon_s = \eta_s\sqrt{p_s^2}$ is determined by the invariant mass of the physical system on the hypersurface [see Eq.(78)], $\vec{k}_s$ describes the orientation of the hyperplane with normal $l^\mu = u^\mu(p_s)$ embedded in Minkowski spacetime with respect to an arbitrary observer: $\vec{k}_s$ is the collective velocity of the physical system as seen from outside the hyperplane and not the three-momentum, determined by the energy-momentum tensor, on the hypersurface, which is the vanishing $\vec{\kappa}_+ = 0$, since the hyperplane corresponds to the rest frame.

For generic masses m_i, Eq.(78) describes all the 2^N branches of the mass spectrum by considering all the possible combinations of $\eta_i = sign\, p_i^o = \pm$, i.e. the solutions in ϵ of $\chi \approx 0$ of Eq.(26) when $\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra} \approx 0$. In the 1-time theory there is a different action (38) associated with each branch of the mass spectrum of the N-time theory.

IV. THE CONNECTION BETWEEN THE 1- AND N-TIME DESCRIPTIONS

We have arrived at two descriptions of N scalar free particles: i) the 1-time theory with variables $\tilde{x}^\mu_s, p^\mu_s, \vec{\rho}^{\,\prime}_a, \vec{\pi}^{\,\prime}_a, \vec{\eta}_+, \vec{\kappa}_+$ and with the first class constraints (72), i.e. $\vec{\kappa}_+ \approx 0$ and $\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \epsilon_s - \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + N(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \vec{\pi}^{\,\prime}_a)^2} \approx 0$; ii) the N-time theory with variables $\hat{\tilde{x}}^\mu, p^\mu, \hat{\vec{\rho}}_a, \vec{\pi}_a, \hat{T}_{Ra}$ and with the first class constraints $\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra} \approx 0$ plus a mass-spectrum constraint $\chi \approx 0$, Eq.(26). The last line of Eqs.(21), giving the original constraints $\phi_i \approx 0$, shows that it is the mass-spectrum constraint $\chi \approx 0$ that governs the dynamics in both descriptions, since $\hat{\mathcal{H}} \approx 0$ identifies one of the 2^N branches of $\chi \approx 0$.

To compare the two descriptions, we have to identify two isomorphic phase spaces: i) in the 1-time theory, we add the gauge-fixings $\vec{\eta}_+ \approx 0$ [natural from the comparison of Eqs.(15) and (77)], so that the first phase space is spanned by $\tilde{x}^\mu_s, p^\mu_s, \vec{\rho}^{\,\prime}_a, \vec{\pi}^{\,\prime}_a$, with the constraint $\hat{\mathcal{H}} \approx 0$; ii) in the N-time theory we add the gauge-fixings $T_{Ra} \approx 0$ implying Eqs.(33) [so that the final variables of the second phase space are $\hat{\tilde{x}}^\mu, p^\mu, \hat{\vec{\rho}}_a, \vec{\pi}_a$], and we choose among the 2^N branches of the mass spectrum the one corresponding to the given choice of the signs η_i of the 1-time theory.

Since we do not know the expression of the original variables x^μ_i, p^μ_i in terms of the variables of Eqs.(31), to find the relation among the variables of the two phase spaces we have initially to go back to Eqs.(9)

$$\begin{aligned}
x^\mu_i &= x^\mu + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} R^\mu_a = x^\mu + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \epsilon^\mu_A(u(p)) \epsilon^A_\nu(u(p)) R^\nu_a = \\
&= x^\mu + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} (\frac{p^\mu}{\epsilon} T_{Ra} + \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p)) \rho^r_a) \rightarrow \\
&\quad \rightarrow_{T_{Ra}=\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra}=0} x^\mu|_{T_{Ra}=\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra}=0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p)) \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \rho^r_a \\
\\
p^\mu_i &= \frac{1}{N} p^\mu + \sqrt{N} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} Q^\mu_a = \\
&= (\frac{\epsilon}{N} + \sqrt{N} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \epsilon_{Ra}) u^\mu(p) + \sqrt{N} \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p)) \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \pi^r_a \rightarrow \\
&\quad \rightarrow_{T_{Ra}=\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra}=0} ?
\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

On the other hand, Eqs.(39), (62) and (66) imply the following identification with the variables on the hyperplane orthogonal to p^μ_s

$$\begin{aligned}
x^\mu_i|_{T_{Ra}=\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra}=0} &= z^\mu(\tau, \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \approx x^\mu_s + \epsilon^\mu_{\check{r}}(u(p_s))\eta^{\check{r}}_i(\tau) \approx |_{\vec{\eta}_+=0}\\
&\approx \tilde{x}^\mu_s - \frac{1}{2}\,\epsilon^A_\nu(u(p_s))\eta_{AB}\frac{\partial \epsilon^B_\rho(u(p_s))}{\partial p_{s\mu}}\,S^{\nu\rho}_s + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\rho^{'r}_a =\\
&= \tilde{x}^\mu_s + \frac{1}{\eta\sqrt{p^2_s}(p^o_s + \eta\sqrt{p^2_s})}\,[p_{s\nu}S^{\nu\mu}_s + \eta\sqrt{p^2_s}(S^{o\mu}_s - S^{o\nu}_s\frac{p_{s\nu}p^{s\mu}}{p^2_s})] +\\
&+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\rho^{'r}_a =\\
&= \tilde{x}^\mu_s + \frac{1}{\eta_s\sqrt{p^2_s}}[\eta^\mu_A(\bar{S}^{\bar{o}A}_s - \frac{\bar{S}^{Ar}_s p^r_s}{p^o_s + \eta_s\sqrt{p^2_s}}) + \frac{p^\mu_s + 2\eta_s\sqrt{p^2_s}\eta^{\mu o}}{\eta_s\sqrt{p^2_s}(p^o_s + \eta_s\sqrt{p^2_s})}\bar{S}^{\bar{o}r}_s p^r_s] +\\
&+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\rho^{'r}_a
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p^\mu_i|_{T_{Ra}=\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra}=0} &= \eta_i\sqrt{m^2_i + \vec{\kappa}^2_i(\tau)}u^\mu(p_s) + \epsilon^\mu_{\check{r}}(u(p_s))\kappa^{\check{r}}_i(\tau) =\\
&= \eta_i\sqrt{m^2_i + \vec{\kappa}^2_i(\tau)}u^\mu(p_s) + \epsilon^\mu_{\check{r}}(u(p_s))[\frac{1}{N}\kappa^{\check{r}}_+ + \sqrt{N}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\pi^{'\check{r}}_a] \approx |_{\vec{\kappa}_+=0}\\
&\approx \eta_i\sqrt{m^2_i + N(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\pi}'_a(\tau))^2}\,u^\mu(p_s) + \sqrt{N}\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\pi^{'r}_a.
\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

We see that $p^\mu_i|_{T_{Ra}=\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra}=0}$ satisfies automatically $p^2_i = m^2_i$ and that

$$p^\mu = \sum_{i=1}^N p^\mu_i \approx |_{T_{Ra}=\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra}=0}\sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i\sqrt{m^2_i + N(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\pi}'_a(\tau))^2}\,\frac{p^\mu_s}{\epsilon_s} + \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\kappa^r_+ \approx p^\mu_s \tag{81}$$

if we have

$$\epsilon \approx \epsilon_s \approx \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i\sqrt{m^2_i + N(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\pi}'_a(\tau))^2}, \tag{82}$$

by using Eqs.(59). Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^\mu_a|_{T_{Ra}=\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra}=0} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\gamma}_{ai}p^\mu_i|_{T_{Ra}=\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra}=0} =\\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\gamma}_{ai}\eta_i\sqrt{m^2_i + \vec{\kappa}^2_i}u^\mu(p_s) + \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\kappa^r_+ \approx\\
&\approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\gamma}_{ai}\eta_i\sqrt{m^2_i + N(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\pi}'_a(\tau))^2}\,u^\mu(p),
\end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

so that for $\hat{T}_{Ra} = \hat{\epsilon}_{Ra} = \vec{\kappa}_+ = 0$ we find

$$\epsilon_{Ra}|_{T_{Ra}=\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra}=0} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\gamma}_{ai}\eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + N(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\pi}^{\,\prime}_a(\tau))^2}\ . \tag{84}$$

Equation (84), with Eq.(8), implies that the second one of Eqs. (79) becomes the second one of Eq.(80) with $\vec{\pi}^{\,\prime}_a = \vec{\pi}_a$. Equation (84) gives all the looked for solutions of Eq.(26) [or of Eqs.(37)] for $\hat{T}_{Ra} = \hat{\epsilon}_{Ra} = 0$ according to which branch of $\epsilon \approx \epsilon_s$ has been chosen in Eq.(82), as can be explicitly checked.

Moreover, for each branch the mass spectrum, given by Eq.(82) for a given choice of the signs η_i, we have the following solution of Eqs.(37) without the restriction $\hat{T}_{Ra} = \hat{\epsilon}_{Ra} = 0$ [remember that $\vec{\pi}_a = \hat{\vec{\pi}}_a = \vec{\pi}^{\,\prime}_a$]

$$\epsilon_{Ra} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\gamma}_{ai}\eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + N(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\pi}^{\,\prime}_a(\tau))^2 + \frac{2\epsilon}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{b=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{bi}\hat{\epsilon}_{Rb}} \tag{85}$$

if Eq.(82) is rewritten in the weakly equivalent form

$$\epsilon \approx \epsilon_s \approx \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + N(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\pi}^{\,\prime}_a(\tau))^2 + \frac{2\epsilon}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{b=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{bi}\hat{\epsilon}_{Rb}}. \tag{86}$$

On the branch defined by Eq.(86), Eqs.(85) imply the following form for p_i^μ of Eqs.(20)

$$\begin{aligned}
p_i^o &\approx \frac{1}{\epsilon}[p^o\eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + N(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\pi}^{\,\prime}_a(\tau))^2 + \frac{2\epsilon}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{b=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{bi}\hat{\epsilon}_{Rb}} + \sqrt{N} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\pi}_a] \\
\vec{p}_i &\approx \sqrt{N}[\sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\pi}_a + \frac{\vec{p}}{\epsilon}(\frac{\sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\pi}_a}{p^o+\epsilon} + \\
&+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + N(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\pi}^{\,\prime}_a(\tau))^2 + \frac{2\epsilon}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{b=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{bi}\hat{\epsilon}_{Rb}}],
\end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

and Eqs.(26) give

$$\phi_i \approx \frac{2\epsilon}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai}\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra} \approx 0, \tag{88}$$

consistently with $\chi \approx 0$ due to Eq.(86). Therefore, one has [$\prod_{\eta_i}$ means a product over all the 2^N choices of the signs η_i]

$$\begin{aligned}\chi &= \epsilon^2 - N[\sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i^2 - N \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} (\epsilon^2_{Ra} - \vec{\pi}_a^2)] = \\ &= [\epsilon]^{-2^{N-1}} \prod_{\eta_i} [\epsilon - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + N(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \vec{\pi}'_a(\tau))^2 + \frac{2\epsilon}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{b=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{bi} \hat{\epsilon}_{Rb}}] \approx 0. \end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

Even with Equations (85), (86), we cannot get the explicit inverse canonical transformation giving x_i^μ, p_i^μ of Eqs.(20) in terms of $\hat{\tilde{x}}^\mu, p^\mu, \hat{T}_{Ra}, \hat{\epsilon}_{Ra}, \hat{\vec{\rho}}_a, \hat{\vec{\pi}}_a = \vec{\pi}_a$ of Eqs.(31) independently from the choice of the branch of the mass spectrum, since Eqs.(85) are a branch-dependent solution of Eqs.(37).

While Equations (87) give the answer for the second half of Eqs.(79), the discussion of the first half is more involved.

By using Eqs.(31), (33), (28), (15) evaluated at $\hat{T}_{Ra} = \hat{\epsilon}_{Ra} = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\tilde{x}}^\mu &\approx \hat{x}^\mu + \frac{\eta^\mu_s \hat{\bar{S}}^{sr} p^r}{\epsilon(p^o + \epsilon)} \approx \hat{x}^\mu + \frac{\eta^\mu_s \bar{S}^{sr} p^r}{\epsilon(p^o + \epsilon)} \\ \hat{x}^\mu &\approx x^\mu + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p)) \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \rho^r_a \epsilon_{Ra} = x^\mu - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p)) \bar{S}^{\bar{o}r} \\ \\ \Rightarrow \hat{\tilde{x}}^\mu &\approx x^\mu - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p)) \bar{S}^{\bar{o}r} + \frac{\eta^\mu_s \bar{S}^{sr} p^r}{\epsilon(p^o + \epsilon)}, \end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

so that Eqs.(79) and (80) imply at $\hat{T}_{Ra} = \hat{\epsilon}_{Ra} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^\mu &\approx x^\mu + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p)) \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \rho^r_a \approx \\ &\approx \hat{\tilde{x}}^\mu + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p)) \bar{S}^{\bar{o}r} - \frac{\eta^\mu_s \bar{S}^{sr} p^r}{\epsilon(p^o + \epsilon)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p)) \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \rho^r_a \approx \\ &\approx \tilde{x}_s + \frac{1}{\epsilon} [\eta^\mu_A (\bar{S}_s^{\bar{o}A} - \frac{\bar{S}_s^{Ar} p_s^r}{p_s^o + \epsilon}) + \frac{p_s^\mu + 2\epsilon \eta^{\mu o}}{\epsilon(p_s^o + \epsilon)} \bar{S}_s^{\bar{o}r} p_s^r] + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p)) \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \rho'^r_a. \end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

By comparing the two expressions for $\mu = 0$ and for $\mu = k$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}^\mu_s &\approx \hat{\tilde{x}}^\mu \\ \vec{\rho}'_a &= \vec{\rho}_a \end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

due to Eqs.(76) and (77) [for $\vec{\rho}'_a = \vec{\rho}_a$ we have $\bar{S}_s^{\bar{o}r} = \bar{S}^{\bar{o}r}$ and $\bar{S}_s^{rs} = \bar{S}^{rs}$], consistently with the identification of the two reduced phase spaces, whose final variables can be chosen as $\tilde{x}^\mu_s, p^\mu, \vec{\rho}_a, \vec{\pi}_a$.

V. THE MASS SPECTRUM AND THE INTRODUCTION OF INTERACTIONS

In the 1-time theory, by varying $\eta_i = sign\, p_i^o$ in Eq.(78) we obtain the 2^N branches of the mass spectrum of N free scalar particles in the rest-frame instant form, when $p^2 > 0$ and the masses m_i's are generic, so that degeneracies are avoided. For each of these branches there is a well defined Lagrangian, which gives rise to the constraints of Eqs.(55) on arbitrary spacelike hyperplanes: only the configurations with $p^2 > 0$ can be reduced to the rest-frame instant form. Being in classical relativistic mechanics, we must take into account also negative masses and energies.

To see which other configurations may be allowed, we have to revert to a study of the N-time theory with its first class constraints $\phi_i = p_i^2 - m_i^2 \approx 0$.

Let us consider in detail the case N=2; when $p^2 > 0$ and $\eta_i = +$, i=1,2, we are considering, in absence of interactions, the special configuration of the kinematics of forward s-channel eleastic scattering, in which we have $s = (p_1 + p_2)^2 = p^2 = \epsilon^2$, t=0, $u = (p_1 - p_2)^2 = 4Q^2$ as special values of the Mandelstam variables [see Ref. [32]], so that, given the following two combinations of the ϕ_i's [see Appendix B]

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_+ &= 2(\phi_1 + \phi_2) = p^2 + 4Q^2 - 2(m_1^2 + m_2^2) \approx 0 \\ \chi_- &= \frac{1}{2}(\phi_1 - \phi_2) = p \cdot Q - \frac{1}{2}(m_1^2 - m_2^2) \approx 0, \end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

we find that $\chi_+ \approx 0$ is equivalent to the fundamental relation $s + t + u = 2(m_1^2 + m_2^2)$.

Let us discuss the various possibilities of choice of the value of the masses.

1) $m_1 \neq m_2$ ($m_1 > m_2 \geq 0$). In this case, the first class constraints (93) define a constraint submanifold $\bar{\gamma}$ (coisotropically embedded in phase space [4]), which is the disjoint union of three strata with $p^2 > 0$ (the main stratum dense in $\bar{\gamma}$), $p^2 = 0$ and $p^2 < 0$ respectively [the stratum with $p^\mu = 0$ is excluded by $\chi_- \approx 0$].

1a) Stratum with $p^2 > 0$. According to Appendix B, one has [$\hat{Q}^2 \approx Q_\perp^2 = -\vec{\pi}^2 < 0$; $Q^2 \approx \hat{Q}^2 + \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{4p^2}$]

$$\chi_- = p \cdot \hat{Q} \approx 0$$

$$\chi_+ = p^2 + 4Q_\perp^2 + 4\frac{(p\cdot Q)^2}{p^2} - 2(m_1^2+m_2^2) \approx p^2 + 4\hat{Q}^2 + \frac{m_1^2-m_2^2}{p^2} - 2(m_1^2+m_2^2) =$$
$$= \frac{1}{p^2}(p^2-M_+^2)(p^2-M_-^2) \approx 0. \tag{94}$$

with $M_\pm$ given in Eqs.(B4).

There are four branches for $\epsilon = \eta\sqrt{p^2}$ in terms of $\vec{\pi}^2 = -Q_\perp^2 \approx -\hat{Q}^2$

$$\epsilon \approx \pm(\sqrt{m_1^2+\vec{\pi}^2} + \sqrt{m_2^2+\vec{\pi}^2}), \qquad \eta_1 = \eta_2$$
$$\epsilon \approx \pm|\,(\sqrt{m_1^2+\vec{\pi}^2} - \sqrt{m_2^2+\vec{\pi}^2},| \qquad \eta_1 = -\eta_2 \tag{95}$$

For $\vec{\pi}^2 \rightarrow 0$ [corresponding to $\hat{Q}^\mu = 0$ $(p_1^\mu \,//\, p_2^\mu)$; $\hat{Q}^2 = 0$ is excluded by $\chi_- \approx 0$] we have the (s-channel) thresholds $\epsilon \approx \pm(m_1+m_2)$ and (u-channel) pseudo-thresholds $\epsilon \approx \pm|\,m_1-m_2\,|$.

1b) Stratum with $p^2 = 0$. It exists for $\eta_1 = -\eta_2$: for p_i^μ timelike, i=1,2, we have $0 = (p_1+p_2)^2 = (\eta_1|\,p_1^o|+\eta_2|\,p_2^o|)^2 - (\vec{p}_1+\vec{p}_2)^2 \approx (\eta_1\sqrt{m_1^2+\vec{p}_1^2} + \eta_2\sqrt{m_2^2+\vec{p}_2^2})^2 - (\vec{p}_1+\vec{p}_2)^2 = m_1^2+m_2^2+2\eta_1\eta_2\sqrt{m_1^2+\vec{p}_1^2}\sqrt{m_2^2+\vec{p}_2^2} - 2\vec{p}_1\cdot\vec{p}_2 \Rightarrow_{\vec{p}_2=0} -2\eta_1\eta_2 m_2\sqrt{m_1^2+\vec{p}_1^2} = m_1^2+m_2^2 \Rightarrow \eta_1\eta_2 = -$.

Now the constraint $\chi_+ \approx 0$ implies $Q^2 \approx \frac{1}{2}(m_1^2+m_2^2) > 0$ and two branches

$$Q^o \approx \pm\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(m_1^2+m_2^2)+\vec{Q}^2} \tag{96}$$

of excitations of relative energy with total energy given by

$$p^o \approx \frac{1}{Q^o}[\frac{1}{2}(m_1^2-m_2^2)+\vec{p}\cdot\vec{Q}]. \tag{97}$$

1c) Stratum with $p^2 < 0$. It exists for $\eta_1 = -\eta_2$; the constraint $\chi_+ \approx 0$ implies $Q^2 \approx \frac{1}{4}[2(m_1^2+m_2^2)-p^2] > 0$. In terms of $Q_\perp^2 = Q^2 - \frac{(p\cdot Q)^2}{p^2} \approx Q^2 - \frac{(m_1^2-m_2^2)^2}{4p^2}$, we have two tachionic branches

$$p^2 \approx -(\sqrt{Q_\perp^2-m_1^2} \pm \sqrt{Q_\perp^2-m_2^2})^2 \tag{98}$$

2) $m_1 = m_2 = m > 0$. We have

$$\chi_+ = p^2 + 4Q^2 - 4m^2 \approx 0$$
$$\chi_- = p\cdot Q \approx 0, \tag{99}$$

and there are three strata with $p^2 > 0$, $p^\mu = 0$, $p^2 < 0$ respectively [$p^2 = 0$ is excluded by $Q^2 \approx m^2 > 0$ and $p \cdot Q \approx 0$].

2a) Stratum with $p^2 > 0$. We have $Q^2 \approx Q_\perp^2 = -\vec{\pi}^2 < 0$ and there are two branches

$$\epsilon \approx \pm 2\sqrt{m^2 + \vec{\pi}^2}, \qquad [\epsilon \approx \pm 2m \, for \, p_1^\mu = p_2^\mu, \, i.e. \, Q^\mu = 0], \tag{100}$$

which are the limit for $m_1 - m_2 \rightarrow 0$ of the two branches with $\eta_1 = \eta_2$ of the $p^2 > 0$ stratum with $m_1 \neq m_2$.

2b) Stratum with $p^\mu = 0$ [$p_1^\mu = -p_2^\mu \Rightarrow \eta_1 = -\eta_2$]. Since we have the constraint $\chi_+ = 4(Q^2 - m^2) \approx 0$, there are two branches

$$Q^o \approx \pm \sqrt{m^2 + \vec{Q}^2} \tag{101}$$

of excitations of relative energy: they correspond to two degenerate $\epsilon = 0$ levels obtained from the limit $m_1 - m_2 \rightarrow 0$ with $\eta_1 = -\eta_2$ of the $p^2 > 0$ stratum with $m_1 \neq m_2$ [see Ref. [34] for the spin 1/2 case].

2c) Stratum with $p^2 < 0$. It exists for $\eta_1 = -\eta_2$ [$p^2 < 0$ is equivalent for $\vec{p}_2 = 0$ to $2m(m + \eta_1\eta_2\sqrt{m^2 + \vec{p}_1^2}) < 0$], and we have $Q^2 - \frac{1}{4}p^2 > 0$, so that there is a tachionic branch

$$p^2 \approx -4(Q^2 - m^2) \approx -4(Q_\perp^2 - m^2). \tag{102}$$

3) $m_1 = m_2 = 0$. The constraints $p_1^2 \approx 0, p_2^2 \approx 0$ give

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_+ &= p^2 + 4Q^2 \approx 0 \\ \chi_- &= p \cdot Q \approx 0, \end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

so that there are three strata with $p^2 > 0$, $p^2 = 0$, $p^\mu = 0$, respectively [$p^2 < 0$ is excluded, because it would imply $p^2 = (\eta_1|\vec{p}_1| + \eta_2|\vec{p}_2|)^2 - (\vec{p}_1 + \vec{p}_2)^2 = 2|\vec{p}_1||\vec{p}_2|(\eta_1\eta_2 - cos\,\theta_{12}) < 0$].

3a) Stratum with $p^2 > 0$. It has $Q^2 \approx Q_\perp^2 = -\vec{\pi}^2 < 0$ and there are two branches (with $\eta_1 = \eta_2$)

$$\epsilon \approx \pm 2\sqrt{\vec{\pi}^2} \tag{104}$$

tangent at $\vec{\pi}^2 = 0$ ($Q^\mu = 0$).

3b) Stratum with $p^2 = 0$. It implies $2|\,\vec{p}_1||\,\vec{p}_2|(\eta_1\eta_2 - cos\,\theta_{12}) = 0$, i.e. $\vec{p}_1 // \vec{p}_2$ with $\eta_1 = \eta_2$ for $\theta_{12} = 0$ and with $\eta_1 = -\eta_2$ for $\theta_{12} = \pi$. We have $Q^2 \approx 0$, namely two branches

$$Q^o \approx \pm\sqrt{\vec{Q}^2} \tag{105}$$

of excitations of relative energy with total energy

$$p^o \approx \frac{\vec{p}\cdot\vec{Q}}{Q^o} \approx \pm\frac{\vec{p}\cdot\vec{Q}}{\sqrt{\vec{Q}^2}}. \tag{106}$$

3c) Stratum with $p^\mu = 0$ [$p^\mu_1 = -p^\mu_2$, $\eta_1 = -\eta_2$]. It has $Q^2 \approx 0$: there are two branches of excitations of relative energy

$$Q^o \approx \pm\sqrt{\vec{Q}^2}. \tag{107}$$

For $N > 2$ the discussion is more involved, since there are many degenerate cases with complicated discussions of the allowed Poincaré orbits.

For N=3, $p^2 > 0$ and m_1, m_2, m_3 generic, there are 8 branches

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon &\approx \pm[+\sqrt{m_1^2 + 3(\hat{\gamma}_{11}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{21}\vec{\pi}_2)^2} + \sqrt{m_2^2 + 3(\hat{\gamma}_{12}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{22}\vec{\pi}_2)^2} + \\
&\quad + \sqrt{m_3^2 + 3(\hat{\gamma}_{13}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{23}\vec{\pi}_2)^2}] \\
\epsilon &\approx \pm| + \sqrt{m_1^2 + 3(\hat{\gamma}_{11}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{21}\vec{\pi}_2)^2} + \sqrt{m_2^2 + 3(\hat{\gamma}_{12}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{22}\vec{\pi}_2)^2} - \\
&\quad - \sqrt{m_3^2 + 3(\hat{\gamma}_{13}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{23}\vec{\pi}_2)^2}\,| \\
\epsilon &\approx \pm| - \sqrt{m_1^2 + 3(\hat{\gamma}_{11}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{21}\vec{\pi}_2)^2} + \sqrt{m_2^2 + 3(\hat{\gamma}_{12}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{22}\vec{\pi}_2)^2} + \\
&\quad + \sqrt{m_3^2 + 3(\hat{\gamma}_{13}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{23}\vec{\pi}_2)^2}\,| \\
\epsilon &\approx \pm| + \sqrt{m_1^2 + 3(\hat{\gamma}_{11}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{21}\vec{\pi}_2)^2} - \sqrt{m_2^2 + 3(\hat{\gamma}_{12}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{22}\vec{\pi}_2)^2} + \\
&\quad + \sqrt{m_3^2 + 3(\hat{\gamma}_{13}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{23}\vec{\pi}_2)^2}\,|.
\end{aligned} \tag{108}$$

In the limit $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = m$, the first two branches start from $\epsilon \approx \pm 3m$, while the other three positive (negative) branches are tangent at $\epsilon \approx m$ ($\epsilon \approx -m$).

This pattern is valid for all N=2k+1.

For N=4, $p^2 > 0$ and m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 generic, there are 16 branches

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon &\approx \pm[+\sqrt{m_1^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{11}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{21}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{31}\vec{\pi}_3)^2} + \sqrt{m_2^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{12}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{22}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{32}\vec{\pi}_3)^2} + \\
&+ \sqrt{m_3^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{13}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{23}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{33}\vec{\pi}_3)^2} + \sqrt{m_4^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{14}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{24}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{34}\vec{\pi}_3)^2}] \\
\epsilon &\approx \pm|\, + \sqrt{m_1^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{11}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{21}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{31}\vec{\pi}_3)^2} + \sqrt{m_2^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{12}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{22}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{32}\vec{\pi}_3)^2} + \\
&+ \sqrt{m_3^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{13}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{23}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{33}\vec{\pi}_3)^2} - \sqrt{m_4^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{14}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{24}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{34}\vec{\pi}_3)^2}\, | \\
\epsilon &\approx \pm|\, - \sqrt{m_1^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{11}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{21}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{31}\vec{\pi}_3)^2} + \sqrt{m_2^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{12}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{22}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{32}\vec{\pi}_3)^2} + \\
&+ \sqrt{m_3^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{13}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{23}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{33}\vec{\pi}_3)^2} + \sqrt{m_4^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{14}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{24}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{34}\vec{\pi}_3)^2}\, | \\
\epsilon &\approx \pm|\, + \sqrt{m_1^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{11}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{21}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{31}\vec{\pi}_3)^2} - \sqrt{m_2^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{12}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{22}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{32}\vec{\pi}_3)^2} + \\
&+ \sqrt{m_3^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{13}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{23}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{33}\vec{\pi}_3)^2} + \sqrt{m_4^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{14}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{24}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{34}\vec{\pi}_3)^2}\, | \\
\epsilon &\approx \pm|\, + \sqrt{m_1^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{11}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{21}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{31}\vec{\pi}_3)^2} + \sqrt{m_2^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{12}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{22}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{32}\vec{\pi}_3)^2} - \\
&- \sqrt{m_3^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{13}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{23}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{33}\vec{\pi}_3)^2} + \sqrt{m_4^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{14}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{24}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{34}\vec{\pi}_3)^2}\, | \\
\epsilon &\approx \pm|\, + \sqrt{m_1^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{11}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{21}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{31}\vec{\pi}_3)^2} + \sqrt{m_2^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{12}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{22}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{32}\vec{\pi}_3)^2} - \\
&- \sqrt{m_3^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{13}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{23}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{33}\vec{\pi}_3)^2} - \sqrt{m_4^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{14}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{24}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{34}\vec{\pi}_3)^2}\, | \\
\epsilon &\approx \pm|\, - \sqrt{m_1^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{11}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{21}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{31}\vec{\pi}_3)^2} + \sqrt{m_2^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{12}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{22}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{32}\vec{\pi}_3)^2} + \\
&+ \sqrt{m_3^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{13}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{23}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{33}\vec{\pi}_3)^2} - \sqrt{m_4^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{14}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{24}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{34}\vec{\pi}_3)^2}\, | \\
\epsilon &\approx \pm|\, + \sqrt{m_1^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{11}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{21}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{31}\vec{\pi}_3)^2} - \sqrt{m_2^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{12}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{22}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{32}\vec{\pi}_3)^2} + \\
&+ \sqrt{m_3^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{13}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{23}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{33}\vec{\pi}_3)^2} - \sqrt{m_4^2 + 4(\hat{\gamma}_{14}\vec{\pi}_1 + \hat{\gamma}_{24}\vec{\pi}_2 + \hat{\gamma}_{34}\vec{\pi}_3)^2}\, |.
\end{aligned} \tag{109}$$

In the equal mass limit, there are two branches starting at $\epsilon \approx \pm 4m$, four positive (negative) branches starting at $\epsilon \approx 2m$ ($\epsilon \approx -2m$) and six (three positive and three negative) branches starting from $\epsilon \approx 0$.

This is the pattern for N=2k.

As we have seen, already in the free case there are generically tachionic strata corresponding to spacelike Poincaré orbits. At least at the classical level, these strata have to be excluded to avoid problems with Einstein causality. Instead, the rest-frame 1-time instant form is, by construction, free of these tachionic strata.

For N=2, strata with $p^2 < 0$ appear always when $\eta_1 = -\eta_2$; this fact will extend to $N > 2$. Now there is the problem of the interpretation of particles of negative mass and energy ($\eta_i = -$); they are present in classical relativistic mechanics due to the two branches of timelike Poincaré orbits and have a well defined nonrelativistic limit, connected with the negative mass representations of the extended Galileo group [35].

If we make the first quantization of a scalar particle, the first class constraint $p^2 - m^2 \approx 0$ goes into the one-particle Klein-Gordon equation $(\Box + m^2)\phi(x) = 0$ with $\phi(x)$ a complex wave function. It is known [36] (see also Ref. [20]) that this equation admits two kinds of scalar products: i) the standard nondefinite positive one $(\phi_A, \phi_B)_1 = \int d^3\sigma_\mu \, \phi^*_A(x) \frac{i}{2} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\mu \phi_B(x)$ $[\overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\mu = \overrightarrow{\partial}^{\,\mu} - \overleftarrow{\partial}^{\,\mu}]$ associated with the conserved current $j^\mu_1(x) = \frac{i}{2}\phi^*(x)\overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\mu \phi(x)$, which is interpreted as a charge (electric charge, strangeness,..) current with the sign of the charge corresponding to positive- and negative- norm (i.e. energy) states; ii) a positive definite nonlocal one $(\phi_A, \phi_B)_2 = \int d^3\sigma_\mu \, \frac{i}{4}\phi^*_A(x)(\hat{\eta} - \hat{\eta}^\dagger)\overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\mu \phi_B(x)$ $[\hat{\eta} = i\partial^o / \sqrt{m^2 - \vec{\partial}^2}$ is the nonlocal Lorentz-scalar (under proper Lorentz transformations) "sign of the energy" operator satisfying $[\hat{\eta}, x^\mu] = [\hat{\eta}, i\partial^\mu] = 0]$, associated with the conserved current $j^\mu_2(x) = \frac{i}{4}\phi^*(x)(\hat{\eta} - \hat{\eta}^\dagger)\overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\mu \phi(x)$. The negative mass (and energy) states are interpreted as describing antiparticles: for charged scalar particles $(\pi^+\pi^-, K^+K^-, K^o\bar{K}^o)$ positive- (negative-) energy states describe particles with charge $+Q$ (antiparticles with charge $-Q$), which can be connected by a "charge conjugation" operation $\phi(x) \mapsto \eta_c \phi^*(x)$, $|\eta_c| = 1$, under which the action is invariant [for the electromagnetic potential one has $A_\mu(x) \mapsto -A_\mu(x)$]; for neutral particles like π^o, coinciding with the antiparticle, see Ref. [37].

In Ref. [38], it was shown at the classical level that, since the proper time of a particle is defined by $ds = \pm\sqrt{dx^\mu \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\nu}$ if $dx^o \gtrless 0$, we have $ds = \pm m d\tau \sqrt{\dot{x}^\mu \eta_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\nu}$, so that $d\tau > 0$ corresponds to $dx^o \gtrless 0$ if $\dot{x}^o = \frac{dx^o}{d\tau} \gtrless 0$; therefore, an evolution towards the future in $d\tau$ for a particle with negative mass -m corresponds to an evolution towards the past in dx^o and this is in accord with the use of the complex Stueckelberg- Feynman Green function $G_F(x)$ [see for instance Ref. [39]], which propagates the positive- (negative-) frequencies forward (backward) in x^o, in association with the first-quantized Klein-Gordon equation. Finally, the equations of motion of a particle of mass m and electric charge e in presence of gravitational and electromagnetic fields depend only on the ratio e/m, so that an antiparticle of mass -m (propagating forward in τ but backwards in x^o) and charge -e satisfies the same equations as the particle (m,e) if parametrized in τ, in accord with the charge conjugation invariance.

This is what happens with the parametrization in τ of the 1-time theory. Let us remark that in the rest-frame instant form one has $\{\epsilon = \eta\sqrt{p^2}, T = \frac{p\cdot x}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}}\} = 1$, so that ηm is associated with $\eta|\, T|$.

In contrast, in the Klein-Gordon quantum field theory, a hermitean quantum field $\hat{\phi}(x)$ [quantization of a classical real Klein-Gordon field $\phi(x) = \phi^*(x)$ for which the complex Green function $G_F(x)$ cannot be used, but only the real retarded and advanced ones] has the positive- (negative-) frequency part associated with the creation (annihilation) operators of a scalar particle with positive energy. For a complex quantum field $\hat{\phi}(x), \hat{\phi}^\dagger(x)$ [quantization of two real Klein-Gordon fields, $\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_1(x) + i\phi_2(x))$] the two kinds of creation and annihilation operators are associated with a particle of mass m and charge +1 and with an antiparticle of mass m and charge -1 respectively.

The conclusion of this discussion is that, in the classical background of relativistic particle physics, we have to consider only the branch of the mass spectrum of N scalar particles with all the masses positive and with particle and antiparticle distinguished by opposite charges [only neutral scalar particles cannot be described in this way, but they (by the way also the charged scalar ones) are supposed to be bound states of spin 1/2 quarks]; the pseudothresholds of the lower positive branches are connected to the thresholds of the other existing kinematical invariants (relevant for scattering theory due to the crossing property).

Let us now consider the introduction of action-at-a-distance interactions. This problem has been studied in the N-time theory (see the bibliography of Refs. [40–42]). In the framework of models with N first class constraints, a closed form of the constraints is known for N=2: this is the DrozVincent-Todorov-Komar model [43–45], on which Ref. [20] is based. The constraints take the general form (only the case $V(R^2_\perp)$ has been studied in detail; see Refs. [20,16] for the nonrelativistic limit)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\phi_i = p_i^2 - m_i^2 + V(R_\perp^2, p^2, Q^2, Q\cdot R_\perp) \approx 0, \quad i=1,2, \qquad R_\perp^\mu = (\eta^\mu_\nu - \frac{p^\mu p_\nu}{p^2})R^\nu\\
&\qquad \{\phi_1, \phi_2\} = 0. \qquad (110)
\end{aligned}
$$

By construction we have $p^2 > 0$. The potential V may be either confining or separable (see Ref. [46] for a finite-range potential, in which the particles see each other only if their Cauchy data are restricted to be compatible with the first class constraints in the interacting region).

For $N > 2$ there are models with a closed form of the constraints $\phi_i \approx 0$, $\{\phi_i, \phi_j\} = 0$, only for confining potentials (see for instance Ref. [19]). To find separable potentials such that $\{\phi_i, \phi_j\} = 0$ requires the solution of complicated nonlinear partial differential equations connected with the necessity of introducing 3-, 4-,.. N-body forces [47], and no one succeeded in solving them; it was only shown that solutions exist, in which the first class constraints are expressed as series in the coupling constants [48] and that the solution is unique [49]. See also Refs. [22,16].

In contrast, in the 1-time theory (the rest-frame instant form for $p^2 > 0$), we can introduce the interactions like in Newtonian mechanics and use the nonrelativistic definition of separability of the interactions. It is the transition from the 1-time to the N-time theory that contains all the previous difficulties.

The most general form of the final constraint (78) of the 1-time theory is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{H}} &= \epsilon_s - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + V_i + N(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\pi}_a)^2} - \sum_{i\neq j}^{1..N} U_{ij} = \epsilon_s - H_R^{(T_s)} \approx 0\\
V_i &= V_i[\sum_{a=1}^{N-1} (\hat{\gamma}_{ah} - \hat{\gamma}_{ak})\vec{\rho}_a]\\
U_{ij} &= U_{ij}[\sum_{a=1}^{N-1} (\hat{\gamma}_{ai} - \hat{\gamma}_{aj})\vec{\rho}_a]. \qquad (111)
\end{aligned}
$$

With $V_i = 0$ and N=2, it is the form prescribed in Ref. [50] [see also the bibliography of Ref. [51], but, as we shall see in the next Section, the 2-body Coulomb potential coming from the longitudinal modes of the electromagnetic field is of the type U_{ij}; therefore the models with U-type potentials can be thought as deriving from couplings to gauge field theories.

The action-at-a-distance interactions (instantaneous in the rest frame) of models like the one of Refs. [43–45] (for instance the relativistic harmonic oscillator) are of the type V_i (additive to m_i^2). If $V_i = \sum_{k\not= i}^{1..N} V_{ik}[\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}(\hat{\gamma}_{ai} - \hat{\gamma}_{ak})\vec{\rho}_a] + \sum_{k,h\not= i}^{1..N} V_{ikh}[\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}(\hat{\gamma}_{ai} - \hat{\gamma}_{ak})\vec{\rho}_a, \sum_{a=1}^{N-1}(\hat{\gamma}_{ai} - \hat{\gamma}_{ah})\vec{\rho}_a] + ...$, we have 2-body potentials V_{ik}, 3-body potentials V_{ikh} and so on. The potentials are separable if, whenever particle i decouples from the other N-1 (the same must hold true for clusters of particles), we have $V_i \rightarrow 0$ and $U_{ij} \rightarrow 0$, so that no one of the surviving potentials depend on the index "i".

Let us remark that we can have two different 1-time theories with the same nonrelativistic limit H_R: i) $H_R^{(T_s)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + V_i + N(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\pi}_a)^2}$; ii) $H_R^{(T_s)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + N(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\pi}_a)^2} + U$ if $U = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{V_i}{2m_i}$; instead, the N-time theory with a unique potential [19] $[p_i^2 - m_i^2 + \frac{1}{N^2}\tilde{V} \approx 0]$ would give N nonrelativistic constraints [see Ref. [16] for the case N=2] $\psi_i = E_i - \frac{\vec{p}_i^2}{2m_i} - \frac{\tilde{V}}{2m_i N} \approx 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^N \psi_i = E - H = E - \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2\sum_{i=1}^N m_i} + H_R \approx 0$ with the same H_R of the 1-time theories if $U = \tilde{V}$.

Therefore, there are two 1-time models for the relativistic harmonic oscillator when N=2: i) the 1-time version of the DrozVincent-Todorov-Komar model $H_R^{(T_s)} = \eta_1 \sqrt{m_1^2 + V(\vec{\rho}^2) + 2\vec{\pi}^2} + \eta_2 \sqrt{m_2^2 + V(\vec{\rho}^2) + 2\vec{\pi}^2}$; ii) a model with $H_R^{(T_s)} = \eta_1 \sqrt{m_1^2 + 2\vec{\pi}^2} + \eta_2 \sqrt{m_2^2 + 2\vec{\pi}^2} + U(\vec{\rho}^2)$ with $U = \frac{1}{\mu} V$ [$\mu = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2}$ is the reduced mass]. The second model should be interpreted as coming from a gauge field theory producing action-at-a-distance interparticle harmonic forces.

Let us also note that the number of branches of the mass spectrum in the free case is a topological number: it is the dimension of the zeroth homotopy group of the constraint hypersurface, counting how many disjoint components are in it. While certain interactions preserve this number, generic interactions will change it; therefore, the interactions should be classified according to the (lacking) theory of intersections of noncompact hypersurfaces in phase space (when certain mass gaps disappear).

In the approach of this paper, based on the theory of canonical realizations of the Poincaré group in phase space, we cannot introduce external interactions, without destroying the technology we are using, which presupposes the existence of ten finite conserved Poincaré generators for every system under study. External interactions should be thought as limits from our isolated systems, when some its subsystem has the invariant mass tending to infinity; alternatively one can try to introduce them in the final reduced form of the constraints, like those in Eqs.(111).

VI. THE ELECTROMAGNETIC INTERACTION

In Ref. [21], the case of a charged scalar particle interacting with the electromagnetic field was considered. The 1-time theory was used because it is not known to us how to develop a covariant N-time description, in which the Poisson brackets of particle and field constraints can be evaluated in a covariant way. Here, the case of N charged scalar particles will be considered, with the electric charge of each particle described in a pseudoclassical way [52] by means of a pair of complex conjugate Grassmann variables [53] $\theta_i(\tau), \theta^*_i(\tau)$ satisfying $[I_i = I^*_i = \theta^*_i \theta_i$ is the generator of the $U_{em}(1)$ group of particle i]

$$\begin{aligned}
&\theta^2_i = \theta^{*2}_i = 0, \qquad \theta_i \theta^*_i + \theta^*_i \theta_i = 0,\\
&\theta_i \theta_j = \theta_j \theta_i, \qquad \theta_i \theta^*_j = \theta^*_j \theta_i, \qquad \theta^*_i \theta^*_j = \theta^*_j \theta^*_i, \qquad i \neq j.
\end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

This amounts to assume that the electric charges $Q_i = e_i \theta^*_i \theta_i$ are quatized with levels 0 and e_i [53].

On the hypersurface $\Sigma(\tau)$, we describe the electromagnetic potential and field strength with Lorentz-scalar variables $A_{\check A}(\tau, \vec \sigma)$ and $F_{\check A\check B}(\tau, \vec \sigma)$ respectively, defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_{\check A}(\tau, \vec \sigma) = z^\mu_{\check A}(\tau, \vec \sigma) A_\mu(z(\tau, \vec \sigma))\\
&F_{\check A\check B}(\tau, \vec \sigma) = \partial_{\check A} A_{\check B}(\tau, \vec \sigma) - \partial_{\check B} A_{\check A}(\tau, \vec \sigma) = z^\mu_{\check A}(\tau, \vec \sigma) z^\nu_{\check B}(\tau, \vec \sigma) F_{\mu\nu}(z(\tau, \vec \sigma)).
\end{aligned} \tag{113}$$

The system is described by the action

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \int d\tau d^3\sigma\, \mathcal{L}(\tau, \vec \sigma) = \int d\tau L(\tau)\\
L(\tau) &= \int d^3\sigma \mathcal{L}(\tau, \vec \sigma)\\
\mathcal{L}(\tau, \vec \sigma) &= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec \sigma - \vec \eta_i(\tau)) [\theta^*_i(\tau) \dot\theta_i(\tau) - \dot\theta^*_i(\tau) \theta_i(\tau)] -\\
&- \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec \sigma - \vec \eta_i(\tau)) [\eta_i m_i \sqrt{g_{\tau\tau}(\tau, \vec \sigma) + 2 g_{\tau\check r}(\tau, \vec \sigma) \dot\eta^{\check r}_i(\tau) + g_{\check r\check s}(\tau, \vec \sigma) \dot\eta^{\check r}_i(\tau) \dot\eta^{\check s}_i(\tau)} +\\
&+ e_i \theta^*_i(\tau) \theta_i(\tau) (A_\tau(\tau, \vec \sigma) + A_{\check r}(\tau, \vec \sigma) \dot\eta^{\check r}_i(\tau))] -\\
&- \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{g(\tau, \vec \sigma)} g^{\check A\check C}(\tau, \vec \sigma) g^{\check B\check D}(\tau, \vec \sigma) F_{\check A\check B}(\tau, \vec \sigma) F_{\check C\check D}(\tau, \vec \sigma),
\end{aligned} \tag{114}$$

where the configuration variables are $z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ $A_{\check{A}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$, $\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)$, $\theta_i(\tau)$ and $\theta^*_i(\tau)$, i=1,..,N. We have

$-\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{g}g^{\check{A}\check{C}}g^{\check{B}\check{D}}F_{\check{A}\check{B}}F_{\check{C}\check{D}} = -\sqrt{\gamma}[\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{g}}F_{\tau\check{r}}\gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}F_{\tau\check{s}} - \frac{\gamma}{g}g_{\tau\check{v}}\gamma^{\check{v}\check{r}}F_{\check{r}\check{s}}\gamma^{\check{s}\check{u}}F_{\tau\check{u}} + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{g}{\gamma}}\gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}F_{\check{r}\check{u}}F_{\check{s}\check{v}}(\gamma^{\check{u}\check{v}} + 2\frac{\gamma}{g}g_{\tau\check{m}}\gamma^{\check{m}\check{u}}g_{\tau\check{n}}\gamma^{\check{n}\check{v}})]$.

The action is invariant under separate τ- and $\vec{\sigma}$-reparametrizations, since $A_\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ transforms as a τ-derivative; moreover, it is invariant under the odd phase transformations $\delta\theta_i \mapsto i\alpha\theta_i$, generated by the I_i's.

The canonical momenta are [$E_{\check{r}} = F_{\check{r}\tau}$ and $B_{\check{r}} = \epsilon_{\check{r}\check{s}\check{t}}F_{\check{s}\check{t}}$ are the electric and magnetic fields respectively]

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}{\partial z^\mu_\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma})} = \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\eta_i m_i \\
&\quad \frac{z_{\tau\mu}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + z_{\check{r}\mu}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\dot{\eta}^{\check{r}}_i(\tau)}{\sqrt{g_{\tau\tau}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + 2g_{\tau\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\dot{\eta}^{\check{r}}_i(\tau) + g_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\dot{\eta}^{\check{r}}_i(\tau)\dot{\eta}^{\check{s}}_i(\tau)}} + \\
&\quad + \frac{\sqrt{g(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}}{4}[(g^{\tau\tau}z_{\tau\mu} + g^{\tau\check{r}}z_{\check{r}\mu})(\tau,\vec{\sigma})g^{\check{A}\check{C}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})g^{\check{B}\check{D}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})F_{\check{A}\check{B}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})F_{\check{C}\check{D}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - \\
&- 2[z_{\tau\mu}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})(g^{\check{A}\tau}g^{\tau\check{C}}g^{\check{B}\check{D}} + g^{\check{A}\check{C}}g^{\check{B}\tau}g^{\tau\check{D}})(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \\
&+ z_{\check{r}\mu}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})(g^{\check{A}\check{r}}g^{\tau\check{C}} + g^{\check{A}\tau}g^{\check{r}\check{C}})(\tau,\vec{\sigma})g^{\check{B}\check{D}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]F_{\check{A}\check{B}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})F_{\check{C}\check{D}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})] = \\
&\quad = [(\rho_\nu l^\nu)l_\mu + (\rho_\nu z^\nu_{\check{r}})\gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}z_{\check{s}\mu}](\tau,\vec{\sigma})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial\partial_\tau A_\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma})} = 0, \\
\pi^{\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial\partial_\tau A_{\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})} = -\frac{\gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}{\sqrt{g(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}}\gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})(F_{\tau\check{s}} - g_{\tau\check{v}}\gamma^{\check{v}\check{u}}F_{\check{u}\check{s}})(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \\
&= \frac{\gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}{\sqrt{g(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}}\gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})(E_{\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - g_{\tau\check{v}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\gamma^{\check{v}\check{u}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\epsilon_{\check{u}\check{s}\check{t}}B_{\check{t}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{i\check{r}}(\tau) &= -\frac{\partial L(\tau)}{\partial\dot{\eta}^{\check{r}}_i(\tau)} = \\
&= \eta_i m_i \frac{g_{\tau\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + g_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\dot{\eta}^{\check{s}}_i(\tau)}{\sqrt{g_{\tau\tau}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + 2g_{\tau\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\dot{\eta}^{\check{r}}_i(\tau) + g_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\dot{\eta}^{\check{r}}_i(\tau)\dot{\eta}^{\check{s}}_i(\tau)}} + \\
&+ e_i\theta^*_i(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)A_{\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\pi_{\theta\, i}(\tau) = \frac{\partial L(\tau)}{\partial \dot{\theta}_i(\tau)} = -\frac{i}{2}\theta^*_i(\tau)$$
$$\pi_{\theta^*\, i}(\tau) = \frac{\partial L(\tau)}{\partial \dot{\theta}^*_i(\tau)} = -\frac{i}{2}\theta_i(\tau), \qquad (115)$$

and the following Poisson brackets are assumed

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}), \rho_\nu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}^{'}\} = -\eta^\mu_\nu \delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\sigma}^{'})\\
&\{A_{\check{A}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}), \pi^{\check{B}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}^{'})\} = \eta^{\check{B}}_{\check{A}}\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\sigma}^{'})\\
&\{\eta^{\check{r}}_i(\tau), \kappa^{\check{s}}_j(\tau)\} = \delta_{ij}\delta^{\check{r}\check{s}}\\
&\{\theta_i(\tau), \pi_{\theta\, j}(\tau)\} = -\delta_{ij}\\
&\{\theta^*_i(\tau), \pi_{\theta^*\, j}(\tau)\} = -\delta_{ij}.
\end{aligned} \qquad (116)$$

The Grassmann momenta give origin to the second class constraints $\pi_{\theta\, i} + \frac{i}{2}\theta^*_i \approx 0$, $\pi_{\theta^*\, i} + \frac{i}{2}\theta_i \approx 0$ $[\{\pi_{\theta\, i} + \frac{i}{2}\theta^*_i, \pi_{\theta^*\, j} + \frac{i}{2}\theta_j\} = -i\delta_{ij}]$; $\pi_{\theta\, i}$ and $\pi_{\theta^*\, i}$ are then eliminated with the Dirac brackets

$$\{A,B\}^* = \{A,B\} - i[\{A, \pi_{\theta\, i} + \frac{i}{2}\theta^*_i\}\{\pi_{\theta^*\, i} + \frac{i}{2}\theta_i, B\} + \{A, \pi_{\theta^*\, i} + \frac{i}{2}\theta_i\}\{\pi_{\theta\, i} + \frac{i}{2}\theta^*_i, B\}] \qquad (117)$$

so that the remaining Grassmann variables have the fundamental Dirac brackets [which we will still denote {.,.} for the sake of simplicity]

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\theta_i(\tau), \theta_j(\tau)\} = \{\theta^*_i(\tau), \theta^*_j(\tau)\} = 0\\
&\{\theta_i(\tau), \theta^*_j(\tau)\} = -i\delta_{ij}.
\end{aligned} \qquad (118)$$

Again, we obtain four primary constraints

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \rho_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - l_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})[T_{\tau\tau}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) +\\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \times\\
&\eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 - \gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})[\kappa_{i\check{r}}(\tau) - e_i\theta^*_i(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)A_{\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})][\kappa_{i\check{s}}(\tau) - e_i\theta^*_i(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)A_{\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]}] -\\
&- z_{\check{r}\mu}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\{T_{\tau\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))[\kappa_{i\check{s}} - e_i\theta^*_i(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)A_{\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]\} \approx 0,
\end{aligned} \qquad (119)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\tau\tau}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= -\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\pi^{\check{r}}g_{\check{r}\check{s}}\pi^{\check{s}} - \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{2}\gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}\gamma^{\check{u}\check{v}}F_{\check{r}\check{u}}F_{\check{s}\check{v}})(\tau,\vec{\sigma}),\\
T_{\tau\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= F_{\check{s}\check{t}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\pi^{\check{t}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = [\vec{B}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \times \vec{\pi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]_{\check{s}},
\end{aligned} \tag{120}$$

are the energy density and the Poynting vector respectively.

Since the canonical Hamiltonian is (we assume boundary conditions for the electromagnetic potential such that all the surface terms can be neglected; see Ref. [6])

$$\begin{aligned}
H_c &= -\sum_{i=1}^{N}\kappa_{i\check{r}}(\tau)\dot{\eta}_i^{\check{r}}(\tau) + \int d^3\sigma[\pi^{\check{A}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\partial_\tau A_{\check{A}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - \rho_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})z^\mu_\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - \mathcal{L}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})] =\\
&= \int d^3\sigma[\partial_{\check{r}}(\pi^{\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})A_\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - A_\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma})] = -\int d^3\sigma A_\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma}),
\end{aligned} \tag{121}$$

with

$$\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = -\partial^r\pi^r(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N}e_i\theta_i^*(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)), \tag{122}$$

we have the Dirac Hamiltonian ($\lambda^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ and $\lambda_\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ are Dirac's multipliers)

$$H_D = \int d^3\sigma\lambda^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \lambda_\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\pi^\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - A_\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]. \tag{123}$$

The Lorentz scalar constraint $\pi^\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0$ is generated by the gauge invariance of S; its time constancy will produce the only secondary constraint (Gauss law)

$$\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0. \tag{124}$$

The six constraints $\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0$, $\pi^\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0$, $\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0$ are first class with the only non vanishing Poisson brackets

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\ ,\ \mathcal{H}_\nu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}^{'})\} =\\
&\qquad = \{[l_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})z_{\check{r}\nu}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - l_\nu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})z_{\check{r}\mu}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]\frac{g_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\pi^{\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}{\sqrt{\gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}} +\\
&\qquad + z^{\check{r}}_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})F_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})z^{\check{s}}_\nu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\}\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\sigma}^{'}) \approx 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{125}$$

Let us remark that the simplicity of Eqs.(125) is due to the use of Cartesian coordinates: if we had used the constraints $\mathcal{H}_l(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = l^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$, $\mathcal{H}_{\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = z^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\mathcal{H}_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ (i.e.

nonholonomic coordinates), so that their associated Dirac multipliers $\lambda_l(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$, $\lambda_{\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ would have been the lapse and shift functions of general relativity, one would have obtained the universal algebra of Ref. [1].

The ten conserved Poincaré generators are

$$\begin{aligned}
P^\mu_s &= \int d^3\sigma\, \rho^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}),\\
J^{\mu\nu}_s &= \int d^3\sigma\, (z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\rho^\nu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - z^\nu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\rho^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})),
\end{aligned} \tag{126}$$

so that the total momentum is built starting from the existing energy momentum densities on the hypersurface

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int d^3\sigma \mathcal{H}^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = p^\mu_s - \int d^3\sigma l_\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})[T_{\tau\tau}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) +\\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \times\\
&\eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 - \gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})[\kappa_{i\check{r}}(\tau) - e_i\theta^*_i(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)A_{\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})][\kappa_{i\check{s}}(\tau) - e_i\theta^*_i(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)A_{\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]}] -\\
&- \int d^3\sigma z_{\check{r}\mu}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\{T_{\tau\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) +\\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^N \delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))[\kappa_{i\check{s}}(\tau) - e_i\theta^*_i(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)A_{\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]\} \approx 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{127}$$

If we add the gauge-fixings (47) and the Dirac brackets (51), (57), we remain with the variables $x^\mu_s, p^\mu_s, b^\mu_{\check{A}}, S^{\mu\nu}_s, A_{\check{A}}, \pi^{\check{A}}, \vec{\eta}_i, \vec{\kappa}_i, \theta_i, \theta^*_i$ and the twelve constraints

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^\mu(\tau) &= \int d^3\sigma \mathcal{H}^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = p^\mu_s - l_\mu\{\frac{1}{2}\int d^3\sigma[\vec{\pi}^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \vec{B}^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma})] +\\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + [\vec{\kappa}^2_i(\tau) - e_i\theta^*_i(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)\vec{A}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]^2}\} -\\
&- b_{\check{r}\mu}(\tau)\{\int d^3\sigma[\vec{B}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \times \vec{\pi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]_{\check{r}} + \sum_{i=1}^N [\kappa_{i\check{r}}(\tau) - e_i\theta^*_i(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)A_{\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]\} \approx 0\\
\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\mu\nu}(\tau) &= b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau)\int d^3\sigma\sigma^{\check{r}}\, \mathcal{H}^\nu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - b^\nu_{\check{r}}(\tau)\int d^3\sigma\sigma^{\check{r}}\, \mathcal{H}^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) =\\
&= S^{\mu\nu}_s(\tau) - [b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau)b^\nu_\tau - b^\nu_{\check{r}}(\tau)b^\mu_\tau]\,[\frac{1}{2}\int d^3\sigma\sigma^{\check{r}}\,[\vec{\pi}^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \vec{B}^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma})] +\\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^N \eta^{\check{r}}_i(\tau)\eta_i\sqrt{m_i^2 + [\vec{\kappa}_i(\tau) - e_i\theta^*_i(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)\vec{A}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]^2}] -\\
&- [b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau)b^\nu_{\check{s}}(\tau) - b^\nu_{\check{r}}(\tau)b^\mu_{\check{s}}(\tau)]\,[\int d^3\sigma\sigma^{\check{r}}\,[\vec{B}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \times \vec{\pi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]_{\check{s}} +
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\qquad + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_i^{\check{r}}(\tau)[\kappa_i^{\check{s}}(\tau) - e_i\theta_i^*(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)A^{\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]\ ] \approx 0\\
&\pi^\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0\\
&\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0, \qquad\qquad (128)
\end{aligned}
$$

with Poisson algebra

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^\mu(\tau), \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^\nu(\tau)\}^* = \int d^3\sigma \{[b^\mu_\tau b^\nu_{\check{r}}(\tau) - b^\nu_\tau b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau)]\pi_{\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) +\\
&+ b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau)F_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})b^\nu_{\check{s}}(\tau)\}\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\\
&\{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^\mu(\tau), \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\alpha\beta}(\tau)\}^* = \int d^3\sigma\, \sigma^{\check{t}}\, \{[b^\alpha_\tau b^\beta_{\check{t}}(\tau) - b^\beta_\tau b^\alpha_{\check{t}}(\tau)]b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau)\pi_{\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) +\\
&+ b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau)F_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})[b^\alpha_{\check{t}}(\tau)b^\beta_{\check{s}}(\tau) - b^\beta_{\check{t}}(\tau)b^\alpha_{\check{s}}(\tau)]\}\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\\
&\{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\mu\nu}(\tau), \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\alpha\beta}(\tau)\}^* = C^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}_{\gamma\delta}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\gamma\delta}(\tau) + \int d^3\sigma\, \sigma^{\check{u}}\, \sigma^{\check{v}}\\
&\{b^\mu_{\check{u}}(\tau)b^\alpha_{\check{v}}(\tau)([b^\nu_\tau b^\beta_{\check{r}}(\tau) - b^\beta_\tau b^\nu_{\check{r}}(\tau)]\pi_{\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + b^\nu_{\check{r}}(\tau)F_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})b^\beta_{\check{s}}(\tau)) -\\
&- b^\mu_{\check{u}}(\tau)b^\beta_{\check{v}}(\tau)([b^\nu_\tau b^\alpha_{\check{r}}(\tau) - b^\alpha_\tau b^\nu_{\check{r}}(\tau)]\pi_{\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + b^\nu_{\check{r}}(\tau)F_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})b^\alpha_{\check{s}}(\tau)) -\\
&- b^\nu_{\check{u}}(\tau)b^\alpha_{\check{v}}(\tau)([b^\mu_\tau b^\beta_{\check{r}}(\tau) - b^\beta_\tau b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau)]\pi_{\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau)F_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})b^\beta_{\check{s}}(\tau)) +\\
&+ b^\nu_{\check{u}}(\tau)b^\beta_{\check{v}}(\tau)([b^\mu_\tau b^\alpha_{\check{r}}(\tau) - b^\alpha_\tau b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau)]\pi_{\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + b^\mu_{\check{r}}(\tau)F_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})b^\alpha_{\check{s}}(\tau))\}\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma}), \qquad (129)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the form of Eq.(56) for the Poincaré generators.

Then we make the canonical transformation of Eqs.(59), so that the new variables are $\tilde{x}^\mu_s, p^\mu_s, b^A_{\check{A}}, \tilde{S}^{\mu\nu}_s, A_{\check{A}}, \pi^{\check{A}}, \vec{\eta}_i, \vec{\kappa}_i, \theta_i, \theta^*_i$ and one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{S}^{AB}_s &= \epsilon^A_\mu(u(p_s))\epsilon^B_\nu(u(p_s))S^{\mu\nu}_s \approx [b^A_{\check{r}}(\tau)b^B_\tau - b^B_{\check{r}}(\tau)b^A_\tau]\,[\frac{1}{2}\int d^3\sigma\sigma^{\check{r}}\,[\vec{\pi}^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \vec{B}^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma})] +\\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_i^{\check{r}}(\tau)\eta_i\sqrt{m_i^2 + [\vec{\kappa}_i(\tau) - e_i\theta_i^*(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)\vec{A}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]^2}] +\\
&+ [b^A_{\check{r}}(\tau)b^B_{\check{s}}(\tau) - b^B_{\check{r}}(\tau)b^A_{\check{s}}(\tau)]\,[\int d^3\sigma\sigma^{\check{r}}\,[\vec{B}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \times \vec{\pi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]_{\check{s}} +\\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_i^{\check{r}}(\tau)[\kappa_i^{\check{s}}(\tau) - e_i\theta_i^*(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)A^{\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]\ ]. \qquad (130)
\end{aligned}
$$

The final gauge-fixings (62) reduce the variables to $\tilde{x}^\mu_s, p^\mu_s, A_\tau, \pi^\tau, A_r, \pi^r, \eta^r_i, \kappa_{ir}, \theta_i, \theta^*_i$, with “r” being a Wigner spin-1 index and $\bar{o} = \tau$ a Lorentz-scalar one.

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{S}^{AB}_s &\approx (\eta^A_{\check{r}}\eta^B_\tau - \eta^B_{\check{r}}\eta^A_\tau)\,[\frac{1}{2}\int d^3\sigma\sigma^{\check{r}}\,[\vec{\pi}^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \vec{B}^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma})] + \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i^{\check{r}}(\tau)\eta_i\sqrt{m_i^2 + [\vec{\kappa}_i(\tau) - e_i\theta_i^*(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)\vec{A}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]^2}] + \\
&+ (\eta^A_{\check{r}}\eta^B_{\check{s}} - \eta^B_{\check{r}}\eta^A_{\check{s}})\,[\int d^3\sigma\sigma^{\check{r}}\,[\vec{B}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\times\vec{\pi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]_{\check{s}} + \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i^{\check{r}}(\tau)[\kappa_i^{\check{s}}(\tau) - e_i\theta_i^*(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)A^{\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]\ ] \\
\bar{S}^{rs}_s &\approx \sum_{i=1}^N(\eta_i^{\check{r}}(\tau)[\kappa_i^{\check{s}}(\tau) - e_i\theta_i^*(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)A^{\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))] - \\
&- \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i^{\check{s}}(\tau)[\kappa_i^{\check{r}}(\tau) - e_i\theta_i^*(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)A^{\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]\ ) - \\
&- \int d^3\sigma\,(\sigma^r\,[\vec{B}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\times\vec{\pi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]^s - \sigma^s\,[\vec{B}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\times\vec{\pi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]^r) \\
\bar{S}^{\bar{o}r}_s &\approx -\bar{S}^{r\bar{o}}_s = -\sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i^r(\tau)\eta_i\sqrt{m_i^2 + [\vec{\kappa}_i(\tau) - e_i\theta_i^*(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)\vec{A}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]^2} - \\
&- \frac{1}{2}\int d^3\sigma\sigma^r\,[\vec{\pi}^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \vec{B}^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{ij}_s &\approx \tilde{x}^i_s p^j_s - \tilde{x}^j_s p^i_s + \delta^{ir}\delta^{js}\bar{S}^{rs}_s \\
J^{oi}_s &\approx \tilde{x}^o_s p^i_s - \tilde{x}^i_s p^o_s - \frac{\delta^{ir}\bar{S}^{rs}_s p^s_s}{p^o_s + \eta_s\sqrt{p^2_s}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{131}
$$

The Poincaré generators now have the form of Eqs.(71) and only six first class constraints are left

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^\mu(\tau) &= p^\mu_s - u^\mu(u(p_s))\,[\frac{1}{2}\int d^3\sigma[\vec{\pi}^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \vec{B}^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma})] + \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i\sqrt{m_i^2 + [\vec{\kappa}_i(\tau) - e_i\theta_i^*(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)\vec{A}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]^2}] - \\
&- \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))\,[-\int d^3\sigma[\vec{B}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\times\vec{\pi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]^r + \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^N[\kappa_i^r(\tau) - e_i\theta_i^*(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)A^r(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]\ ] \approx 0 \\
\pi^\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &\approx 0 \\
\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &\approx 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^\mu,\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^\nu\}^{**} = \int d^3\sigma\{[\epsilon^\mu_\tau(u(p_s))\epsilon^\nu_r(u(p_s)) - \epsilon^\nu_\tau(u(p_s))\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p_s))]\pi_r(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) +
$$

$$+\epsilon^{\mu}_r(u(p_s))F_{rs}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\epsilon^{\nu}_s(u(p_s))\}\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \tag{132}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}(\tau) &= \eta_s\sqrt{p_s^2} - [\sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i\sqrt{m_i^2 + [\vec{\kappa}_i(\tau) - e_i\theta_i^*(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)\vec{A}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]^2} + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\int d^3\sigma[\vec{\pi}^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \vec{B}^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma})] \approx 0 \\
\vec{\mathcal{H}}_p(\tau) &= \sum_{i=1}^N[\vec{\kappa}_i(\tau) - e_i\theta_i^*(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)\vec{A}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))] - \\
&- \int d^3\sigma\vec{B}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\times\vec{\pi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0 \\
\pi^{\tau}&(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0 \\
\Gamma&(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{133}$$

Then, for $N \geq 2$, we do the canonical transformation (73), (74): the new form of the constraints is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{H}(\tau) = \epsilon_s - \{\sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i \times \\
&\sqrt{m_i^2 + [\frac{1}{N}\vec{\kappa}_+(\tau) + \sqrt{N}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\pi}_a(\tau) - e_i\theta_i^*(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)\vec{A}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_+(\tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\rho}_a(\tau))]^2} + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\int d^3\sigma[\vec{\pi}^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \vec{B}^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]\} = \epsilon_s - E_{(P+I)s} - E_{(F)s} \approx 0 \\
&\\
&\vec{\mathcal{H}}_p(\tau) = \vec{\kappa}_+(\tau) - \sum_{i=1}^N e_i\theta_i^*(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)\vec{A}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_+(\tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\rho}_a(\tau)) - \\
&- \int d^3\sigma\vec{B}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\times\vec{\pi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \vec{P}_{(P+I)s} + \vec{P}_{(F)s} \approx 0 \\
&\pi^{\tau}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0 \\
&\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{134}$$

where $E_{(F)s} = \frac{1}{2}\int d^3\sigma[\vec{\pi}^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \vec{B}^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]$ and $\vec{P}_{(F)s} = -\int d^3\sigma\vec{\pi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\times\vec{B}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ are the rest-frame field energy and three-momentum respectively [now we have $\vec{\pi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \vec{E}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$], while $E_{(P+I)s}$ and $\vec{P}_{(P+I)s}$ denote the particle+interaction total rest-frame energy and three-momentum, before the decoupling from the electromagnetic gauge degrees of freedom.

The final form of the rest-frame spin tensor is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{S}^{rs}_s &= -\sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i\theta_i^*(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)[\eta^r_+(\tau)A^s(\tau,\vec{\eta}_+(\tau)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{b=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{bi}\vec{\rho}_b(\tau))-\\
&- \eta^s_+(\tau)A^r(\tau,\vec{\eta}_+(\tau)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{b=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{bi}\vec{\rho}_b(\tau))]+\\
&+\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}[\rho^r_a(\tau)(\pi^s_a(\tau)-\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}e_i\theta_i^*(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)A^s(\tau,\vec{\eta}_+(\tau)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{b=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{bi}\vec{\rho}_b(\tau))-\\
&-\rho^s_a(\tau)(\pi^r_a(\tau)-\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}e_i\theta_i^*(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)A^r(\tau,\vec{\eta}_+(\tau)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{b=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{bi}\vec{\rho}_b(\tau))]-\\
&-\int d^3\sigma\,(\sigma^r\,[\vec{B}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\times\vec{\pi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]^s-\sigma^s\,[\vec{B}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\times\vec{\pi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]^r)=\bar{S}^{rs}_{(P+I)s}+\bar{S}^{rs}_{(F)s}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{S}^{\bar{o}r}_s &= -\bar{S}^{r\bar{o}}_s = -\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\eta^r_+(\tau)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\rho^r_a(\tau))\eta_i\times\\
&\sqrt{m_i^2+[\frac{1}{N}\vec{\kappa}_+(\tau)+\sqrt{N}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\pi}_a(\tau)-e_i\theta_i^*(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)\vec{A}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_+(\tau)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\rho}_a(\tau))]^2}\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\int d^3\sigma\sigma^r\,[\vec{\pi}^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma})+\vec{B}^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma})],
\end{aligned}
\qquad (135)
$$

while the Dirac Hamiltonian is

$$
H_D=\lambda(\tau)\mathcal{H}-\vec{\lambda}(\tau)\vec{\mathcal{H}}_p+\int d^3\sigma[\lambda_\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\pi^\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma})-A_\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]. \qquad (136)
$$

We can check that $\theta_i^*(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)$ is a constant of motion for each i=1,..,N, so that we will write it as $\theta_i^*\theta_i$.

Let us now look at the electromagnetic Dirac observables, namely at the functions on phase space invariant under electromagnetic gauge transformations. Referring to Ref. [6] for the detailed calculations, for the electromagnetic field we have the following decompositions

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^r(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma^r}\eta_{em}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})+A^r_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\\
\pi^r(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= \pi^r_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})+\frac{1}{\triangle_\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma^r}[\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma})-\sum_{i=1}^{N}e_i\theta_i^*\theta_i\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\eta_{em}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})=-\frac{1}{\triangle_\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial\vec{\sigma}}\cdot\vec{A}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})
$$

$$
\{\eta_{em}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}),\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma}^{'})\}^{**}=-\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\sigma}^{'})
$$

$$\{A^r_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma}),\pi^s_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma}^{'})\}^{**} = -(\delta^{rs} + \frac{\partial^r_\sigma\partial^s_\sigma}{\triangle_\sigma})\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\sigma}^{'}), \tag{137}$$

with the pairs of conjugate variables $A_\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma}),\pi^\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0, \eta_{em}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}),\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0$ spanning the Lorentz-scalar gauge subspace of phase space, and with $\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma}),\vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ $[\vec{\partial}_\sigma\cdot\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \vec{\partial}_\sigma\cdot\vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = 0]$ being a canonical basis of electromagnetic Dirac observables, transforming as Wigner spin-1 3-vectors.

Since we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\eta^r_i(\tau),\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\}^{**} = 0\\
&\{\kappa^r_i(\tau),\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\}^{**} = -e_i\theta^*_i\theta_i\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta^r_i}\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)),
\end{aligned} \tag{138}$$

the particle momenta $\vec{\kappa}_i(\tau)$ are not gauge invariant. Also the Grassmann variables $\theta_i(\tau),\theta^*_i(\tau)$ are not gauge invariant

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\theta_i(\tau),\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\}^{**} = -ie_i\theta_i(\tau)\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\\
&\{\theta^*_i(\tau),\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\}^{**} = ie_i\theta^*_i(\tau)\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)).
\end{aligned} \tag{139}$$

The Grassmann Dirac observables are

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\theta}_i(\tau) = e^{-i\eta_{em}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))}\theta_i(\tau), \qquad \{\hat{\theta}_i(\tau),\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\}^{**} = 0,\\
&\hat{\theta}^*_i(\tau) = e^{i\eta_{em}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))}\theta^*_i(\tau), \qquad \{\hat{\theta}^*_i(\tau),\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\}^{**} = 0,\\
&\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}^*_i\hat{\theta}_i = \theta^*_i\theta_i, \qquad \{\theta^*_i\theta_i,\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\}^{**} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{140}$$

Since we have

$$\vec{\kappa}_i(\tau) - e_i\theta^*_i\theta_i\vec{A}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) = \hat{\vec{\kappa}}_i(\tau) - e_i\hat{\theta}^*_i\hat{\theta}_i\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)), \tag{141}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\vec{\kappa}}_i(\tau) = \vec{\kappa}_i(\tau) - e_i\theta^*_i\theta_i\vec{\partial}\eta_{em}(\tau,\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)),\\
&\\
&\{\hat{\kappa}^r_i(\tau),\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\}^{**} = 0\\
&\{\eta^r_i(\tau),\hat{\kappa}^s_j(\tau)\}^{**} = \delta_{ij}\delta^{rs},\\
&\{\hat{\kappa}^r_i(\tau),\hat{\theta}_j(\tau)\}^{**} = 0, \qquad \{\hat{\kappa}^r_i(\tau),\hat{\theta}^*_j(\tau)\}^{**} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{142}$$

the particle Dirac observables are $\vec{\eta}_i(\tau), \hat{\vec{\kappa}}_i(\tau)$: the scalar particles have been dressed with the Coulomb cloud (like the fermion fields in Ref. [6]).

From Equations (137), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{\pi}^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= \{\vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \frac{1}{\triangle_\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial\vec{\sigma}}[\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - \sum_{i=1}^N e_i\hat{\theta}_i^*\hat{\theta}_i\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]\}^2 \approx \\
&\approx \vec{\pi}_\perp^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - 2\vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\cdot\frac{1}{\triangle_\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial\vec{\sigma}}\sum_{i=1}^N e_i\hat{\theta}_i^*\hat{\theta}_i\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + \\
&+ \sum_{i,j=1}^N [e_i\hat{\theta}_i^*\hat{\theta}_i][e_j\hat{\theta}_j^*\hat{\theta}_j]\frac{1}{\triangle_\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial\vec{\sigma}}\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\,\cdot\,\frac{1}{\triangle_\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial\vec{\sigma}}\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_j(\tau)),
\end{aligned}\tag{143}$$

so that by integrating by parts and using the property $Q_i^2=0$, $Q_i = e_i\hat{\theta}_i^*\hat{\theta}_i$, of Grassmann variables, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int d^3\sigma\vec{\pi}^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \\
&= \int d^3\sigma\{\vec{\pi}_\perp^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - \sum_{i\neq j}^{1..N} Q_iQ_j\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\frac{1}{\triangle_\sigma}\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_j(\tau))\} = \\
&= \int d^3\sigma\vec{\pi}_\perp^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - \sum_{i\neq j}^{1..N} Q_iQ_j\, c(\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)-\vec{\eta}_j(\tau)),
\end{aligned}\tag{144}$$

where we have introduced the Green function $c(\vec{x})$ defined by

$$c(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) = \frac{-1}{4\pi|\,\vec{x}-\vec{y}\,|} = \frac{1}{\triangle_x}\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}).\tag{145}$$

Having decoupled the electromagnetic gauge variables, the canonical basis of Dirac observables $\tilde{x}_s^\mu(\tau), p_s^\mu, \vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma}), \vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma}), \vec{\eta}_i(\tau), \hat{\vec{\kappa}}_i(\tau), \hat{\theta}_i(\tau), \hat{\theta}_i^*(\tau)$ spans the phase space, where the remaining gauge invariant four first class constraints in Eqs.(134) have the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{H}}(\tau) &= \epsilon_s - \{\sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i \\
&\sqrt{m_i^2 + [\frac{1}{N}\hat{\vec{\kappa}}_+(\tau) + \sqrt{N}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\hat{\vec{\pi}}_a(\tau) - e_i\hat{\theta}_i^*\hat{\theta}_i\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\eta}_+(\tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\rho}_a(\tau))]^2} - \\
&- \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j}^{1..N}[e_i\hat{\theta}_i^*\hat{\theta}_i][e_j\hat{\theta}_j^*\hat{\theta}_j]\, c[\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}(\hat{\gamma}_{ai}-\hat{\gamma}_{aj})\vec{\rho}_a(\tau)] + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\int d^3\sigma[\vec{\pi}_\perp^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \vec{B}^2[\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]\,]\} \approx 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\vec{\mathcal{H}}}_p(\tau) &= \hat{\vec{\kappa}}_+(\tau) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i \hat{\theta}_i^* \hat{\theta}_i \vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_+(\tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} \vec{\rho}_a(\tau)) - \\
&\quad - \int d^3\sigma\, \vec{B}[\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})] \times \vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\{\hat{\mathcal{H}}, \hat{\mathcal{H}}^r_p\}^{**} = \{\hat{\mathcal{H}}^r_p, \hat{\mathcal{H}}^s_p\}^{**} = 0; \tag{146}
$$

the Bianchi identity $\vec{\partial} \cdot \vec{B}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = 0$ has been used to obtain $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^r_p$. The variables $\hat{\vec{\kappa}}_+, \hat{\vec{\pi}}_a$ are the Dirac observables induced by $\hat{\vec{\kappa}}_i$. Now the Dirac Hamiltonian is $H_D = \lambda(\tau)\hat{\mathcal{H}}(\tau) - \vec{\lambda}(\tau) \cdot \hat{\vec{\mathcal{H}}}_p(\tau)$.

The rest-frame spin tensor becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{S}^{rs}_s &= - \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i \hat{\theta}_i^* \hat{\theta}_i [\eta^r_+(\tau) A^s_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_+(\tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{b=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{bi} \vec{\rho}_b(\tau)) - \\
&\quad - \eta^s_+(\tau) A^r_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_+(\tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{b=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{bi} \vec{\rho}_b(\tau))] + \\
&\quad + \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} [\rho^r_a(\tau)(\hat{\pi}^s_a(\tau) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} e_i \hat{\theta}_i^* \hat{\theta}_i A^s_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_+(\tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{b=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{bi} \vec{\rho}_b(\tau)) - \\
&\quad - \rho^s_a(\tau)(\hat{\pi}^r_a(\tau) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} e_i \hat{\theta}_i^* \hat{\theta}_i A^r_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_+(\tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{b=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{bi} \vec{\rho}_b(\tau))] - \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i \hat{\theta}_i^* \hat{\theta}_i \int d^3\sigma (\sigma^r \epsilon^{suv} - \sigma^s \epsilon^{ruv}) [\frac{1}{\triangle_\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^u} \delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau))]\, B^v[\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})] - \\
&\quad - \int d^3\sigma\, (\sigma^r\, [\vec{B}[\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})] \times \vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\,]^s - \sigma^s\, [\vec{B}[\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})] \times \vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\,]^r) = \\
&= \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} [\rho^r_a(\tau) \hat{\pi}^s_a(\tau) - \rho^s_a(\tau) \hat{\pi}^r_a(\tau)] - \\
&\quad - \int d^3\sigma\, (\sigma^r\, [\vec{B}[\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})] \times \vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\,]^s - \sigma^s\, [\vec{B}[\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})] \times \vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\,]^r) - \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i \hat{\theta}_i^* \hat{\theta}_i [\eta^r_+(\tau) A^s_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_+(\tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{b=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{bi} \vec{\rho}_b(\tau)) - \\
&\quad - \eta^s_+(\tau) A^r_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_+(\tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{b=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{bi} \vec{\rho}_b(\tau))] + \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} [\rho^r_a(\tau) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} e_i \hat{\theta}_i^* \hat{\theta}_i A^s_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_+(\tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{b=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{bi} \vec{\rho}_b(\tau)) - \\
&\quad - \rho^s_a(\tau) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} e_i \hat{\theta}_i^* \hat{\theta}_i A^r_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_+(\tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{b=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{bi} \vec{\rho}_b(\tau))] -
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\epsilon^{rsk}\sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i\hat{\theta}_i^*\hat{\theta}_i \int d^3\sigma c(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\{2B^k[\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})] - \\
&-\vec{\sigma}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial\vec{\sigma}}B^k[\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})] + \vec{\sigma}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma^k}\vec{B}[\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]\} = \\
&= \bar{S}^{rs}_{s,FREE} + \bar{S}^{rs}_{s,INT}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{S}_s^{\bar{o}r} &= -\bar{S}_s^{r\bar{o}} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\eta_+^r(\tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\rho_a^r(\tau))\eta_i \times \\
&\sqrt{m_i^2 + [\frac{1}{N}\hat{\vec{\kappa}}_+(\tau) + \sqrt{N}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\hat{\vec{\pi}}_a(\tau) - e_i\hat{\theta}_i^*\hat{\theta}_i\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\eta}_+(\tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\rho}_a(\tau))]^2 -} \\
&-\frac{1}{2}\int d^3\sigma\sigma^r\,[-2\vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\cdot\frac{1}{\triangle_\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial\vec{\sigma}}\sum_{i=1}^{N}e_i\hat{\theta}_i^*\hat{\theta}_i\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) + \\
&+\sum_{i,j=1}^{N}[e_i\hat{\theta}_i^*\hat{\theta}_i][e_j\hat{\theta}_j^*\hat{\theta}_j]\frac{1}{\triangle_\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial\vec{\sigma}}\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\,\cdot\,\frac{1}{\triangle_\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial\vec{\sigma}}\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_j(\tau))] - \\
&-\frac{1}{2}\int d^3\sigma\sigma^r\,[\vec{\pi}_\perp^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \vec{B}^2[\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})] = \\
&= -\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\eta_+^r(\tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\rho_a^r(\tau))\eta_i \times \\
&\sqrt{m_i^2 + [\frac{1}{N}\hat{\vec{\kappa}}_+(\tau) + \sqrt{N}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\hat{\vec{\pi}}_a(\tau) - e_i\hat{\theta}_i^*\hat{\theta}_i\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\eta}_+(\tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\rho}_a(\tau))]^2 +} \\
&+\sum_{i=1}^{N}e_i\hat{\theta}_i^*\hat{\theta}_i\{\sum_{j\neq i}^{1..N}e_j\hat{\theta}_j^*\hat{\theta}_j[\frac{1}{\triangle_{\vec{\eta}_j}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta_j^r}c(\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)-\vec{\eta}_j(\tau)) - \eta_j^r(\tau)c(\vec{\eta}_i(\tau)-\vec{\eta}_j(\tau))] + \\
&+\int d^3\sigma\pi_\perp^r(\tau,\vec{\sigma})c(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))\} - \frac{1}{2}\int d^3\sigma\sigma^r\,(\vec{\pi}_\perp^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \vec{B}^2[\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]). \qquad (147)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the final result is the extraction of the static action-at-a-distance Coulomb potential from the electromagnetic field theory, which is reduced to the transverse radiation field.This is obtained at the pseudoclassical level, where the hypothesis of charge quantization is reflected in the property $Q_i^2 = 0$, which regularizes the classical electromagnetic self-energy and produces the rule $\sum_{i\neq j}$ without the need to introduce Feuynman-Wheeler [54] theory of the absorbers. Clearly all the effects of order e_i^2 (like those producing the run-away solutions in the Abraham-Lorentz-Dirac equation; see Refs. [55,56]) are killed: only the interference effects of order e_ie_j, $i \neq j$ are preserved. These problems will be investigated in more detail elsewhere. In any case, it is clear that in this formulation the initial data problem consists in specifying Cauchy data for the radiation field and Newton-like action-at-a-distance initial data for the charged particles with mutual Coulomb interaction.

In particular, if we put equal to zero the radiation field, $\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = 0$, we obtain a well defined relativistic 1-time reduced N-body problem with the Coulomb potential extracted from field theory and with a decoupling of the motion of the center of mass, whose constraints are

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{H}}(\tau) &= \epsilon_s - \{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + [\frac{1}{N}\hat{\vec{\kappa}}_+(\tau) + \sqrt{N}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\hat{\vec{\pi}}_a(\tau)]^2} - \\
&- \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j}^{1..N}[e_i\hat{\theta}_i^*\hat{\theta}_i][e_j\hat{\theta}_j^*\hat{\theta}_j]\, c[\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}(\hat{\gamma}_{ai}-\hat{\gamma}_{aj})\vec{\rho}_a(\tau)]\} \approx \\
&\approx \epsilon_s - \{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_i \sqrt{m_i^2 + N[\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\hat{\gamma}_{ai}\hat{\vec{\pi}}_a(\tau)]^2} - \\
&- \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j}^{1..N}[e_i\hat{\theta}_i^*\hat{\theta}_i][e_j\hat{\theta}_j^*\hat{\theta}_j]\, c[\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}(\hat{\gamma}_{ai}-\hat{\gamma}_{aj})\vec{\rho}_a(\tau)]\} \approx 0 \\
\hat{\vec{\mathcal{H}}}_p(\tau) &= \hat{\vec{\kappa}}_+(\tau) \approx 0 \\
\{\hat{\mathcal{H}}, \hat{\mathcal{H}}_p^r\}^{**} &= \{\hat{\mathcal{H}}_p^r, \hat{\mathcal{H}}_p^s\}^{**} = 0; \qquad (148)
\end{aligned}$$

whose natural gauge-fixing for decoupling the last three constraints is $\vec{\eta}_+(\tau) \approx 0$ as in the free case [the nonrelativistic limit, using the methods of Refs. [16], would give the first class constraint $\hat{\mathcal{H}} = E_R - H_R \approx 0$ (where E_R and H_R are the reduced energy and Hamiltonian respectively) for the parametrized Newtonian N-body problem with Coulomb interaction]. See also Ref. [51] and its bibliography for models with additive potentials like the Coulomb one in Eq.(148). For the N=2 case, Eqs.(148) were already found in Refs. [57], where there is a study of the classical equations of motion and their explicit solution in the equal mass case.

It is difficult to find the gauge-fixings replacing $\vec{\eta}_+ \approx 0$, i.e. which is the point of the system to be identified with $\tilde{x}_s^\mu$, for the full theory, and then the final form of the rest-frame spin tensor (147). Given these gauge-fixings, we have to find a canonical basis of Dirac's observables with vanishing Poisson brackets with the constraints $\hat{\vec{\mathcal{H}}}_p \approx 0$ of Eqs.(146) and with the gauge-fixings, and to reexpress $\hat{\mathcal{H}} \approx 0$ of Eqs.(146) in terms of them: this will give the final 1-time reduced Hamiltonian for N charged scalar particles interacting through the Coulomb potential and coupled to the radiation field. However, an implicit form of the three gauge-fixings may be found by remembering that in any instant form of the dynamics there are only four generators of the Poincaré group which depend on the interaction. In the rest-frame instant form they are $\epsilon_s = \eta_s \sqrt{p_s^2}$, given by the first of Eqs.(146), and the three boosts J_s^{oi}, given in the last of Eqs.(131) [they depend on the interaction through the term $\eta_s \sqrt{p_s^2}$]. The other six, i.e. $\vec{p}_s$ and J_s^{ij} [namely $\bar{S}_s^{rs}$], must be identical to the analogous generators in absence of interactions, namely to the ganerators for N neutral scalar particles plus the electromagnetic field. Therefore, from Eqs.(147) we must require the vanishing of the interacting part $\bar{S}^{rs}_{s,INT}$ of the rest-frame three-spin

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi^k(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{krs} \bar{S}^{rs}_{s,INT} = -\epsilon^{krs} \eta^r_+(\tau) \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i \hat{\theta}_i^* \hat{\theta}_i A^s_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_+(\tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{b=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{bi} \vec{\rho}_b(\tau)) - \\
&- \frac{\epsilon^{krs}}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \rho^r_a(\tau) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\gamma}_{ai} e_i \hat{\theta}_i^* \hat{\theta}_i A^s_\perp(\tau, \vec{\eta}_+(\tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{b=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{bi} \vec{\rho}_b(\tau)) - \\
&- \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i \hat{\theta}_i^* \hat{\theta}_i \int d^3\sigma c(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}_i(\tau)) \{ 2B^k[\vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\sigma})] - \\
&- \vec{\sigma} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\sigma}} B^k[\vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\sigma})] + \vec{\sigma} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^k} \vec{B}[\vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\sigma})] \} \approx 0.
\end{aligned} \qquad (149)$$

The time constancy of these constrains determines the Dirac multipliers $\vec{\lambda}(\tau)$, so that the final Dirac Hamiltonian becomes $H_D = \lambda(\tau) \hat{\mathcal{H}}(\tau)$. The problem of evaluating the Dirac brackets of the three pairs of second class constraints $\hat{\vec{\mathcal{H}}}_p \approx 0$ and $\chi^r \approx 0$ as well as the attempt of diagonalizing these brackets will be studied elsewhere.

Let us remark that, if in Eqs.(146) we disregard the constraints $\hat{\vec{\mathcal{H}}}_p \approx 0$ and eliminate the field energy term in $\hat{\mathcal{H}} \approx 0$, we get a constraint $\hat{\mathcal{H}}' \approx 0$ in which $\vec{A}_\perp$ is an external radiation field coupled to the system.

If we eliminate the particles and we remain with only the field, the four constraints are

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}(\tau) = \epsilon_s - \frac{1}{2} \int d^3\sigma [\vec{\pi}^2_\perp(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) + \vec{B}^2[\vec{A}_\perp(\tau, \vec{\sigma})]]\} \approx 0$$

$$\hat{\vec{\mathcal{H}}}_p(\tau) = \int d^3\sigma\, \vec{B}[\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})] \times \vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \vec{P}_{(F)s} \approx 0$$

$$\{\hat{\mathcal{H}}, \hat{\mathcal{H}}^r_p\}^{**} = \{\hat{\mathcal{H}}^r_p, \hat{\mathcal{H}}^s_p\}^{**} = 0. \tag{150}$$

Now the gauge-fixing should be the vanishing of a center-of-mass field three-coordinate conjugate to the total three-momentum $\vec{P}_{(F)s} = \hat{\vec{\mathcal{H}}}_p \approx 0$. Therefore, we have indirectly shown that there must exist a center-of-mass decomposition also for classical gauge field theory and that this is the lacking ingredient to get a reformulation of classical field theory as a "1-time rest-frame field theory" with Wigner covariance of the variables relative to the center of mass when restricted to configurations belonging to timelike representations of the Poincaré group. Again, only three center-of-mass coordinates would not be covariant.

VII. THE 1-TIME THEORY VERSUS THE FESHBACH-VILLARS HAMILTONIAN THEORY

In Ref. [37] (see also Ref. [58]), the first quantized one-particle second order Klein-Gordon equation coupled to an external electromagnetic field, $(D^2 + m^2)\phi(x) = 0$, $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - ieA_\mu(x)$, was put in Hamiltonian form after having rewritten it in the first order formalism: $\phi_o(x) - \frac{i}{m} D_o \phi(x) = 0$, $(\vec{D}^2 - m^2)\phi(x) + imD_o\phi_o(x) = 0$. If we put $\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[\varphi(x) + \chi(x)]$, $\phi_o(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[\varphi(x) - \chi(x)]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_o \varphi(x) &= \frac{1}{2m}(-i\vec{\partial} - e\vec{A}(x))^2 (\varphi(x) + \chi(x)) + (eA_o(x) + m)\varphi(x) \\ i\partial_o \chi(x) &= -\frac{1}{2m}(-i\vec{\partial} - e\vec{A}(x))^2 (\varphi(x) + \chi(x)) + (eA_o(x) - m)\chi(x). \end{aligned} \tag{151}$$

In a 2×2 matrix formalism we have (τ_i are the Pauli matrices)

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_o \Psi(x) &= H\, \Psi(x) \\ \Psi(x) &= \begin{pmatrix} \varphi(x) \\ \chi(x) \end{pmatrix} \\ H &= \frac{1}{2m}(-i\vec{\partial} - e\vec{A}(x))^2 (\tau_3 + i\tau_2) + m\tau_3 + eA_o(x)1 \\ &\rightarrow_{A_\mu \rightarrow 0} H_o = \frac{(-i\vec{\partial})^2}{2m}(\tau_3 + i\tau_2) + m\tau_3. \end{aligned} \tag{152}$$

In the momentum representation we have $H_o = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m}(\tau_3 + i\tau_2) + m\tau_3$ and this Hamiltonian can be diagonalized ($p^o = +\sqrt{m^2 + \vec{p}^2}$)

$$\begin{aligned} H_{o,U} &= U^{-1}(\vec{p}) H_o U(\vec{p}) = p^o \tau_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{m^2 + \vec{p}^2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{m^2 + \vec{p}^2} \end{pmatrix} \\ \Psi_U(p) &= U^{-1}(\vec{p})\Psi(p) \\ i\partial_o \Psi_U &= H_{o,U}\Psi_u \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} U(\vec{p}) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{mp^o}}[(m + p^o)1 - (m - p^o)\tau_1] \\ U^{-1}(\vec{p}) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{mp^o}}[(m + p^o)1 + (m - p^o)\tau_1]. \end{aligned} \tag{153}$$

Like in the case of the Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation for particles of spin 1/2, also in the spin 0 case the exact diagonalization of the Hamiltonian cannot be achieved in presence of an arbitrary external electromagnetic field [37].

At the classical level, the first class constraint $(p-eA(x))^2 - m^2 \approx 0$ may be resolved as $(p^o - eA^o(x) - \sqrt{m^2 + (\vec{p} - e\vec{A}(x))^2})(p^o - eA^o(x) + \sqrt{m^2 + (\vec{p} - e\vec{A}(x))^2}) \approx 0$, which can be replaced by the 2×2 matrix $\begin{pmatrix} (p^o - eA^o(x) - \sqrt{m^2 + (\vec{p} - e\vec{A}(x))^2}) & 0 \\ 0 & (p^o - eA^o(x) + \sqrt{m^2 + (\vec{p} - e\vec{A}(x))^2}) \end{pmatrix} = (p^o - eA^o(x))1 + \sqrt{m^2 + (\vec{p} - e\vec{A}(x))^2}\,\tau_3 \approx 0$.

This shows that the 2×2 matrix formalism has a topological origin in the two disjoint branches of the timelike orbits. However, in presence of the external electromagnetic field p^μ is no more a constant of the motion and it is not clear how to apply the theory of the canonical realizations of the Poincaré group.

In contrast, in the 1-time theory for N=1 in presence of a dynamical (not external) electromagnetic field, from Eqs.(133), (134) [in the canonical transformation (73), (74), $\vec{\eta}(\tau)$ and $\vec{\kappa}(\tau)$ remain fixed], we have the following rest-frame first class constraints

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{H}(\tau) = \epsilon_s - \eta\sqrt{m^2 + [\vec{\kappa}(\tau) - e\theta^*\theta\vec{A}(\tau,\vec{\eta}(\tau))]^2} - \frac{1}{2}\int d^3\sigma[\vec{\pi}^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \vec{B}^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma})] \approx 0 \\
&\vec{\mathcal{H}}_p(\tau) = \vec{\kappa}(\tau) - e\theta^*\theta\vec{A}(\tau,\vec{\eta}(\tau)) + \int d^3\sigma\vec{\pi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \times \vec{B}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0 \\
&\pi^\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0 \\
&\Gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = -\vec{\partial}\cdot\vec{\pi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + e\theta^*\theta\delta^3(\vec{\sigma} - \vec{\eta}(\tau)) \approx 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{154}$$

or in terms of Dirac observables [see Eq.(146); again we have $(e\theta^*\theta)^2 = 0$]

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathcal{H}}(\tau) = \epsilon_s - \eta\sqrt{m^2 + [\hat{\vec{\kappa}}(\tau) - e\hat{\theta}^*\hat{\theta}\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\eta}(\tau))]^2} - \frac{1}{2}\int d^3\sigma\,(\vec{\pi}_\perp^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \vec{B}^2[\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})]) \approx 0 \\
&\hat{\vec{\mathcal{H}}}_p(\tau) = \hat{\vec{\kappa}}(\tau) - e\hat{\theta}^*\hat{\theta}\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\eta}(\tau)) + \int d^3\sigma\vec{\pi}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \times \vec{B}[\vec{A}_\perp(\tau,\vec{\sigma})] \approx 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{155}$$

Even if it is not yet clear which are the natural gauge-fixings to decouple the constraints $\hat{\vec{\mathcal{H}}}_p \approx 0$ [i.e. which is the point of the plane to be identified with $\tilde{x}^\mu_s$; in absence of the field, the gauge-fixing is $\vec{\eta}(\tau) \approx 0$, namely the particle position is described by $\tilde{x}^\mu_s$] so to remain only with one final first class constraint $\check{\mathcal{H}} \approx 0$ for the final Dirac observables, some remarks may be done.

There is a well defined coupling of the electromagnetic field to each branch $\eta = \pm$ of the particle mass spectrum, independently from the other: in a sense for $p_s^2 > 0$ we have obtained a diagonalization of the overall particle +field mass spectrum. However this has been achieved by treating the electromagnetic field as a dynamical field and not as an external one. Moreover, we have used a definition of rest frame based on the conserved Poincaré generator p_s^μ, which is associated with the isolated system particle+field and which does not exist when the field is external. Finally, even if we can form a 2×2 matrix constraint $\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mathcal{H}}|_{\eta=+} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{\mathcal{H}}|_{\eta=-} \end{pmatrix} \approx 0$, both $\hat{\mathcal{H}}|_\eta$ contain the term in the field energy: only after having found the rest-frame field theory based on a decomposition of the fields in center-of-mass and relative variables we will have the tools to try to understand better this matrix formulation and its connection with the Klein-Gordon equation (the coupling of the 1-time theory could be a nonminimal nonlocal coupling of the original Klein-Gordon equation to the electromagnetic field).

In Ref. [37], there is also a discussion of the first-quantized effect of zitterbewegung of the canonical noncovariant Newton-Wigner 3-position operator $\vec{x}_{op}$ for a scalar particle and of its nonlocalizability. Since the positive energy states (with the standard scalar product) do not satisfy a completeness relation, the narrowest wave packet containing only positive frequencies has a width of the order of the Compton wavelength 1/m. Equivalently, the eigenstates of $\vec{x}_{op} = i\vec{\partial}/\partial\vec{p}$ contain both positive and negative energies: in the diagonal 2×2 representation we define a "mean position operator" [which has $\delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{x}^{'})$ as eigenfunctions] as $\vec{x}_{U,op} = U^{-1}(\vec{p}) i \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\partial\vec{p}} U(\vec{p}) = i \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\partial\vec{p}} 1 - \frac{i\vec{p}}{2(m^2+\vec{p}^2)} \tau_1 = \vec{x}^{(+)}_{U,op} + \vec{x}^{(-)}_{U,op}$, where the odd matrix τ_1 couples positive and negative energies. The operator $\vec{x}^{(+)}_{U,op}$, with velocity $\partial_o \vec{x}^{(+)}_{U,op} = \frac{\vec{p}}{\sqrt{m^2+\vec{p}^2}} \tau_3$, describes the steady motion of the particle, is the Newton-Wigner position operator in this representation but has eigenfunctions delocalized over a region of radius of the order 1/m (they have the previous velocity as group velocity); instead a wavepacket with a localization more accurate than 1/m (built with eigenfunctions of $\vec{x}_{U,op}$) will contain both kinds of energies and will have a trembling motion (zitterbewegung) superimposed to the steady motion in a region of the order 1/m.

In Klein-Gordon quantum field theory [59], the byproducts of these effects are the nonexistence of perfectly localized states (eigenstates of a local occupation number operator $N_{V,op}(x^o) = \int_V d^3x\, N_{op}(\vec{x}, x^o)$ for an infinitesimally small volume V; $[N_{V,op}(\vec{x}, x^o), N_{V,op}(\vec{x}^{'}, x^o)]$ is different from zero for distances $|\, \vec{x} - \vec{x}^{'}\, |$ of the order 1/m [60]): we cannot fix the particle number in a volume smaller than 1/m and the number of particles in two volumes V and $V^{'}$ cannot be measured simultaneously unless V and $V^{'}$ are separated by distances greater than 1/m. In contrast, we can define a local charge operator $\hat{Q}_V$ and build states with perfect localization of charge in infinitesimally small volumes; however $[\hat{Q}_V, N_{op}] \neq 0$, i.e. the eigenstates of $\hat{Q}_V$ do not have a sharp particle number.

In contrast, in the classical rest-frame 1-time theory of a scalar particle, positive and negative energy states are completely decoupled (the upper and lower branches), and, instead of the zitterbewegung effect, we have the impossibility to localize the center-of-mass canonical 3-position $\vec{\tilde{x}}_s$ [to which collapses the particle position after the gauge-fixings $\vec{\eta}(\tau) \approx 0$; the first class constraint becomes $\mathcal{H} = \epsilon_s - \eta m \approx 0$ and we have a Hamilton-Jacobi description of the free particle, with $\vec{z}_s/\eta m$ as Jacobi data] inside the noncovariance worldtube of radius $|\, \vec{\bar{S}}|\, /m$ in a frame independent way.

VIII. 1-TIME RELATIVISTIC STATISTICAL MECHANICS

In Ref. [61], there is a a review with rich bibliography till 1966 of the two types of approaches to classical relativistic statistical mechanics: i) the nonmanifestly covariant and ii) the covariant ones. While the former approaches started in 1911 with Refs. [62], where the equilibrium of a relativistic (classical Boltzmann and also quantum either Bose or Fermi) gas was studied, only in 1940 in Ref. [63] a first relativistic Boltzmann equation was given in the framework of relativistic kinetic theory; then the studies in plasma physics focused on equal-time Hamiltonians

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \{ \sqrt{m_i^2 + (\vec{p}_i - e_i \vec{A}(\vec{x}_i, x^o))^2} + V(\vec{x}_i, x^o) \} + \frac{1}{4} \int d^3z [\vec{\pi}^2(\vec{z}, x^o) + \vec{B}^2(\vec{z}, x^o)], \qquad (156)$$

derived a relativistic Liouville equation for a density involving both particles and fields and were extensively studied in the sixties [see the bibliography of Ref. [61]].

In contrast, the covariant approach started with Ref. [64], where, taking into account constraints, there are the first steps in defining covariant relativistic kinetic theory, relativistic statistical mechanics (canonical ensembles) and relativistic thermodynamics following the first developments of relativistic hydrodynamics [see the bibliography of Ref. [61]]; the Vlasov-, Boltzmann-, Landau-, Fokker-Planck- relativistic kinetic equations came out as ad hoc semiphenomenological equations [again see the bibliography of Ref. [61]].

In Ref. [61], there is a critical analysis of the problems in the foundations of relativistic kinetic theory and relativistic statistical mechanics stemming from the covariant relativistic description of particles with either action-at-a-distance or field-mediated interactions. Due to the absence of an absolute time, relativistic kinetic theory (μ-space) is a statistical theory of curves (worldlines) and not of points (Cauchy data) as at the nonrelativistic level. Analogously, due to the presence of N times in the description of N particle systems, relativistic statistical mechanics (Γ-space, Gibbs ensembles) was a statistical theory of N-dimensional manifolds (the gauge orbits spanned by the N times in the 7N constraint manifold defined in the 8N-dimensional phase space by the N mass-shell first class constraints $p_i^2 - m^2 \approx 0$)

instead of 1-dimensional manifolds as in the nonrelativistic case (so that one has N and not 1 relativistic Liouville equations in Γ-space and associated problems with the definition of measures). These problems were already posed in Ref. [64]. However, there was a not clear understanding that the transition from the configuration space [worldlines with coordinates $q_i^\mu(\tau)$ or $\vec{q}_i(q_i^o)$; see predictive mechanics [65] and the predictive conditions on the relativistic forces for the implementation of a realization of the Poincaré group [66]] to phase space [with coordinates $x_i^\mu(\tau), p_i^\mu(\tau)$] had to face the No-Interaction-Theorem [13,14], so that $q_i^\mu(\tau) = x_i^\mu(\tau)$ is allowed only in the free case. Moreover, in Ref. [61] there is the recognition that to build relativistic kinetic theory and statistical mechanics the initial data must be chosen as the mathematical Cauchy data on a spacelike hypersurface [it is not clear whether they can be measured] and not for instance as data on the backward light-cone of an observer [in the case of the electromagnetic field, the light cone is a characteristic surface of Maxwell equations and does not define a well posed Cauchy problem: the future field is not completely and uniquely determined]: in this case, in μ-space, starting from the predictive equations of motion in the first-order formalism [$\dot{q}^\mu(\tau) = u^\mu(\tau)$, $m\dot{u}^\mu(\tau) = F^\mu$], from the density $R(q^\mu, u^\mu, q_o^\mu, u_o^\mu, \tau) = \delta^4[q^\mu - q^\mu(\tau, q_o, u_o)] \times \delta^4[u^\mu - u^\mu(\tau, q_o, u_o)]$ and from an ensemble of such systems with a random distribution $D_o(q_o, u_o)$ of Cauchy data, it is possible to define the density in μ-space $D(q^\mu, u^\mu, \tau) = \int_\mu R(q^\mu, u^\mu, q_o^\mu, u_o^\mu, \tau) D_o(q_o, u_o) d^4q_o d^4u_o$, to find the one-particle relativistic equation for D [and then, by adding ad hoc collision terms, various kinetic equations], to show that $\mathcal{N}(q^\mu, u^\mu) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau D(q^\mu, u^\mu, \tau)$ is a distribution function such that $j^\mu(q) = \int d^4p \, \mathcal{N}(q^\mu, p^\mu = mu^\mu) \, u^\mu 2m\theta(p^o)\delta^4(p^2 - m^2)$ [if one chooses $u^2 = 1$] is the particle four-current, that $\mathcal{N}(q^\mu, u^\mu)$ satisfies the one-particle Liouville equation, which in turn, by adding ad hoc collision terms $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{N})$, becomes a kinetic transport equation. When the external force F^μ vanishes, the equation $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{N}) = 0$ is satisfied by the relativistic version of the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution function, i.e. the classical Jüttner [62] -Synge [67] distribution [$K_2(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of order two]

$$\mathcal{N}(q^\mu, p^\mu) = \frac{N\rho(q)}{4\pi m^2 K_2(m\beta)} e^{-\beta^\mu p_\mu}. \tag{157}$$

In this equation β^μ is the reciprocal temperature timelike fourvector [67] [$\beta = \sqrt{\beta^2} = 1/kT$ with k being the Boltzmann constant].

In Refs. [68], there was a further development of relativistic kinetic theory and a discussion of how to formulate covariant relativistic thermodynamics [see Ref. [69] for the bibliography on the subject and for a review of the associated problems], whose final formulation [containing the solution of the problem of having causal propagation of heat flow (parabolic transport equations replaced by hyperbolic ones) with some molecular speed less than the velocity of light] was given in Refs. [70] for fluids both in equilibrium and out of it. The conclusion was that at equilibrium all relevant fourvectors [the reciprocal temperature β^μ, the entropy four-current s^μ, the volume fourvector V^μ, the number density of particles n^μ, the four-momentum p^μ] should be all proportional, through their rest-frame values, to the hydrodynamical four-velocity u^μ [$u^2 = 1$]: $\beta^\mu = \beta u^\mu = u^\mu/kT$, $V^\mu = V u^\mu$, $s^\mu = s u^\mu$, $n^\mu = n u^\mu$, $p^\mu = p u^\mu$; u^μ is a timelike eigenvector of the energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ if it satisfies certain positivity conditions [67].

At equilibrium, starting from the Jüttner-Synge distribution, we can derive the covariant canonical partition function $Q_N(V^\mu, \beta^\mu)$ for an ideal classical relativistic Boltzmann gas of N scalar particles of mass m (and going to the quantum level of Bose and Fermi ideal quantum gases) and then the covariant grand-canonical partition function $\Xi(V^\mu, \beta^\mu, i^\mu) = \sum_N e^{i_\mu n^\mu} Q_N(V^\mu, \beta^\mu)$ [$i^\mu = \mu\beta^\mu$ with μ the relativistic chemical potential, related to the nonrelativistic one by $\mu = \mu_{NR} + m$] and the covariant thermodynamical potential $\Omega(V^\mu, \beta^\mu, i^\mu) = -kT\, ln\, \Xi(V^\mu, \beta^\mu, i^\mu)$. This has been done in Ref. [71] following previous results in Refs. [72,73], after the identification of the invariant microcanonical density of states

$$\sigma_N(p^2, V^2, p_\mu V^\mu) = \int \delta^4(p - \sum_{i=1}^N p_i) \prod_{i=1}^N d\sigma_i(p_i, m), \tag{158}$$

based on the invariant momentum space measure [74,75]

$$d\sigma(p, m) = 2 V_\mu p^\mu \theta(p^o) \delta(p^2 - m^2) d^4p. \tag{159}$$

Then the canonical partition function for the ideal Boltzmann gas of N free scalar particles is [$1/N!$ is the Boltzmann counting factor for identical particles]

$$\begin{aligned} Q_N(V^\mu,\beta^\mu) &= \frac{1}{N!}\int d^4p e^{-\beta^\mu p_\mu}\sigma_N(p^2,V^2,p_\mu V^\mu) = \\ &= \frac{1}{N!}[\frac{2}{(2\pi)^3}\int d^4p\theta(p^o)\delta(p^2-m^2)p_\mu V^\mu e^{-\beta^\mu p_\mu}]^N = \\ &= \frac{1}{N!}\prod_{i=1}^N[\frac{2V}{(2\pi)^3}\int d^3p_i e^{-\beta\sqrt{m^2+\vec{p}_i^2}}]^N \\ &= \frac{1}{N!}[\frac{Vm^2}{2\pi^2\beta}K_2(m\beta)]^N, \qquad \beta=1/kT, \end{aligned} \tag{160}$$

where the last evaluation has been made in the rest frame of the volume V.

This same result may be obtained [76] from the phase space description of N scalar particles with N first class constraints, by using a Faddeev-Popov measure in which N gauge-fixings [of the kind $p_i\cdot x_i/\sqrt{p_i^2}-\tau_i\approx 0$] have been added to the N first class constraints $\phi_i\approx 0$ to eliminate particles' times and energies (the many-time problem). The resulting form of Q_N for a system of N interacting particles is [$p^\mu=\sum_{i=1}^N p_i^\mu$]

$$Q_N(V^\mu,\beta^\mu)=\frac{1}{N!}\int_{V^\mu} e^{-\beta^\mu p_\mu}\prod_{i=1}^N\theta(p_i^o)\delta(\phi_i)\delta(\chi_i)det\,|\,\{\phi_i,\chi_j\}|\,\frac{d^4x_id^4p_i}{(2\pi)^3}, \tag{161}$$

where $\phi_i=p_i^2-m_i^2-...\approx 0$ [the dots are for the (generically unknown) interaction terms in the N-time theory] are the original first class constraints and $\chi_i\approx 0$ are the N gauge-fixings. In Ref. [76], there is also a study of a gas of N 2-body bound states based on the model of Refs. [44,45].

Moreover, Feynman nonrelativistic result [77] that the partition function of quantum statistical mechanics can be obtained by evaluating the density matrix for an N particle system from the continuation to imaginary times ($x^o\rightarrow -i\hbar/kT$) of the path integral used for the evaluation of the kernel of the evolution operator, may be extended to free relativistic scalar particles ($p^2-m^2\approx 0$) in the proper time gauge and the result is again Q_N [78].

See for instance Refs. [79] for applications of relativistic statistical mechanics to relativistic plasmas.

Coming back, after this sketchy review, to the 1-time description of N relativistic scalar particles, we see that now we have a natural kinematical framework (when the system is isolated and the total momentum is timelike) for developing an 1-time covariant formulation of relativistic statistical mechanics of systems of interacting scalar particles along the lines of the nonrelativistic one; it could be termed "rest-frame instant form relativistic statistical mechanics".

To arrive at this formulation, let us start from the ideal gas in the formulation of Eq.(161) and let us try to reproduce the canonical partition function of Eq.(160) with the new formulation. Let us make the canonical transformation from the basis x^μ_i, p^μ_i of the N-time theory to the basis $\hat{\tilde{x}}^\mu, p^\mu, \hat{T}_{Ra}, \hat{\epsilon}_{Ra}, \hat{\vec{\rho}}_a, \vec{\pi}_a$ of Eqs.(31) and then to the basis $\hat{T}, \epsilon, \hat{\vec{z}}, \vec{k}, \hat{T}_{Ra}, \hat{\epsilon}_{Ra}, \hat{\vec{\rho}}_a, \vec{\pi}_a$ of Eqs.(35). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^{N} d^4x_i d^4p_i = d^4\hat{\tilde{x}} d^4p \prod_{a=1}^{N-1} d\hat{T}_{Ra} d\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra}, d^3\hat{\rho}_a d^3\pi_a = \\ = d\epsilon d^3k d\hat{T} d^3\hat{z} \prod_{a=1}^{N-1} d\hat{T}_{Ra} d\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra} d^3\hat{\rho}_a d^3\pi_a, \end{aligned} \tag{162}$$

since $d^4\hat{\tilde{x}} = \sqrt{1+\vec{k}^2} d\hat{T} d^3\hat{z}/|\,\epsilon|^3$ and $d^4p = |\,\epsilon|^3 d\epsilon d^3k/\sqrt{1+\vec{k}^2}$ [20].

The gauge-fixings needed to go to the rest-frame instant form are $\hat{T}-\tau \approx 0$ and $\hat{T}_{Ra} \approx 0$. Since we do not know explicitely the inverse canonical transformations, we could expect to have a Jacobian coming out in the following transformation [to avoid the problem of degenaracies we start by making calculations with different masses m_i]

$$\begin{aligned} &\prod_{i=1}^{N} \theta(p^o_i)\delta(p^2_i - m^2_i)\delta(\hat{T}(x,p) - \tau) \prod_{a=1}^{N-1} \delta[\hat{T}_{Ra}(x,p)] = \\ &= J \prod_{i=1}^{N} \theta(\eta_i)\delta(p^2 - ...)\delta(\hat{T} - \tau) \prod_{a=1}^{N-1} \delta(\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra})\delta(\hat{T}_{Ra}) = \\ &= J \prod_{i=1}^{N} \theta(\eta_i)\delta(\epsilon^2 - ...)\delta(\hat{T} - \tau) \prod_{a=1}^{N-1} \delta(\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra})\delta(\hat{T}_{Ra}). \end{aligned} \tag{163}$$

We have written $p^2 - ... = \epsilon^2 - .. \approx 0$ for the (explicitely unknown) mass spectrum constraint; but with the help of Eq.(82) and due to the $\theta(\eta_i)$, Eq.(163) may be rewritten as

$$\tilde{J}\, \delta(\epsilon - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sqrt{m^2 + N(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\pi}_a)^2}\ \delta(\hat{T} - \tau) \prod_{a=1}^{N-1} \delta(\hat{T}_{Ra})\delta(\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra}), \tag{164}$$

where we have put $m_i = m$, because now there is no ambiguity with the uppest positive branch of the mass spectrum.

By using Equations (162), (164), and our gauge-fixings, the analogue of Eq.(161) is [the Jacobian $\tilde{J}$ is to be fixed by comparison with Eq,(160)]

$$Q_N(V^\mu, \beta^\mu) = \frac{1}{N!}\int_{V^\mu} \prod_{i=1}^N e^{-\beta_\mu p_i^\mu} \theta(p_i^o)\delta(p_i^2 - m^2)\delta(\hat{T}(x_k, p_k) - \tau) \prod_{a=1}^{N-1} \delta(\hat{T}_{Ra}(x_k, p_k))$$
$$\prod_{i=1}^N \frac{d^4x_i d^4p_i}{(2\pi)^3} =$$
$$= \frac{1}{N!}\frac{\tilde{J}}{(2\pi)^{3N}}\int_{V^\mu} \delta(\epsilon - \sum_{i=1}^N \sqrt{m^2 + N(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\pi}_a)^2})\delta(\hat{T} - \tau) \prod_{a=1}^{N-1} \delta(\hat{T}_{Ra})\delta(\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra}) \prod_{i=1}^N e^{-\beta_\mu p_i^\mu}$$
$$d\epsilon d^3k d\hat{T} d^3\hat{z} \prod_{a=1}^{N-1} d\hat{T}_{Ra} d\hat{\epsilon}_{Ra} d^3\rho_a d^3\pi_a =$$
$$= \frac{1}{N!}\frac{\tilde{J}}{(2\pi)^{3N}}\int_{V^\mu} d^3\hat{z} d^3k \prod_{a=1}^{N-1} d^3\rho_a d^3\pi_a \prod_{i=1}^N e^{-\beta_\mu p_i^\mu}. \qquad (165)$$

What is lacking in the N-time theory is the evaluation of $\beta_\mu p_i^\mu$, because we do not know explicitely the inverse canonical transformation.

Let us shift to the 1-time description on the rest-frame hyperplane: in the rest frame one had the constraints $\vec{\kappa}_+ \approx 0$ and the gauge-fixings $\vec{\eta}_+ \approx 0$ [identifying the rest frame center of mass with $\tilde{x}_s^\mu$], so that $\sqrt{m^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2} \approx \sqrt{m^2 + N(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\pi}_a)^2}$ and $\beta_\mu p_i^\mu \approx \beta\sqrt{m^2 + N(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ai}\vec{\pi}_a)^2}$ due to Eq.(80). But if we look at the rest-frame hyperplane from an arbitrary frame in Minkowski spacetime, the Minkowski canonical 3-position and 3-momentum of the center of mass are $\vec{z}_s/\epsilon_s$ and $\epsilon_s\vec{k}_s$ respectively with $d^3z_s d^3k_s = (d^3z_s/|\,\epsilon_s|^3)(|\,\epsilon_s|^3 d^3k_s)$ [it is a Lorentz scalar [20]: $d^3z_s d^3k_s = (\sqrt{1+\vec{k}_s^2} d^3z_s)(d^3k_s/\sqrt{1+\vec{k}_s^2})$] and we would like to identify $d^3\hat{z} d^3k = d^3z_s d^3k_s$ with the Lorentz scalar one $d^3\eta_+ d^3\kappa_+$. In this way $\vec{\eta}_+$ and $\vec{\kappa}_+$ would be Wigner spin-1 quantities simulating on the rest-frame hyperplane [relaxing the constraints $\vec{\kappa}_+ \approx 0$] the motion of the three-dimensional center of mass in an arbitrary Minkowski frame: the 3-coordinates and the 3-momenta of the particles in this descritpion would be the Wigner spin-1 3-vectors $\vec{\eta}_i$ and $\vec{\kappa}_i$ in Eq.(74).

This suggests the replacement of the unknown $\beta_\mu p_i^\mu$ with $\beta\sqrt{m^2 + \vec{\kappa}_i^2}$, which is a Lorentz scalar [β is the rest-frame reciprocal temperature]. With this prescription, by using $d^3\eta_+ d^3\kappa_+ \prod_{a=1}^{N-1} d^3\rho_a d^3\pi_a = \prod_{i=1}^N d^3\eta_i d^3\kappa_i$ and by replacing V^μ with its rest-frame value $V = \int_V d^3\eta_i$, Eq.(165) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_N(V,\beta) &= \frac{1}{N!}\frac{\tilde{J}}{(2\pi)^{3N}}\prod_{i=1}^{N}\int_V d^3\eta_i d^3\kappa_i e^{-\beta\sqrt{m^2+\vec{\kappa}_i^2}} = \\
&= \frac{\tilde{J}}{N!}\prod_{i=1}^{N}[\frac{V}{(2\pi)^3}\int d^3\kappa_i e^{-\beta\sqrt{m^2+\vec{\kappa}_i^2}}] = \\
&= \frac{1}{N!}\frac{\tilde{J}}{2^N}[\frac{2V}{(2\pi)^3}\int d^3\kappa e^{-\beta\sqrt{m^2+\vec{\kappa}_i^2}}]^N = \\
&= \frac{1}{N!}\frac{\tilde{J}}{2^N}[\frac{Vm^2}{2\pi^2\beta}K_2(m\beta)]^N. \qquad (166)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, if we choose $\tilde{J} = 2^N$, we get the following definition of the Lorentz scalar canonical partition function of an ideal Boltzmann gas in the rest-frame instant form

$$
Q_N(V,\beta) = \frac{1}{N!}(\frac{2}{(2\pi)^3})^N\int_V\prod_{i=1}^{N}d^3\eta_i d^3\kappa_i e^{-\beta\sqrt{m^2+\vec{\kappa}_i^2}}. \qquad (167)
$$

If, following Ref. [76], we consider a relativistic gas formed by N 2-body bound states defined by the following 2-body reduced Hamiltonian [obtained from Eq.(111) with the previous prescriptions and where $\vec{R}_{12} = \vec{\eta}_1 - \vec{\eta}_2$]

$$
H_R = \sqrt{m_1^2 + V_1(\vec{R}_{12}^2) + \vec{\kappa}_1^2} + \sqrt{m_2^2 + V_2(\vec{R}_{12}^2) + \vec{\kappa}_2^2} + U(\vec{R}_{12}^2)' \qquad (168)
$$

then the definition of the Lorentz-scalar canonical partition function is $[\vec{\eta}_{12} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{\eta}_1 + \vec{\eta}_2)]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_N(V,\beta) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{6N}N!}[\int_V e^{-\beta H_R}d^3\eta_1 d^3\eta_2 d^3\kappa_1 d^3\kappa_2]^N = \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{6N}N!}[\int_V e^{-\beta H_R}d^3\eta_{12} d^3R_{12} d^3\kappa_1 d^3\kappa_2]^N = \\
&= \frac{V^N}{(2\pi)^{6N}N!}[\int_V e^{-\beta H_R}d^3R_{12} d^3\kappa_1 d^3\kappa_2]^N. \qquad (169)
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly this can be extended to more complicated situations. When a better understanding of the gauge-fixings for the case of charged particles interacting with the electromagnetic field will be obtained, Eqs.(146) will serve to define the covariant analogue of the canonical partition function associated with the noncovariant Hamiltonian of Eq.(156). Finally, Feynman path integral approach should now be based on the continuation of the scalar rest-frame time T_s to imaginary values $-i\hbar/kT$.

IX. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper a covariant 1-time formulation, the rest-frame instant form, of classical relativistic dynamics has been developed for those isolated systems of both N scalar particles with action-at-a-distance interactions and of charged scalar particles plus the electromagnetic field, which belong to timelike irreducible Poincaré representations. While with only particles the kinematics is completely understood, in the case of particles plus fields more work will be needed to identify which point of the system has to be identified with the canonical 3-position of the center of mass on the spacelike hyperplane orthogonal to the total momentum, due to the presence of the interaction term in the constraints $\hat{\vec{\mathcal{H}}}_p \approx 0$ of Eqs.(146). This problem is non trivial and is connected with the action-reaction problem and with the classical basis of the electromagnetic interaction vertex of a scalar particle. In turn this point is associated with the pseudoclassical regularization of the classical electromagnetic self-energies of charged particles. Again more work is needed to clarify the meaning of the pseudoclassical theory with Dirac observables where the effects of order e_i^2 are absent and only the $e_i e_j$, $i \neq j$, effects are present: one has to compare the theory with Dirac observables to the standard methods of separation of the Coulomb and radiation effects in the Lienard-Wiechert potentials [55,56], to revisit the Abraham-Lorentz-Dirac equation and the problem of classical bound states (Eqs.(148) define a regularized bound system with Coulomb interactions without emission of radiation and without fall on the centre).

For free particles a quasi-Shanmugadhasan canonical basis has been found, which is suited to the description of the relativistic Cauchy problem for bound states, because the relative energies and times can be explicitely eliminated in a covariant way. It is under investigation [26] how to introduce the second Poincaré Casimir $W^2 = -p^2 \vec{\bar{S}}^2$ of timelike Poincaré representations in the basis and how to present in a clear form the gauge character of the classical zitterbewegung. The next step will be the introduction of the spin 1/2 degrees of freedom by means of Grassmann variables [52,80] both in the N- and 1-time theories and the comparison with the results coming from the Dirac equation. A further development will be to replace the electromagnetic field with a non-Abelian Yang-Mills one and the search of the associated interparticle potential.

When the electromagnetic field is considered, the 1-time theory shows clearly the existence of a new formulation of the classical theory of free linear fields, i.e. the rest-frame field theory based on a center-of-mass and relative variables decomposition of field configurations. The formulation of rest-frame field theory, which is under active investigation, will be the necessary tool for attempting a quantization of the nonlocal field theories with Dirac's observables, for utilizing the ultraviolet cutoff induced by the noncovariance of the canonical center-of-mass 3-position and for a fresh start to the problem of putting relativistic bound states among its Tomonaga-Schwinger-like asymptotic states.

Furthermore, we have to understand the quantization of the 1-time covariant relativistic mechanics in the rest-frame instant form and to compare it with relativistic quantum mechanics [see the rich bibliography Refs. [81,82] for the status of this theory,which also originated from Ref. [11]], which is used in low energy (below the pion mass threshold) nuclear physics, where at the order $1/c^2$ one can define a theory with a fixed number of nucleons.

On the other hand, 1-time covariant relativistic statistical mechanics should be developed to a stage to be compared with the existing applications of relativistic statistical mechanics to relativistic (either astrophysical or nuclear) plasmas [79].

In particular one should revisit the case of charged particles plus the electromagnetic field in the pseudoclassical approach with Grassmann charges. One should obtain simplifications of the transport equations evaluated by taking into account the Abraham-Lorentz-Dirac equation with its dependence on higher accelerations [61]. More in general, it is still completely open the problem of how to get a Hamiltonian formulation of the integro-differential equations of motion coming from the Tetrode-Fokker-Feynman-Wheeler actions, in which the radiation electromagnetic degrees of freedom have been eliminated: these actions admit reparametrization invariance of each particle worldline notwithstanding the interaction, are supposed to replace the nonexisting (due to the No-Interaction-Theorem) Lagrangians for predictive mechanics [16] and are formally equivalent to an infinite system of differential eqations for accelerations of every order, whose study with constraint theory is just at the beginning [83].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to thank Dr.R.De Pietri for let me know Ref. [10].

APPENDIX A:

The rest frame form of the timelike fourvector p^μ is $\overset{\circ}{p}{}^{\mu} = \eta\sqrt{p^2}(1;\vec{0}) = \eta^{\mu o}\eta\sqrt{p^2}$, $\overset{\circ}{p}{}^{2} = p^2$, where $\eta = sign\, p^o$.

The standard Wigner boost transforming $\overset{\circ}{p}{}^{\mu}$ into p^μ is

$$\begin{aligned} L^\mu{}_\nu(p,\overset{\circ}{p}) &= \epsilon^\mu_\nu(u(p)) = \\ &= \eta^\mu_\nu + 2\frac{p^\mu \overset{\circ}{p}_\nu}{p^2} - \frac{(p^\mu + \overset{circ^\mu}{p})(p_\nu + \overset{\circ}{p}_\nu)}{p\cdot \overset{\circ}{p} + p^2} = \\ &= \eta^\mu_\nu + 2u^\mu(p)u_\nu(\overset{\circ}{p}) - \frac{(u^\mu(p) + u^\mu(\overset{\circ}{p}))(u_\nu(p) + u_\nu(\overset{\circ}{p}))}{1 + u^o(p)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu = 0 \qquad & \epsilon^\mu_o(u(p)) = u^\mu(p) = p^\mu/\eta\sqrt{p^2} \\ \nu = r \qquad & \epsilon^\mu_r(u(p)) = (-u_r(p); \delta^i_r - \frac{u^i(p)u_r(p)}{1 + u^o(p)}). \end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

The inverse of $L^\mu{}_\nu(p,\overset{\circ}{p})$ is $L^\mu{}_\nu(\overset{\circ}{p},p)$, the standard boost to the rest frame, defined by

$$L^\mu{}_\nu(\overset{\circ}{p},p) = L_\nu{}^\mu(p,\overset{\circ}{p}) = L^\mu{}_\nu(p,\overset{\circ}{p})|_{\vec{p}\rightarrow -\vec{p}}. \tag{A2}$$

Therefore, we can define the following vierbeins [the $\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p))$'s are also called polarization vectors; the indices r, s will be used for A=1,2,3 and $\bar{o}$ for A=0]

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^\mu_A(u(p)) &= L^\mu{}_A(p,\overset{\circ}{p}) \\ \epsilon^A_\mu(u(p)) &= L^A{}_\mu(\overset{\circ}{p},p) = \eta^{AB}\eta_{\mu\nu}\epsilon^\nu_B(u(p)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^{\bar{o}}_\mu(u(p)) &= \eta_{\mu\nu}\epsilon^\nu_o(u(p)) = u_\mu(p) \\ \epsilon^r_\mu(u(p)) &= -\delta^{rs}\eta_{\mu\nu}\epsilon^\nu_r(u(p)) = (\delta^{rs}u_s(p); \delta^r_j - \delta^{rs}\delta_{jh}\frac{u^h(p)u_s(p)}{1 + u^o(p)}) \\ \epsilon^A_o(u(p)) &= u_A(p), \end{aligned} \tag{A3}$$

which satisfy

$$\epsilon^A_\mu(u(p))\epsilon^\nu_A(u(p)) = \eta^\mu_\nu$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\epsilon^A_\mu(u(p))\epsilon^\mu_B(u(p)) = \eta^A_B \\
&\eta^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^\mu_A(u(p))\eta^{AB}\epsilon^\nu_B(u(p)) = u^\mu(p)u^\nu(p) - \sum_{r=1}^{3}\epsilon^\mu_r(u(p))\epsilon^\nu_r(u(p)) \\
&\eta_{AB} = \epsilon^\mu_A(u(p))\eta_{\mu\nu}\epsilon^\nu_B(u(p)) \\
&p_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\alpha}\epsilon^\mu_A(u(p)) = p_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\alpha}\epsilon^A_\mu(u(p)) = 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{A4}
$$

The Wigner rotation corresponding to the Lorentz transformation Λ is

$$
R^\mu{}_\nu(\Lambda, p) = {[L(\overset{\circ}{p}, p)\Lambda^{-1}L(\Lambda p, \overset{\circ}{p})]^\mu}_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & R^i{}_j(\Lambda, p) \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^i{}_j(\Lambda, p) = {(\Lambda^{-1})^i}_j - &\frac{(\Lambda^{-1})^i{}_o p_\beta (\Lambda^{-1})^\beta{}_j}{p_\rho(\Lambda^{-1})^\rho{}_o + \eta\sqrt{p^2}} - \\
&- \frac{p^i}{p^o + \eta\sqrt{p^2}}[(\Lambda^{-1})^o{}_j - \frac{((\Lambda^{-1})^o{}_o - 1)p_\beta(\Lambda^{-1})^\beta{}_j}{p_\rho(\Lambda^{-1})^\rho{}_o + \eta\sqrt{p^2}}].
\end{aligned}
\tag{A5}
$$

The polarization vectors and the variables of Eq.(13) transform under the Poincaré transformations (a, Λ) in the following way

$$
\epsilon^\mu_r(u(\Lambda p)) = (R^{-1})_r{}^s\, \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu\, \epsilon^\nu_s(u(p))
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{'\mu} &= \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu p^\nu \\
\tilde{x}^{'\mu} &= \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu[\tilde{x}^\nu + \frac{1}{2}\bar{S}_{rs}R^r{}_k(\Lambda, p)\frac{\partial}{\partial p_\nu}R^s{}_k(\Lambda, p)] + a^\mu = \\
&= \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu\{\tilde{x}^\nu + \frac{\bar{S}_{rs}}{\Lambda^o{}_\alpha p^\alpha + \eta\sqrt{p^2}}[\eta^\nu_r(\Lambda^o{}_s - \frac{(\Lambda^o{}_o - 1)p_s}{p^o + \eta\sqrt{p^2}}) - \\
&- \frac{(p^\nu + \eta^\nu_o\eta\sqrt{p^2})p_r\Lambda^o{}_s}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}(p^o + \eta\sqrt{p^2})}]\} + a^\mu \\
T^{'}_{Ra} &= T_{Ra} \\
\epsilon^{'}_{Ra} &= \epsilon_{Ra} \\
\rho^{'}_{ar} &= \rho_{as}R^s{}_r(\Lambda, p) \\
\pi^{'}_{ar} &= \pi_{as}R^s{}_r(\Lambda, p).
\end{aligned}
\tag{A6}
$$

Therefore, $\tilde{x}^\mu$ is not a fourvector and $\vec{\rho}_a, \vec{\pi}_a$ are Wigner spin-1 3-vectors; their infinitesimal transformation properties under Lorentz transformations generated by $J^{\mu\nu} = \tilde{L}^{\mu\nu} + \tilde{S}^{\mu\nu}$ of Eq.(14), are

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\tilde{x}^\mu, J^{\alpha\beta}\} &= \eta^{\mu\alpha}\tilde{x}^\beta - \eta^{\mu\beta}\tilde{x}^\alpha + \{\tilde{x}^\mu, \tilde{S}^{\alpha\beta}\} \\
&\qquad \{\tilde{x}^\mu, \tilde{S}^{oi}\} = -\frac{1}{p^o + \eta\sqrt{p^2}}[\eta^{\mu j}\tilde{S}^{ji} + \frac{(p^\mu + \eta^{\mu o}\eta\sqrt{p^2})\tilde{S}^{ik}p^k}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}(p^o + \eta\sqrt{p^2})}] \\
&\qquad \{\tilde{x}^\mu, \tilde{S}^{ij}\} = 0 \\
\{\rho^r_a, J^{oi}\} &= -\frac{\delta^{is}(p^r\rho^s_a - p^s\rho^r_a)}{p^o + \eta\sqrt{p^2}} \\
\{\rho^r_a, J^{ij}\} &= \delta^{is}\delta^{jt}\{\rho^r_a, \bar{S}^{st}\} = (\delta^{is}\delta^{jr} - \delta^{ir}\delta^{js})\rho^s_a \\
\{\pi^r_a, J^{oi}\} &= -\frac{\delta^{is}(p^r\pi^s_a - p^s\pi^r_a)}{p^o + \eta\sqrt{p^2}} \\
\{\pi^r_a, J^{ij}\} &= (\delta^{is}\delta^{jr} - \delta^{ir}\delta^{js})\pi^s_a.
\end{aligned} \tag{A7}$$

In contrast, the variables of Eqs.(22) transform under the Poincaré transformations (a, Λ) in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{'} &= T + k_\mu(\Lambda^{-1}a)^\mu \\
\epsilon^{'} &= \epsilon \\
z^{'i} &= (\Lambda^i{}_j - \frac{\Lambda^i{}_\mu k^\mu}{\Lambda^o{}_\nu k^\nu}\Lambda^o{}_j) + \epsilon(\Lambda^i{}_\mu - \frac{\Lambda^i{}_\nu k^\nu}{\Lambda^o{}_\rho k^\rho}\Lambda^o{}_\mu)(\Lambda^{-1}a)^\mu \\
k^{'\mu} &= u^\mu(p^{'}) = \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu k^\nu
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{T, p^o\} = -\sqrt{1 + \vec{k}^2}, \qquad \{T, p^i\} = -k^i \\
&\{k^h, J^{oi}\} = -\delta^{hi}\sqrt{1 + \vec{k}^2}, \qquad \{k^h, J^{ij}\} = \delta^{hj}k^i - \delta^{hi}k^j \\
&\{z^h, p^o\} = \frac{\epsilon k^h}{\sqrt{1 + \vec{k}^2}}, \qquad \{z^h, p^i\} = \delta^{hi}\epsilon \\
&\{z^h, J^{oi}\} = \frac{z^i k^h}{\sqrt{1 + \vec{k}^2}}, \qquad \{z^h, J^{ij}\} = \delta^{hj}z^i - \delta^{hi}z^j.
\end{aligned} \tag{A8}$$

Some further useful formulas are ($\epsilon = \eta\sqrt{p^2}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial p_\mu}\,\epsilon^B_\rho\,(u(p)) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\mu}L^B{}_\rho(\overset{\circ}{p}, p) = \\
&= \frac{2}{\epsilon}\eta^B_o(\eta^\mu_\rho - \frac{p^\mu p_\rho}{\epsilon^2}) - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2(p^o + \epsilon)}[(p^B + \epsilon\eta^B_o)(p^\mu\eta^o_\rho + \epsilon\eta^\mu_\rho) + (p^\mu\eta^B_o + \epsilon\eta^{\mu B})(p_\rho + \epsilon\eta^o_\rho)] + \\
&+ \frac{(p^B + \epsilon\eta^B_o)(p_\rho + \epsilon\eta^o_\rho)[(p^o + 2\epsilon)p^\mu + \epsilon^2\eta^\mu_o]}{\epsilon^3{(p^o + \epsilon)}^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{A9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\nu}_{A}(u(p))\eta^{AB} \quad \frac{\partial\epsilon^{\rho}_{B}(u(p))}{\partial p_{\mu}}S_{\rho\nu} &= -\frac{1}{2}\eta_{AC}\frac{\partial\epsilon^{C}_{\rho}(u(p))}{\partial p_{\mu}}\epsilon^{\rho}_{B}(u(p))\bar{S}^{AB} = \\ &= -\frac{1}{\epsilon}[\eta^{\mu}_{A}(\bar{S}^{\bar{o}A} - \frac{\bar{S}^{Ar}p^{r}}{p^{o}+\epsilon}) + \bar{S}^{\bar{o}r}p^{r}\frac{p^{\mu}+2\epsilon\eta^{\mu}_{o}}{\epsilon(p^{o}+\epsilon)}] = \\ &= -\frac{1}{\epsilon(p^{o}+\epsilon)}[p_{\rho}S^{\rho\mu} + \epsilon(S^{o\mu} - S^{o\rho}\frac{p_{\rho}p^{\mu}}{\epsilon^{2}})],\end{aligned} \tag{A10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\nu}}R^{i}{}_{k}(\Lambda,p) &= \frac{(\Lambda^{-1})^{i}{}_{o}}{(p_{\rho}(\Lambda^{-1})^{\rho}{}_{o}+\epsilon)^{2}} \times \\ &\times[\frac{1}{\epsilon}(p^{\nu}+\epsilon(\Lambda^{-1})^{\nu}{}_{o})p_{\beta}(\Lambda^{-1})^{\beta}{}_{k} - (p_{\rho}(\Lambda^{-1})^{\rho}{}_{o}+\epsilon)(\Lambda^{-1})^{\nu}{}_{k}] - \\ &- \frac{\eta^{i\nu}}{p^{o}+\epsilon}[(\Lambda^{-1})^{o}{}_{k} - \frac{((\Lambda^{-1})^{o}{}_{o}-1)p_{\beta}(\Lambda^{-1})^{\beta}{}_{k}}{p_{\rho}(\Lambda^{-1})^{\rho}{}_{o}+\epsilon}] + \frac{p^{i}}{(p^{o}+\epsilon)^{2}}\{\frac{1}{\epsilon}(p^{\nu}+\epsilon\eta^{\nu o})(\Lambda^{-1})^{o}{}_{k} + \\ &+ \frac{p^{o}+\epsilon}{p_{\rho}(\Lambda^{-1})^{\rho}{}_{o}+\epsilon}((\Lambda^{-1})^{o}{}_{o}-1) \times \\ &\times[(\Lambda^{-1})^{\nu}{}_{k} - \frac{1}{\epsilon}(\frac{p^{\nu}+\epsilon\eta^{\nu o}}{p^{o}+\epsilon} + \frac{p^{\nu}+\epsilon(\Lambda^{-1})^{\nu}{}_{o}}{p_{\rho}(\Lambda^{-1})^{\rho}{}_{o}+\epsilon})p_{\beta}(\Lambda^{-1})^{\beta}{}_{k}]\}.\end{aligned} \tag{A11}$$

APPENDIX B:

In the N=2 case [20] Eqs.(6), (9), (13), (26), (28), (31) become respectively

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^\mu &= \frac{1}{2}(x_1^\mu + x_2^\mu)\\
p^\mu &= p_1^\mu + p_2^\mu\\
R^\mu &= x_1^\mu - x_2^\mu\\
Q^\mu &= \frac{1}{2}(p_1^\mu - p_2^\mu);
\end{aligned} \tag{B1}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_1^\mu &= x^\mu + \frac{1}{2}R^\mu\\
x_2^\mu &= x^\mu - \frac{1}{2}R^\mu\\
p_1^\mu &= \frac{1}{2}p^\mu + Q^\mu\\
p_2^\mu &= \frac{1}{2}p^\mu - Q^\mu;
\end{aligned} \tag{B2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{x}^\mu &= x^\mu + \frac{1}{2}\,\epsilon_\nu^A(u(p))\eta_{AB}\frac{\partial \epsilon_\rho^B(u(p))}{\partial p_\mu}\,S^{\nu\rho} =\\
&= x^\mu - \frac{1}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}(p^o + \eta\sqrt{p^2})}\,[p_\nu S^{\nu\mu} + \eta\sqrt{p^2}(S^{o\mu} - S^{o\nu}\frac{p_\nu p^\mu}{p^2})]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
p^\mu
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_R &= \frac{p\cdot R}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}}\\
\epsilon_R &= \frac{p\cdot Q}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}}\\
\rho^r &= R^r - \frac{p^r}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}}(R^o - \frac{\vec{p}\cdot\vec{R}}{p^o + \eta\sqrt{p^2}})\\
\pi^r &= Q^r - \frac{p^r}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}}(Q^o - \frac{\vec{p}\cdot\vec{Q}}{p^o + \eta\sqrt{p^2}}),
\end{aligned} \tag{B3}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_- &= \frac{1}{2}(\phi_1 - \phi_2) = p\cdot Q - \frac{1}{2}(m_1^2 - m_2^2) = \epsilon\epsilon_R - \frac{1}{2}(m_1^2 - m_2^2) \approx 0\\
\chi_+ &= 2(\phi_1 + \phi_2) = \frac{1}{p^2}(p^2 - M_+^2)(p^2 - M_-^2) + 4\chi_-(\chi_- + m_1^2 - m_2^2) =
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}(\epsilon^2 - M_+^2)(\epsilon^2 - M_-^2) + 4\chi_-(\chi_- + m_1^2 - m_2^2) \approx 0\\
&M_\pm = \sqrt{m_1^2 - Q_\perp^2} \pm \sqrt{m_2^2 - Q_\perp^2} = \sqrt{m_1^2 + \vec{\pi}^2} \pm \sqrt{m_2^2 + \vec{\pi}^2},\\
&Q_\perp^\mu = (\eta^{\mu\nu} - \frac{p^\mu p^\nu}{p^2})Q_\nu; \qquad \text{(B4)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\hat{x}^\mu = x^\mu + \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{2p^2}(\eta^{\mu\nu} - \frac{2p^\mu p^\nu}{p^2})R_\nu\\
&p^\mu\\
&\hat{R}^\mu = R^\mu\\
&\hat{Q}^\mu = Q^\mu - \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{2p^2}p^\mu, \qquad \hat{Q}_\perp^\mu = Q_\perp^\mu; \qquad \text{(B5)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\tilde{x}} = \hat{x}^\mu + \frac{1}{2}\,\epsilon_\nu^A(u(p))\eta_{AB}\frac{\partial \epsilon_\rho^B(u(p))}{\partial p_\mu}\,\hat{S}^{\nu\rho} =\\
&= \hat{x}^\mu - \frac{1}{\eta\sqrt{p^2}(p^o + \eta\sqrt{p^2})}\,[p_\nu \hat{S}^{\nu\mu} + \eta\sqrt{p^2}(\hat{S}^{o\mu} - \hat{S}^{o\nu}\frac{p_\nu p^\mu}{p^2})]\\
&p^\mu\\
&\hat{T}_R = T_R\\
&\hat{\epsilon}_R = \epsilon_R - \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{2\epsilon} \qquad \Rightarrow \chi_- = \epsilon\hat{\epsilon}_R \approx 0\\
&\hat{\vec{\rho}} = \vec{\rho}\\
&\hat{\vec{\pi}} = \vec{\pi}. \qquad \text{(B6)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us remark that Todorov's quasipotential-like Hamiltonian [44,41] corresponds to the following rewriting of the constraint χ_+ (modulo $\chi_- \approx 0$):

$$
\chi_+ \approx 4[(\hat{Q}_\perp^\mu + \epsilon_p \frac{p^\mu}{\epsilon})^2 - m_p^2] = -4[\vec{\pi}^2 - b^2(\epsilon^2)] \approx 0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&m_p = \frac{m_1 m_2}{\epsilon}, \qquad \epsilon_p = \frac{\epsilon^2 - m_1^2 - m_2^2}{2\epsilon}\\
&b^2(\epsilon^2) = \frac{\epsilon^4 + m_1^4 + m_2^4 - 2(m_1^2 + m_2^2)\epsilon^2 - 2m_1^2 m_2^2}{4\epsilon^2} = \epsilon_p^2 - m_p^2; \qquad \text{(B7)}
\end{aligned}
$$

m_p and ϵ_p are interpreted as the relativistic reduced mass and energy of a fictitious particle of relative motion.

APPENDIX C:

Let $\{\Sigma(\tau)\}$ be a one-parameter family of spacelike hypersurfaces foliating Minkowski spacetime M^4. At fixed τ, let $z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ be the coordinates of the points on $\Sigma(\tau)$ in M^4, $\{\vec{\sigma}\}$ a system of coordinates on $\Sigma(\tau)$. If $\sigma^{\check{A}} = (\sigma^\tau = \tau; \vec{\sigma} = \{\sigma^{\check{r}}\})$ [the notation $\check{A} = (\tau, \check{r})$ with $\check{r} = 1,2,3$ will be used; note that $\check{A} = \tau$ and $\check{A} = \check{r} = 1,2,3$ are Lorentz-scalar indices] and $\partial_{\check{A}} = \partial/\partial\sigma^{\check{A}}$, one can define the vierbeins

$$z^\mu_{\check{A}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \partial_{\check{A}} z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}), \qquad \partial_{\check{B}} z^\mu_{\check{A}} - \partial_{\check{A}} z^\mu_{\check{B}} = 0, \tag{C1}$$

so that the metric on $\Sigma(\tau)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\check{A}\check{B}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= z^\mu_{\check{A}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\eta_{\mu\nu} z^\nu_{\check{B}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}), \qquad g_{\tau\tau}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) > 0 \\ g(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= -det\,||\,g_{\check{A}\check{B}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\,|| = (det\,||\,z^\mu_{\check{A}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\,||)^2 \\ \gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= -det\,||\,g_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\,||. \end{aligned} \tag{C2}$$

If $\gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ is the inverse of the 3-metric $g_{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ $[\gamma^{\check{r}\check{u}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})g_{\check{u}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \delta^{\check{r}}_{\check{s}}]$, the inverse $g^{\check{A}\check{B}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ of $g_{\check{A}\check{B}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ $[g^{\check{A}\check{C}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})g_{\check{c}\check{b}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \delta^{\check{A}}_{\check{B}}]$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} g^{\tau\tau}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= \frac{\gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}{g(\tau,\vec{\sigma})} \\ g^{\tau\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= -[\frac{\gamma}{g} g_{\tau\check{u}}\gamma^{\check{u}\check{r}}](\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \\ g^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= \gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + [\frac{\gamma}{g} g_{\tau\check{u}} g_{\tau\check{v}} \gamma^{\check{u}\check{r}}\gamma^{\check{v}\check{s}}](\tau,\vec{\sigma}), \end{aligned} \tag{C3}$$

so that $1 = g^{\tau\check{C}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})g_{\check{C}\tau}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ is equivalent to

$$\frac{g(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}{\gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma})} = g_{\tau\tau}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) - \gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})g_{\tau\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})g_{\tau\check{s}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}). \tag{C4}$$

We have

$$z^\mu_\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = (\sqrt{\frac{g}{\gamma}} l^\mu + g_{\tau\check{r}}\gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}} z^\mu_{\check{s}})(\tau,\vec{\sigma}), \tag{C5}$$

and

$$\eta^{\mu\nu} = z^{\mu}_{\check{A}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) g^{\check{A}\check{B}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) z^{\nu}_{\check{B}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \\ = (l^{\mu}l^{\nu} + z^{\mu}_{\check{r}} \gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}} z^{\nu}_{\check{s}})(\tau,\vec{\sigma}), \tag{C6}$$

where

$$l^{\mu}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \epsilon^{\mu}{}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} z^{\alpha}_{\check{1}} z^{\beta}_{\check{2}} z^{\gamma}_{\check{3}}\Big)(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \\ l^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = 1, \qquad l_{\mu}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) z^{\mu}_{\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = 0, \tag{C7}$$

is the unit (future pointing) normal to $\Sigma(\tau)$ at $z^{\mu}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$.

For the volume element in Minkowski spacetime we have

$$d^4z = z^{\mu}_{\tau}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) d\tau d^3\Sigma_{\mu} = d\tau (z^{\mu}_{\tau}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) l_{\mu}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})) \sqrt{\gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma})} d^3\sigma = \\ = \sqrt{g(\tau,\vec{\sigma})} d\tau d^3\sigma. \tag{C8}$$

Let us remark that according to the geometrical approach of Ref. [10], by using Eq.(C5) in the form $z^{\mu}_{\tau}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = N(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) l^{\mu}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + N^{\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) z^{\mu}_{\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ [with $N = \sqrt{g/\gamma}$ and $N^{\check{r}} = g_{\tau\check{s}} \gamma^{\check{s}\check{r}}$ being the standard lapse and shift functions, so that $g_{\tau\tau} = N^2 + g_{\check{r}\check{s}} N^{\check{r}} N^{\check{s}}$, $g_{\tau\check{r}} = g_{\check{r}\check{s}} N^{\check{s}}$, $g^{\tau\tau} = N^{-2}$, $g^{\tau\check{r}} = -n^{\check{r}}/N^2$, $g^{\check{r}\check{s}} = \gamma^{\check{r}\check{s}} + \frac{N^{\check{r}} N^{\check{s}}}{N^2}$], we should write

$$A_{\tau}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = N(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) A_l(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + N^{\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) A_{\check{r}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \tag{C9}$$

and use $A_l(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ as the genuine field configuration variable independent from the motion of the embedded hypersurface.

Then, by denoting $K_{\check{r}\check{s}} = K_{\check{s}\check{r}} = l_{\mu} \partial_{\check{r}} \partial_{\check{s}} z^{\mu}$ and $\Gamma^{\check{u}}_{\check{r}\check{s}} = z^{\check{u}}_{\mu} \partial_{\check{r}} \partial_{\check{s}} z^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\check{u}\check{n}} (\partial_{\check{r}} g_{\check{s}\check{n}} + \partial_{\check{s}} g_{\check{r}\check{n}} - \partial_{\check{n}} g_{\check{r}\check{s}})$ the extrinsic curvature and the Christoffel symbols respectively of the spacelike hypersurface (with metric $g_{\check{r}\check{s}}$) embedded in the flat Minkowski spacetime, we get for the field strengths [";" and "|" are the total and ordinary covariant derivatives]

$$F_{\check{r}\check{s}} = A_{\check{s};\check{r}} - A_{\check{r};\check{s}} = A_{\check{s}\,|\,\check{r}} - K_{\check{s}\check{r}} A_l - (A_{\check{r}\,|\,\check{s}} - K_{\check{r}\check{s}} A_l) = \\ = A_{\check{s}\,|\,\check{r}} - A_{\check{r}\,|\,\check{s}} = \partial_{\check{r}} A_{\check{s}} - \Gamma^{\check{n}}_{\check{r}\check{s}} A_{\check{n}} - (\partial_{\check{s}} A_{\check{r}} - \Gamma^{\check{n}}_{\check{s}\check{r}} A_{\check{n}}) = \partial_{\check{r}} A_{\check{s}} - \partial_{\check{s}} A_{\check{r}}, \\ F_{\tau\check{r}} = \partial_{\tau} A_{\check{r}} - \partial_{\check{r}} (N A_l + N^{\check{u}} A_{\check{u}}) = N F_{l\check{r}} + N^{\check{s}} F_{\check{s}\check{r}}, \\ F_{l\check{r}} = A_{l;\check{r}} - A_{\check{r};l} = \partial_{\check{r}} A_l + K_{\check{r}\check{u}} \gamma^{\check{u}\check{s}} A_{\check{s}} - \frac{1}{N} (\partial_{\tau} - \mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} A_{\check{r}} + N K_{\check{r}\check{u}} \gamma^{\check{u}\check{s}} A_{\check{s}} - A_l \partial_{\check{r}} N) = \\ = -\frac{1}{N} [\partial_{\tau} A_{\check{r}} - \mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} A_{\check{r}} - \partial_{\check{r}} (N A_l)], \tag{C10}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}} A_{\check r} = N^{\check s} A_{\check r\,|\,\check s} + A_{\check s} N^{\check s}{}_{|\check r} = N^{\check s}\partial_{\check s} A_{\check r} + A_{\check s}\partial_{\check r} N^{\check s}$ is the Lie derivative along $\vec{N}$.

By using the second line of Eq.(C6) to evaluate $-\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{g}\eta^{\mu\nu}\eta^{\rho\sigma}F_{\mu\rho}F_{\nu\sigma} = -\frac{1}{4}N\sqrt{\gamma}(2\gamma^{\check r\check s}F_{l\check r}F_{l\check s} + \gamma^{\check r\check s}\gamma^{\check u\check v}F_{\check r\check u}F_{\check s\check v})$, the Lagrangian (114) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}\ (\ \tau,\vec{\sigma}) &= \frac{i}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))[\theta_i^*(\tau)\dot{\theta}_i(\tau) - \dot{\theta}_i^*(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)] - \\
&- \sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta^3(\vec{\sigma}-\vec{\eta}_i(\tau))[\eta_i m_i\sqrt{N^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + g_{\check r\check s}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})(N^{\check r}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \dot{\eta}_i^{\check r}(\tau))(N^{\check s}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \dot{\eta}_i^{\check s}(\tau))} + \\
&+ e_i\theta_i^*(\tau)\theta_i(\tau)(N(\tau,\vec{\sigma})A_l(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + A_{\check r}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})(N^{\check r}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) + \dot{\eta}_i^{\check r}(\tau))] - \\
&- \sqrt{\gamma(\tau,\vec{\sigma})}[\frac{1}{2N}\gamma^{\check r\check s}(\partial_\tau A_{\check r} - \mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}}A_{\check r} - \partial_{\check r}(NA_l))(\partial_\tau A_{\check s} - \mathcal{L}_{\vec{N}}A_{\check s} - \partial_{\check s}(NA_l)) + \\
&+ \frac{N}{4}\gamma^{\check r\check s}\gamma^{\check u\check v}F_{\check r\check u}F_{\check s\check v}](\tau,\vec{\sigma}), \qquad \text{(C11)}
\end{aligned}$$

which is independent from both the extrinsic curvature and the Christoffel symbols [this is true only in the case of the spin 1 vector field but not for general tensors fields]. Now the configuration variables are $z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$, $A_l(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$, $A_{\check r}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$, and we perform the constraint analysis with the new associated momenta. However, since we have $\pi^l(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = N(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\pi^\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = 0$ and the other electromagnetic momenta still given by Eqs.(115), in the case of (spin 1) vector fields both the formulation with $A_l(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ and that with $A_\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ give the same results as in Section VI, since both $A_\tau(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ and $A_l(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ are gauge variables.

REFERENCES

[1] P.A.M.Dirac, “Lectures on Quantum Mechanics”, Belfer Graduate School of Science, Monographs Series, Yeshiva University, New York, N.Y., 1964.

[2] L.Lusanna, a) *Nuovo Cim.* **B52** (1979) 141; b) *Phys.Rep.* **185** (1990) 1; c) L.Lusanna, *Riv. Nuovo Cim.* **14** (1991) 1; d) *J.Math.Phys.* **31** (1990) 428 and 2126. M.Chaichian, D.Louis Martinez and L.Lusanna, *Ann.Phys.*(N.Y.) **232** (1994) 40.

[3] S.Shanmugadhasan, *J.Math.Phys.* **14** (1973) 677. L.Lusanna, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A8** (1993) 4193.

[4] L.Lusanna, "Classical Observables of Gauge Theories from the Multitemporal Approach", talk given at the Conference 'Mathematical Aspects of Classical Field Theory', Seattle 1991, in *Contemporary Mathematics* **132** (1992) 531. "Dirac's Observables: from Particles to Strings and Fields", talk given at the International Symposium on 'Extended Objects and Bound States', Karuizawa 1992, (O.Hara, S.Ishida and S.Naka, Eds.), World Scientific, Singapore, 1993. “Hamiltonian Constraints and Dirac's Observables: from Relativistic Particles towards Field Theory and General Relativity”, talk at the Workshop “Geometry of Constrained Dynamical Systems”, Newton Institute, Cambridge, 1994, (J.M.Charap, Ed.), Cambridge Univ.Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[5] P.A.M.Dirac, *Can.J.Phys.* **33** (1955) 650.

[6] L.Lusanna, Int.J.Mod.Phys **A10** (1995) 3531 and 3675.

[7] L.Lusanna and P.Valtancoli, in preparation.

[8] L.Lusanna and P.Valtancoli, in preparation.

[9] L.Lusanna, “Aspects of Galilean and Relativistic Particle Mechanics with Dirac's Constraints”, talk at the Conference “Theories of Fundamental Interactions”, Maynooth (Ireland), 1995.

[10] K.Kuchar, J.Math.Phys. **17** (1976) 777, 792 and 801.

[11] P.A.M.Dirac, *Rev.Mod.Phys.* **21** (1949) 392.

[12] N.Nakanishi, “Relative Time and Abnormal Solutions in the Theory of the Bethe-Salpeter Equation” and H.Sazdjian, “Connection of Constraint Dynamics with the Bethe-Salpeter Equation”, talks at the Int.Symposium “Extended Objects and Bound States”, Karuizawa 1992, (O.Hara, S.Ishida and S.Naka, Eds.), World Scientific, Singapore, 1992. H.Sazdjian, *J.Math.Phys.* **28** (1987) 2618.

[13] D.G.Currie, T.F.Jordan and E.C.G.Sudarshan, *Rev.Mod.Phys.* **35** (1965) 350. H.Leutwyler, *Nuovo Cim.* **37** (1965) 556. L.Bel, *Ann.Inst.H.Poincare’* **14** (1971) 189. E.Kerner, *J.Math.Phys.* **6** (1965) 1218.

[14] T.F.Jordan, *Phys.Rev.* **166** (1968) 1308. L.Bel, *Ann.Inst.H.Poincare’* **14** (1971) 189.

[15] S.Chelkowski, J.Nietendel and R.Suchanek, *Acta Phys.Pol.* **B11** (1980) 809.

[16] G.Longhi, L.Lusanna and J.M.Pons, *J.Math.Phys.* **30** (1989) 1893.

[17] J.Niederle, in Proc.Conference of Smolenice 1976, vol.3, Lanik-Noga, Bratislava, 1978. M.Lorente and P.Roman, *J.Math. Phys.* **15** (1974) 70. H.Sazdjian, *Nucl.Phys.* **B161** (1979) 469.

[18] M.Pauri and G.M.Prosperi, *J.Math.Phys.* **16** (1975) 1503.

[19] L.Lusanna, *Nuovo Cim.* **64A** (1981) 65.

[20] G.Longhi and L.Lusanna, *Phys.Rev.* **D34** (1986) 3707.

[21] L.Lusanna, in “Gauge Field Theories”, XVIII Karpacz School 1981, (W. Garczynski, Ed.), Harwood, Chur, 1986.

[22] G.Longhi, “On the Harmonic Interaction of Three Relativistic Point Particles”, talk at the Workshop “Geometry of Constrained Dynamical Systems”, Newton Institute,

Cambridge, 1994, (J.M.Charap, Ed.), Cambridge Univ.Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[23] M.Pauri and G.M.Prosperi, *J.Math.Phys.* **7** (1966) 366.

[24] M.H.L.Pryce, *Proc.Roy.Soc.(London)* **195A** (1948) 6. T.D.Newton and E.P. Wigner, *Rev.Mod.Phys.* **21** (1949) 400.

[25] M.Pauri, "Invariant Localization and Mass-Spin Relations in the Hamiltonian Formulation of Classical Relativistic Dynamics", Parma Univ. preprint IFPR-T-019, 1971 (unpublished); in "Group Theoretical Methods in Physics", (K.B.Wolf, Ed.), Lecture Notes Phys. n.135, Springer, Berlin, 1980.

[26] A.Lucenti, M.Pauri and L.Lusanna, in preparation.

[27] L.L.Foldy and S.A.Wouthuysen, *Phys.Rev.* **78** (1950) 29.

[28] A.D.Fokker, "Relativiteitstheorie", p.171, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1929.

[29] C.Möller, *Ann.Inst.H.Poincaré* **11** (1949) 251; "The Theory of Relativity", Oxford Univ.Press, Oxford, 1957.

[30] A.J.Hanson and T.Regge, Ann.Phys. (N.Y.) 87, 498 (1974). A.J.Hanson, T.Regge and C.Teitelboim, "Constrained Hamiltonian Systems", in Contributi del Centro Linceo Interdisciplinare di Scienze Matematiche, Fisiche e loro Applicazioni, n.22, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, Roma, 1975.

[31] A.Barducci, L.Lusanna and E.Sorace, Nuovo Cimento *46B* (1978) 287.

[32] E.Byckling and K.Kajantie, "Particle Kinematics", J.Wiley, London, 1973.

[33] A.Barducci, R.Casalbuoni and L.Lusanna, Lett.Nuovo Cim. **25** (1979) 129.

[34] M.Moshinski and A.del Sol Mesa, "A Relativistic Cockroach Nest", preprint IFUNAM (México) 1993.

[35] J.M.Levy-Leblond, J.Math.Phys. **4** (1963) 776. M.Pauri and G.Prosperi, J.Math.Phys.

9 (1968) 1146.

[36] U.H.Niederer and L.O'Raifeartaigh, Fortschr.Phys. **22** (1974) 111.

[37] H.Feshbach and F.Villars, Rev.Mod.Phys. **30** (1958) 24.

[38] E.C.G.Stueckelberg, Helv.Phys.Acta **14** (1941) 588; **15** (1942) 23.

[39] C.Itzykson and J.C.Zuber, "Quantum Field Theory", McGraw-Hill, New York, 1985.

[40] L.Lusanna, *Nuovo Cim.* **65B** (1981) 135; "From Relativistic Mechanic's toward Green's Functions: Multitemporal Dynamics", Proc. VII Seminar on "Problems of High Energy Physics", Protvino 1984, vol I, p.123.

[41] I.T.Todorov, "Constraint Hamiltonian Approach to Relativistic Point Particle Dynamics", SISSA report, Trieste 1980 (unpublished); "Constraint Hamiltonian Dynamics of Directly Interacting Relativistic Point Particle" in "Quantum Theory, Groups, Fields and Particles", (A.O.Barut, Ed.), Reidel, Dordrecht, 1983.

[42] T.Takabayasi, Suppl.Progr.Theor.Phys. **67** (1979) 1.

[43] Ph.Droz Vincent, *Lett.Nuovo Cim.* **1** (1969) 839; **7** (1973) 206; *Phys.Scripta* **2** (1970) 129; *Rep. Math.Phys.* **8** (1975) 79; *Ann.Inst.H.Poincaré* **27** (1977) 407; *Phys.Rev.* **D19** (1979) 702.

[44] I.T.Todorov, Dubna preprint 1976, JINR E2-10125 (unpublished); *Ann.Inst.H.Poincaré* **28A** (1978) 207. V.A.Rizov, H.Sazdjian and I.T.Todorov, *Ann.Phys.* (N.Y.) **165** (1985) 59.

[45] A.Komar, *Phys.Rev.* **D18** (1978) 1881, 1887 and 3017; **D19** (1979) 2908.

[46] D.Dominici, J.Gomis and G.Longhi, Nuovo Cim. **48B** (1978) 152.

[47] F.Rörlich, Phys.Rev. **D23** (1981) 1305.

[48] H.Sazdjian, Lett.Math.Phys. **5** (1981) 319; Ann.Phys.(N.Y.) **136** (1981) 136.

[49] R.N.Hill and E.H.Kerner, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **17** (1966) 1156.

[50] B.Bakamjian and L.H.Thomas, Phys.Rev. **92** (1953) 1300.

[51] M.Pauri and G.Prosperi, J.Math.Phys. **17** (1976) 1468.

[52] R.Casalbuoni, *Nuovo Cim.* **33A** (1976) 115 and 389. F.A.Berezin and M.S.Marinov, *Ann.Phys.* (N.Y.) **104** (1977) 336. A.Barducci, R.Casalbuoni and L.Lusanna, *Nuovo Cim.* **35A** (1976) 377; *Nuovo Cim.Lett.* **19** (1977) 581.

[53] A.Barducci, R.Casalbuoni and L.Lusanna, *Nucl.Phys.* **B124** (1977) 93; *Nucl.Phys.* **B180 [FS2]**, (1981) 141.

[54] J.A.Wheeler and R.P.Feynman, Rev.Mod.Phys. **17** (1945) 157; **21** (1949) 425.

[55] C.Teitelboim, D.Villaroel and Ch.G.van Weert, Riv.Nuovo Cim. **3** (1980) 1.

[56] W.T.Grandy jr, "Relativistic Quantum Mechanics of Leptons and Fields", Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1991.

[57] R.Giachetti and E.Sorace, Nuovo Cimento **63B** (1981) 666; E.Sorace and M.Tarlini, Nuovo Cimento **71B** (1982) 98.

[58] E.Corinaldesi and F.Strocchi, "Relativistic Wave Mechanics", North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1963.

[59] D.Lurié, "Particles and Fields", p.123, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1968.

[60] E.M.Henley and W.Thirring, "Elementary Quantum Field Theory", Chap.5, McGraw Hill, New York, 1962.

[61] R.Hakim, J.Math.Phys. **8** (1967) 1315 and 1379.

[62] F.Jüttner, Ann.Physik **34** (1911) 856; **35** (1911) 145; Z.Physik **47** (1928) 542.

[63] A.Lichnérowicz and R.Marrot, Compt.Rend. **210** (1940) 759.

[64] P.Bergmann, Phys.Rev. **84** 1026;"Handbuch der Physik", vol.IV (ed.S.Flügge) Springer, Berlin, 1960.

[65] L.Bel, *Ann.Inst.H.Poincare'* **12** (1970) 307 and **14** (1971) 189. "Mecanica Relativista Predictiva", courso impartido en el Departamento de Fisica Teorica de la Universidad Autonoma de Barcelona, UAB FT-34 (1977).

[66] D.G.Currie, *Phys.Rev.* **142** (1966) 817. R.H.Hill, *J.Math.Phys.* **8** (1967) 201.

[67] J.L.Synge, "The Relativistic Gas", North Holland, Amsterdam, 1957.

[68] S.R.de Groot, C.G.van Weert, W.Th.Hermens and W.A.van Leeuwen, Physica **40** (1968) 257. S.R.de Groot, Physica **88A** (1977) 172, 183 and 425. S.R.de Groot et al., "Relativistic Kinetic Theory", North Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.

[69] D.Ter Haar and H.Wegerland, Phys.Reports **1C** (1971) 31.

[70] W.Israel, J.Math.Phys. **4** (1963) 1163; Ann.Phys. (N.Y.) **100** (1976) 310. W.Israel and J.M.Stewart, Ann.Phys. (N.Y.) **118** (1979) 341. J.M.Stewart, "Non-Equilibrium Relativistic Kinetic Theory", Lect.Notes in Phys. n.10, Springer, Berlin, 1971.

[71] M.Chaichian, R.Hagedorn and M.Hayashi, Nucl.Phys. **B92** (1975) 445.

[72] J.V.Lepore and R.N.Stuart, Phys.Rev. **94** (1954) 1724.

[73] V.B.Magalinskii and Ya.P.Terletskii,Sov.Phys.JEPT **5** (1957) 483.

[74] B.Touschek, Nuovo Cim. **58B** (1968) 295.

[75] A.Jabs, Nucl.Phys. **B34** (1971) 177.

[76] F.Karsch and D.E.Miller, Phys.Rev. **D24** (1981) 2564.

[77] R.P.Feynman, Phys.Rev. **90** (1953) 1116; **91** (1953) 1291. R.P.Feynman and A.R.Hibbs, "Quantum Mechanics and Path Integral", McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965. R.P.Feynman, "Statistical Mechanics", Benjamin, Reading Mass., 1972.

[78] L.Lusanna, "Ideal Bose-Einstein Quantum Gas from Relativistic Mechanics", Genève Univ. preprint UGVA-DPT 1983/07-403 (unpublished).

[79] R.Hakim and A,Mangeney, J.Math.Phys. **9** (1968) 116; Phys. Fluids, **14** (1971) 2751. R.Hakim, J.Math.Phys. **9** (1968) 1805; Riv.Nuovo Cim. **1** (1978) 1. R.Dominguez Tenreiro and R.Hakim, Phys.Rev. **D15** (1977) 1435. J.Diaz Alonso and R.Hakim, Phys.Rev. **D29** (1984) 2690; **D38** (1988) 1780; Class.Quantum Grav. **10** (1993) S223.

[80] A.Barducci, R.Casalbuoni and L.Lusanna, *Nuovo Cim.* **35A** (1976) 377; *Nucl.Phys.* **B124** (1977) 93 and 521; **B180 (FS2)** (1981) 141. H.W.Crater and P.Van Alstine, *Ann. Phys.*(N.Y.) **148** (1983) 57; *J.Math.Phys.* **23** (1982) 1697; **31** (1990) 1998; *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **53** (1984) 1577; *Phys.Rev.* **D30** (1984) 2585; **D34** (1986) 1932; **D36** (1987) 3007; **D37** (1988) 1982; **D46** (1992) 476. H.W.Crater, R.L.Becker, C.Y.Wong and P.Van Alstine, *Phys.Rev* **D46** (1992) 5117. H.Crater and P.Van Alstine, in "Constraint's Theory and Relativistic Dynamics", Firenze 1986 (G.Longhi and L.Lusanna, Eds.) World Scientific, Singapore, 1987, and in "Constraint Theory and Quantization Methods", Montepulciano 1993, (F.Colomo, L.Lusanna and G.Marmo, Eds.) World Scientific, Singapore, 1994.

[81] F.M.Lev, Riv.Nuovo Cim. **16** n.2 (1992) 1; Ann.Phys.(N.Y.) **237** (1995) 355.

[82] B.D.Keister and W.N.Polyzou, Adv.Nucl.Phys. **20** (1991) 225.

[83] E.J.Kerner, *J.Math.Phys.* **3** (1962) 35. R.Marnelius, *Phys.Rev.* **D10** (1974) 2535. L.Bel, in "Relativistic Action-at-a-Distance: Classical and Quantum Aspects", Barcelona 1981, (J.Llosa, Ed.) Lecture Notes Phys. n.162, Springer, Berlin, 1982. R.P.Gaida, Yu.B.Kluchkowski and V.I.Tretyak, in "Constraint's Theory and Relativistic Dynamics", Firenze 1986, (G.Longhi and L.Lusanna, Eds.) World Scientific, Singapore, 1987. X.Jaén, J.Llosa and A.Molina, *Phys.Rev.* **D34** (1986) 2302. X.Jaén, R.Jáuregui, J.Llosa and A.Molina, *Phys.Rev.* **D36** (1987) 2385. H.Crater and D.Yang, *J.Math. Phys.* **32** (1991) 2374.